

Tensors and n-d Arrays: A Mathematics of Arrays (MoA) and the ψ -calculus

Composition of Tensor and Array Operations

Lenore M. Mullin and James E. Reynolds

Message of This Talk

- An algebra of multi-dimensional arrays (MoA) and an index calculus (the ψ -calculus) allow a series of **operations to be composed** so as to **minimize temporaries**.
- An array, and **all operations on arrays** are defined using **shapes**, i.e. sizes of each dimension.
- **Scalars** are **0-dimensional** arrays. Their **shape** is the **empty vector**.
- **Same algebra** used to specify the **algorithm** as well as to **map to the architecture**.
- **Composition of multiple Kronecker Products** will be discussed.

Historical Background

- *Universal Algebra - Joseph Sylvester, late 19th Century*
- *Matrix Mechanics - Werner Heisenberg, 1925*
 - *Basis of Dirac's bra-ket notation*
- *Algebra of Arrays - APL – Ken Iverson, 1957*
 - *Languages: Interpreters & Compilers*
 - *Phil Abrams: An APL Machine(1972) with Harold Stone*
 - *Indexing operations on shapes, open questions, not algebraic closed system. Furthered by Hassett and Lyon, Guibas and Wyatt. Used in Fortran.*
 - *Alan Perlis: Explored Abram's optimizations in compilers for APL. Furthered by Miller, Minter, Budd.*
 - *Susan Gerhart: Anomalies in APL algebra, can not verify correctness.*
 - *Alan Perlis with Tu: Array Calculator and Lambda Calculus 1986*

Historical Background

- **MoA and Psi Calculus: Mullin (1988)**
 - **Full closure** on Algebra of Arrays and Index Calculus based on shapes.
 - Klaus Berklings: Augmented **Lambda Calculus with MoA**
 - Werner Kluge and Sven-Bodo Scholz: SAC
 - Built **prototype compilers**: output C, F77, F90, HPF
 - Modified Portland Groups HPF, HPF Research Partner
 - Introduced Theory to Functional language Community
 - Bird-Meertens, SAC, ...
 - Applied to Hardware Design and Verification
 - Pottinger (ASICs), IBM (Patent, Sparse Arrays), Savaria (Hierarchical Bus Parallel Machines)
 - Introduce Theory to OO Community (Sabbatical MIT Lincoln Laboratory).
 - Expression Templates and C++ Optimizations for Scientific Libraries

Tensors and Language Issues

- *Minimizing materialization* of array valued temporaries...

$$D = ((A + B) \otimes C)^T$$

where A, B, C, and D are **huge** 3-d (or higher dimensional) arrays

- How to **generally** map to processor/memory hierarchies
- Verification of both semantics and operation
- Equivalence of programs
- **No language today** has an array algebra and index calculus without problems with boundary conditions.

Notation

- **Dirac:**

- Inner product: $\langle a | b \rangle$

- Outer product: $|a\rangle\langle b|$

- Tensor-vector multiply: $(|a\rangle\langle b|)|c\rangle = |a\rangle(\langle b|c\rangle)$

- **Dirac notation** unified Linear Algebra and Hilbert Space: ***Tensors are ubiquitous across many disciplines: Quantum and Classical***

Tensors and Multi-Particle States

*“The Hilbert space describing the ***n***-particle system is that spanned by all ***n***-th rank tensors of the form:*

$$|\psi\rangle = |\psi_1\rangle |\psi_2\rangle \dots |\psi_n\rangle$$

*The zero-particle states (i.e. $n = 0$) are **tensors of rank zero, that is, scalars (complex numbers).**”*

Quote: Richard Feynman, “Statistical Mechanics” pp. 168-170

Manipulation of an Array

- Given a 3 by 5 by 4 array:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\ 12 & 13 & 14 & 15 \\ 16 & 17 & 18 & 19 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 20 & 21 & 22 & 23 \\ 24 & 25 & 26 & 27 \\ 28 & 29 & 30 & 31 \\ 32 & 33 & 34 & 35 \\ 36 & 37 & 38 & 39 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 40 & 41 & 42 & 43 \\ 44 & 45 & 46 & 47 \\ 48 & 49 & 50 & 51 \\ 52 & 53 & 54 & 55 \\ 56 & 57 & 58 & 59 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Shape vector: $\rho A = \langle 3 \ 5 \ 4 \rangle$

