Logic of Pro	grams
CS 6860 Fal	l 2015

Lecture 7 Tues. Sept 15, 2015

## Lecture 7

Today's lecture will explore the *computational interpretation* of the two constructive logics, mPC and iPC and contrast that with evidence for the axiom of classic PC,  $P \lor \sim P$ .

Chapter 2 of the recommended textbook, *Type Theory and Functional Programming* by Simon Thompson, provides an account of these ideas based on a Natural Deduction style for proofs. We have discussed this style briefly and compared it to our Refinement style sequent calculus.

For Lecture 8 on Thursday, you should read Per Martin-Löf's "On the meanings of the logical constants." They provide a philosophical approach to the topics of this lecture.

This lecture will discuss a research issue about iPC and a new conjecture I have about the computational interpretation of iPC.

The lecture notes for Lecture 8 will be the Martin-Löf article.

## Computing in iPC, the rules for any(t)

The proof rule for  $ex\ falso\ quodlibit$  (False elimination) has an extract, any(t).

$$H, x: \text{False}, H' \vdash G \text{ by any}(x).$$

We have in the past not attempted to compute with any(t). There were no computation rules. Here we propose a rule and examine its behavior. The detailed notes will not be posted since we want to study this phenomenon first. Here are two interesting examples:

$$\vdash (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (\sim C \Rightarrow (C \Rightarrow B)) \qquad \lambda(ab.\lambda(nc.\lambda(x.\_\_)))$$

$$ab: A \Rightarrow B, nc: C \Rightarrow \text{False}, x: C \vdash B \quad \text{by ap}(nc; \_; \_v.\_)$$

$$\vdash C \quad \text{by } x - - - \uparrow$$

$$v: \text{False} \vdash B \quad \text{by any}(v) - - - - - \downarrow$$

$$\text{Note } v = \text{ap}(nc; x)$$

 $\lambda(ab.\lambda(nc.\lambda(x.ap(nc; x; v.any(v)))))$ 

Note  $\operatorname{ap}(nc; x; v.\operatorname{any}(v))$  reduces to  $\operatorname{any}(\operatorname{ap}(nc; x))$ .

So the extract is  $\lambda(ab.\lambda(nc.\lambda(x.any(ap(nc;x)))))$ 

```
Here is another proof of \vdash (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (\sim C \Rightarrow (C \Rightarrow B))
```

The evidence term is  $\lambda(ab.\lambda(nc.\lambda(x.ap(ab;any(ap(nc;x))))))$ 

How to compute with any(ap(nc; x))?

That gives  $\lambda(ab.\lambda(nc.\lambda(x.any(ap(nc;x)))))$ .

This is the same as  $\lambda(ab.\lambda(nc.\lambda(x.any(ap(nc;x)))))!$