

(1) Consider a random graph created as follows. We have  $n$  nodes labeled  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  and  $n$  other nodes labeled  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ . For a parameter  $\alpha$ , we include each edge of the form  $(v_a, w_b)$  independently with probability  $n^{-\alpha}$ . This gives us a random bipartite graph on  $2n$  nodes (since edges only go between nodes of the form  $v_a$  and nodes of the form  $w_b$ ).

For a fixed choice of  $i$  and  $j$ , let  $E_{ij}$  be the event that in the resulting random graph, there is a path of length 2 connecting nodes  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ .

(a) Give a formula for  $\Pr[E_{ij}]$  in terms of  $n$  and  $\alpha$ .

(b) We say that a value of  $\alpha$  is *critical* if, by choosing the probability of each edge to be  $n^{-\alpha}$ , the probability of  $E_{ij}$  (for a fixed  $i$  and  $j$ ) converges to a number strictly between 0 and 1 as  $n$  goes to infinity. More succinctly,  $\alpha$  is critical if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr[E_{ij}] = c$$

for some  $0 < c < 1$ . Such a choice of  $\alpha$  is interesting because the probability of a length-2 path doesn't converge to either 0 or 1, but remains somewhere in between even as  $n$  grows arbitrarily large.

Give a value of  $\alpha$  that is critical, and provide an explanation for your answer.

(c) Let  $\alpha^*$  be a critical value of  $\alpha$ , and let  $\beta < \alpha^*$ . Show that if we generate edges with probability  $n^{-\beta}$  according to the model above, the probability that *all* pairs of nodes  $v_i, v_j$  are connected by length-2 paths converges to 1 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . (*Hint: Use the Union Bound. You can also use the fact that for any constants  $c > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it is the case that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^c e^{-n^\varepsilon} = 0$ .)*

(2) Consider a long, straight road, which we model as a line segment of length  $n$ . We drop a set of  $k$  sensors randomly on this road — so each lands in a location selected uniformly and independently from the interval  $[0, n]$ .

Now, each sensor has a transmitting range of 2, so it can communicate with any other sensor within a distance 2 of it. This means that the random placement of the sensors defines a random  $k$ -node graph  $G$ , in which the nodes are the sensors, and we connect two by an edge if they can communicate with each other. We'd like to choose  $k$  large enough so that  $G$  is connected with high probability, and we can do this by reasoning as follows.

(a) For an integer  $j$  from  $1, 2, \dots, n$ , let  $E_j$  denote the event that no sensor lands in the interval  $[j - 1, j]$ . Give a formula for  $\Pr[E_j]$  in terms of  $n$  and  $k$ .

(b) Argue briefly that if none of the events  $E_j$  occurs, then the random graph  $G$  defined above is connected.

(c) Show that if we drop  $k = 2n \ln n$  sensors at random, then with high probability the graph  $G$  will be connected. (In particular, with probability converging to 1 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .)

(3) A common goal, when analyzing a large graph  $G$ , is to try identifying a dense “core” that is internally well-connected. There are several definitions for this in practice, but one simple way to evaluate whether a subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  constitutes a good core is to look at its minimum node degree, considering  $H$  as a graph in isolation. That is, we try to find a subgraph  $H$  in which no degree is small.

Given an input graph  $G$ , with a subgraph  $H$ , let  $\delta(H)$  denote the minimum degree of a node in  $H$  (when we view  $H$  as a graph in isolation). Now, suppose we are given a parameter  $d$ . We would like to decide whether  $G$  contains a subgraph  $H$  for which  $\delta(H) \geq d$ . Such an  $H$  would be a “core” of the type of described above.

(a) For any  $d$  and any  $r$ , describe an example of a graph  $G$  such that  $G$  contains at least  $r$  nodes each of degree at least  $d$ , but it contains no subgraph  $H$  for which  $\delta(H) \geq d$ . Give a brief explanation for why your example has the desired property.

(b) Give an efficient algorithm that takes an input graph  $G$  and a parameter  $d$ , and decides whether  $G$  contains a subgraph  $H$  with  $\delta(H) \geq d$ . Give a brief proof that your algorithm is correct.