- Index vector: $\overset{!}{i} = \langle 2 \ 1 \ 3 \rangle$

- Used to select: $\overset{!}{i} \psi A = \langle 2 \ 1 \ 3 \rangle \psi A = 47$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Kronecker Product: $A \otimes B$ Is defined by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 5 & 1 \times 6 & 1 \times 7 & 1 \times 8 & 2 \times 5 & 2 \times 6 & 2 \times 7 & 2 \times 8 \\ 1 \times 9 & 1 \times 10 & 1 \times 11 & 1 \times 12 & 2 \times 9 & 2 \times 10 & 2 \times 11 & 2 \times 12 \\ 1 \times 13 & 1 \times 14 & 1 \times 15 & 1 \times 16 & 2 \times 13 & 2 \times 14 & 2 \times 15 & 2 \times 16 \\ 3 \times 5 & 3 \times 6 & 3 \times 7 & 3 \times 8 & 4 \times 5 & 4 \times 6 & 4 \times 7 & 4 \times 8 \\ 3 \times 9 & 3 \times 10 & 3 \times 11 & 3 \times 12 & 4 \times 9 & 4 \times 10 & 4 \times 11 & 4 \times 12 \\ 3 \times 13 & 3 \times 14 & 3 \times 15 & 3 \times 16 & 4 \times 13 & 4 \times 14 & 4 \times 15 & 4 \times 16 \end{bmatrix}$$

Kronecker Product of A and B

Kronecker Product of A and B

$$(A \otimes B)_{I,J} = A_{i,j} B_{l,m}$$

where

$$I \equiv \langle i \ l \rangle \quad J \equiv \langle j \ m \rangle$$

MoA would write:

$$\langle i \ j \ l \ m \rangle \psi (A \ op_x B) = (\langle i \ j \rangle \psi A) \times (\langle l \ m \rangle \psi B)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{op} \times \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 13 & 14 & 15 & 16 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\left[\left[\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 5 & 1 \times 6 & 1 \times 7 & 1 \times 8 \\ 1 \times 9 & 1 \times 10 & 1 \times 11 & 1 \times 12 \\ 1 \times 13 & 1 \times 14 & 1 \times 15 & 1 \times 16 \\ 3 \times 5 & 3 \times 6 & 3 \times 7 & 3 \times 8 \\ 3 \times 9 & 3 \times 10 & 3 \times 11 & 3 \times 12 \\ 3 \times 13 & 3 \times 14 & 3 \times 15 & 3 \times 16 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \times 5 & 2 \times 6 & 2 \times 7 & 2 \times 8 \\ 2 \times 9 & 2 \times 10 & 2 \times 11 & 2 \times 12 \\ 2 \times 13 & 2 \times 14 & 2 \times 15 & 2 \times 16 \\ 4 \times 5 & 4 \times 6 & 4 \times 7 & 4 \times 8 \\ 4 \times 9 & 4 \times 10 & 4 \times 11 & 4 \times 12 \\ 4 \times 13 & 4 \times 14 & 4 \times 15 & 4 \times 16 \end{bmatrix} \right] \right]$$

MoA Outer Product of A and B

$\langle 1 \times 5 \ 1 \times 6 \ 1 \times 7 \ 1 \times 8 \ 2 \times 5 \ 2 \times 6 \ 2 \times 7 \ 2 \times 8 \ \dots \rangle$

Kronecker Product flattened using row-major layout

$\langle 1 \times 5 \ 1 \times 6 \ 1 \times 7 \ 1 \times 8 \ 1 \times 9 \ 1 \times 10 \ 1 \times 11 \ 1 \times 12 \ \dots \rangle$

MoA Outer Product flattened using row-major layout

Multiple Kronecker Products

$$A \otimes (B \otimes C)$$

Standard approach suffers from:

- Large temporaries: $TEMP = B \otimes C$; $A \otimes TEMP$
- Complicated index calculations
- Processor/memory optimizations difficult

Shapes and the Outer Product

Definition 1 *Given A, B, C and D are n by n arrays. That is, their shape, i.e.*

$$\rho A = \rho B = \rho C = \rho D = \langle n \ n \rangle .$$

Assume the existence of the ψ operator and that it is well defined for n -dimensional arrays. The ψ operator takes as left argument an index vector and an array as the right argument and returns the corresponding component of the array. For

Note: The general definition takes an array of indices as its left argument.

$$D = A \text{ op}_\times (B \text{ op}_\times C)$$

is defined when the shape of D is equal to the shape of $A \text{ op}_\times (B \text{ op}_\times C)$. And the shape of $A \text{ op}_\times (B \text{ op}_\times C)$ is equal to the shape of A concatenated to the shape of $(B \text{ op}_\times C)$ which is equivalent to the shape of A concatenated to the shape of B concatenated to the shape of C . i.e.

$$\rho D = \rho(A \text{ op}_\times (B \text{ op}_\times C)) = \rho A \# \rho(B \text{ op}_\times C) = \rho A \# \rho B \# \rho C = \langle n \ n \ n \ n \ n \ n \rangle$$

Then, $\forall i_0, j_0, k_0, l_0, m_0, n_0$ s.t.

