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Network Location Service

- Select nodes based on a set of network properties

- Real-world problems:
  - Locate closest game server
  - Distribute web-crawling to nearby hosts
  - Perform efficient application level multicast
  - Satisfy a Service Level Agreement
  - Provide inter-node latency bounds for clusters

- Underlying abstract problems
  - Finding closest node to target
  - Finding the closest node to the center of a set of targets
  - Finding a node that is $< r_i$ ms from target $t_i$ for all targets
Current State-of-the-Art: Virtual Coordinates

- Maps Internet latencies into low dimensional space
  - GNP, Vivaldi, Lighthouse, ICS, VL, BBS, PIC, NPS, etc.

- Reduces number of real-time measurements

- 3 practical problems:
  - Introduces inherent embedding error
  - A snapshot in time of the network location of a node
    - Coordinates become stale over time
    - Latency estimates based on coordinates computed at different times can lead to additional errors
  - Requires additional P2P substrate to solve network location problems without centralized servers or $O(N)$ state
Meridian Approach

- Solve node selection directly without computing coordinates
  - Combine query routing with active measurements

- 3 Design Goals:
  - Accurate: Find satisfying nodes with high probability
  - General: Users can fully express their network location requirements
  - Scalable: $O(\log N)$ state per node, $O(\log D)$ hops per query

- Design tradeoffs:
  - Active measurements incur higher query latencies
  - Overhead more dependent on query load
Meridian Operation

- Framework:
  - Loosely structured overlay network

- Algorithms:
  - Solve network location problems in $O(\log D)$ hops

- Language:
  - General-purpose language for expressing network location requirements
Multi-resolution Rings

- Organize peers into small fixed number of concentric rings
- Radii of rings grow outwards exponentially
  - Logarithmic # of peers per ring
  - Favors nearby neighbors
  - Retains a sufficient number of pointers to remote regions
- Gossip protocol used for peer discovery
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Closest Node Discovery

- Multi-hop search
  - Similar to finding the closest identifier in DHTs
    - Replaces virtual identifiers with physical latencies
  - Each hop exponentially reduces the distance to the target
  - Reduction threshold $\beta$ for $0 \leq \beta < 1$
    - Only take another hop if a peer node is $\beta$ times closer
  - Limits # of probed peers through triangle inequality
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Meridian Theoretical Analysis

- Analytical guarantees for closest node discovery
- Meridian can find the closest node with high probability
  - Given nodes in a space with a *doubling* metric
  - As well as a *growth constrained* metric
- Scales well with increasing system size
- Does not lead to hot spots
Central Leader Election

- Select the closest node to the center of a set of targets
  - Multi-cast trees can place central nodes higher in the hierarchy

- Algorithm similar to closest node discovery

- Minimizes avg. latency to a set of targets instead of one target
  - Uses distance metric $d_{avg}$ instead of $d$

- Inter-node latencies of targets not known
  - Need to be conservative in pruning peers
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Multi-constraint System

- Find a node that satisfies a set of latency constraints
  - ISP can find a server that can satisfy a SLA with a client
  - Grid users can find a set of nodes with a bounded inter-node latency

- There exists a solution space, containing 0 or more nodes
  - Only a solution point in previous problems

- Requires a different distance metric $s$:
  $$s = \sum_{i=1}^{u} \max(0, d_i - \text{range}_i)^2$$
  - $s = 0$ when all constraints are satisfied
  - Sum of squares places more weight on fringe constraints
    - Allows for faster convergence to solution space
  - Other metrics can be used, square works well in practice
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Meridian Query Language

- Variant of C/Python
  - Safe, polymorphic, and dynamically-typed
  - Includes an extensive set of library functions

- Allows users to:
  - Access multi-resolution rings
  - Issue latency probes
  - Forward queries to peers

- Tight resource limits on:
  - Execution time of query
  - Number of hops
  - Amount of memory allocated
Evaluation

- Evaluated our system through a large scale simulation and a PlanetLab deployment

- Simulation parameterized by real latency measurements
  - 2500 DNS servers, latency between 6.25 million node pairs
  - DNS servers are authorities name servers for domains found in the Yahoo! web directory

- We evaluated system sizes of up to 2000 nodes
  - 500 nodes reserved as targets
Evaluation: Closest Node Discovery

- Meridian has an order of magnitude less error than virtual coordinate schemes
Evaluation: Closest Node Discovery

- CDF of relative error shows Meridian is more accurate for both typical nodes and fringe nodes
Evaluation: Closest Node Discovery

- With \( k = \lceil \log_{1.6} N \rceil \), error and query latency remain constant as \( N \) increases
- Average query latency determined by slowest node in each ring
Evaluation: Central Leader Election

- Meridian incurs significantly less relative error
Evaluation: Multi-constraint System

- Categorized multi-constraint queries by its difficulty
  - Difficulty a measure of the number of nodes in solution space
- Success rate for queries that can be satisfied by only 0.5% of the nodes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constraints</th>
<th>Meridian (%)</th>
<th>VC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Evaluation: PlanetLab Deployment

- A PlanetLab deployment of 166 nodes shows the closest node discovery accuracy to be very close to the simulation results.
- Have expanded deployment to 325 PlanetLab nodes supporting all 3 applications and MQL.
Implementation

- Includes query language and the 3 protocols
- Works with firewalled hosts
- Can use DNS queries, TCP connect times, and Meridian UDP packets to measure latency
- Optimizations:
  - Measurement cache reduces query latency
  - Ring management scheme to select more diverse peers
ClosestNode.com

- ClosestNode.com is a DNS redirection service that returns the IP address of closest node to the client
  - e.g. cobweb.closestnode.com will resolve to the closest CobWeb DHT node to the requesting client

- Requires minimal changes to the service
  - Linking the Meridian library and calling one function at startup
  - Or add standalone Meridian server to start script

- No changes required for the client

- Can register your service at:
  - http://www.closestnode.com
Conclusions

- A lightweight accurate system for selecting nodes
- Combines query routing with active measurements
- An order of magnitude less error than virtual coordinates
- Solves the network location problem directly
  - Does not need to be paired with CAN
- Code, data, demos and more information at
  
  http://www.cs.cornell.edu/People/egs/meridian