$$0 \leq i_0 < n; 0 \leq j_0 < n; 0 \leq k_0 < n; 0 \leq l_0 < n; 0 \leq m_0 < n; 0 \leq n_0 < n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle i_0 \ j_0 \ k_0 \ l_0 \ m_0 \ n_0 \rangle \psi D &= (\langle i_0 \ j_0 \rangle \psi A) \times (\langle k_0 \ l_0 \ m_0 \ n_0 \rangle \psi (B \text{ op}_\times C)) \\ &= (\langle i_0 \ j_0 \rangle \psi A) \times (\langle k_0 \ l_0 \rangle \psi B) \times (\langle m_0 \ n_0 \rangle \psi C) \end{aligned}$$

Multiple Kronecker Products

•We want: $E = (A \otimes B) \otimes A$

with: $C = A \otimes B$

The input arrays A and B are

$$A = \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{array}$$

and

$$B = \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 \end{array}$$

Multiple Kronecker Products

•We want: $E = (A \otimes B) \otimes A$

with: $C = A \otimes B$

Shape of C is $\langle 6 \ 6 \rangle$ because we are combining a $\langle 2 \ 2 \rangle$ array with a $\langle 3 \ 3 \rangle$

Typo: +ed instead of Xed

$$C = \begin{matrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 & 9 & 10 & 11 \end{matrix}$$

Note the use of the generalized binary operation + rather than * (times) in this “product”

$$E = C \otimes A$$

$$E = \begin{matrix} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ & 3 & 4 & 5 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ & 6 & 7 & 8 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ & 5 & 6 & 7 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ & 8 & 9 & 10 & 9 & 10 & 11 \end{matrix} \otimes A$$

$$E = \begin{matrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 7 \\ 5 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 9 \\ 6 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 9 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 9 & 9 & 10 \\ 8 & 9 & 9 & 10 & 10 & 11 & 9 & 10 & 10 & 11 & 11 & 12 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 5 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 8 \\ 5 & 6 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 6 & 7 & 7 & 8 & 8 & 9 \\ 7 & 8 & 8 & 9 & 9 & 10 & 8 & 9 & 9 & 10 & 10 & 11 \\ 8 & 9 & 9 & 10 & 10 & 11 & 9 & 10 & 10 & 11 & 11 & 12 \\ 10 & 11 & 11 & 12 & 12 & 13 & 11 & 12 & 12 & 13 & 13 & 14 \end{matrix}$$

Multiple Outer Products

$$C = A \text{ op}_x B$$

$$C = \begin{matrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 & 10 \end{matrix} \begin{matrix} 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 9 & 10 & 11 \end{matrix}$$

Typo: +ed instead of Xed

Multiple Outer Products

Notice the shape. The shape is $\langle 2\ 2\ 3\ 3 \rangle$.

From this shape we can easily index each 3 by 3.

$\langle 0\ 0 \rangle$ ψ gets the first one.

Notice how easily we can use these indicies to map to processors. Now perform the outer product of C with A. Now the shape is $\langle 2\ 2\ 3\ 3\ 2\ 2 \rangle$.

With the shape we can perform **index compositions**.

The indices are composed as follows: Given $0 \leq^* i, j <^* 2, 2$; $0 \leq^* k, l <^* 3, 3$;
and $0 \leq^* m, n <^* 2, 2$ and for all $0 \leq^* i, j, k, l, m, n <^* 2, 2, 3, 3, 2, 2$;

$$\begin{aligned} \langle i j k l m n \rangle \psi ((A \text{ op}_\times B) \text{ op}_\times A) &= (\langle i j k l \rangle \psi (A \text{ op}_\times B)) \times (\langle m n \rangle \psi A) \\ &= (\langle i j \rangle \psi A) \times (\langle k l \rangle \psi B) \times (\langle m n \rangle \psi A) \end{aligned}$$

- This is the *Denotational Normal Form (DNF)* expressed in terms of Cartesian coordinates.

- Convert to the **Operational Normal Form (ONF)** expressed in terms of *start, stop and stride*, the *ideal machine abstraction*
- Break up over 4 processors...need to restructure the array shape from $\langle 2\ 2\ 3\ 3\ 2\ 2 \rangle$ to $\langle 4\ 3\ 3\ 2\ 2 \rangle$
- Thus for $0 \leq p < 4$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle i\ j\ k\ l\ m\ n \rangle \psi ((A\ op_{\times}\ B)\ op_{\times}\ A) &= \langle p\ k\ l\ m\ n \rangle \psi (A\ op_{\times}\ B)\ op_{\times}\ A \\ &= ((\langle p \rangle \psi \vec{a}) \times (\langle k\ l \rangle \psi B)) \times (\langle m\ n \rangle \psi A) \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall p, q, r \text{ s.t. } 0 \leq p < 4; 0 \leq r < 9; 0 \leq s < 4$$

$$(\text{avec}[p] \times \text{bvec}[q]) \times \text{avec}[r]$$

Conclusions

- We've discussed how an algebra can describe an algorithm, its decomposition, and its mapping to processors.
- We've discussed how to compose array operations
- We've built prototype compilers and hardware
- What is next? How can the applications drive the research?
- How can the research drive the funding?
- How do we continue to have fun either way?