Counterfactual Model for Learning 2

CS6780 – Advanced Machine Learning
Spring 2019

Thorsten Joachims
Cornell University

Reading:
G. Imbens, D. Rubin, Causal Inference for Statistics …, 2015. Chapters 1,3,12.

From Evaluation to Learning

Setting: Batch Learning from Bandit Feedback (BLBF)

- Naïve "Model the World" Learning:
  - Learn: $\hat{\delta}: x \times y \rightarrow \Re$
  - Derive Policy:

$$\pi(y|x) = \operatorname{argmin}_{y'}\left[\hat{\delta}(x,y')\right]$$

- Naïve "Model the Bias" Learning:
  - Find policy that optimizes IPS training error

$$\pi = \operatorname{argmin}_{\pi'}\left[\sum_i \frac{\pi'(y_i|x_i)}{\pi_0(y_i|x_i)}\delta_i\right]$$

Partial-Information ERM

- Setu
  - Lo
  - Le
- Train



$$\hat{\pi} := \operatorname{argmax}_{\pi \in H}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\pi(y_i|x_i)}{\pi_0(y_i|x_i)}\,\delta_i\right]$$

[Zadrozny et al., 2003] [Langford & Li], [Bottou, et al., 2014]

Learning Theory for BLBF

Theorem [Generalization Error Bound]

For any policy space H with capacity C, and for all $\pi \in H$ with probability $1-\eta$

$$\mathrm{U}(\pi) \geq \widehat{U}(\pi) - O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\widehat{Var}(\widehat{U}(\pi))}{n}}\right) - O(C)$$

Unbiased Estimator | Variance Overfitting | Capacity Overfitting

→ Bound accounts for the fact that variance of risk estimator can vary greatly between different $\pi \in \mathrm{H}$

[Swaminathan & Joachims, 2015]

Counterfactual Risk Minimization

Constructive principle for learning algorithms

→ Maximize learning theoretical bound

$$\pi^{crm} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\pi \in H}\left[\widehat{U}(\pi) - \lambda_1\left(\sqrt{\widehat{Var}\left(\widehat{U}(\pi)\right)/n}\right) - \lambda_2 C(H)\right]$$

Training Error/Utility (IPS) | Variance Regularization | Capacity Regularization

[Swaminathan & Joachims, 2015]

POEM: Policy Space

Policy space

$$\pi_w(y|x) = \frac{1}{Z(x)}\exp\left(w \cdot \Phi(x,y)\right)$$

with

- w: parameter vector to be learned
- $\Phi(x,y)$: joint feature map between input and output
- Z(x): partition function (i.e. normalizer)

Note: same form as CRF or Structural SVM

POEM: Learning Method

Policy Optimizer for Exponential Models (POEM)

- Data: $S = \left((x_1, y_1, \delta_1, p_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n, \delta_n, p_n)\right)$
- Policy space: $\pi_w(y|x) = \exp\left(w \cdot \phi(x, y)\right)/Z(x)$

$$w = \underset{w \in \mathcal{R}^N}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left[\hat{U}(\pi_w) - \lambda_1 \left(\sqrt{\widehat{Var}\left(\hat{U}(\pi_w)\right)} \right) - \lambda_2 ||w||^2 \right]$$

IPS Estimator | Variance Regularization | Capacity Regularization

[Swaminathan & Joachims, 2015]

POEM: Text Classification

Data: Reuters Text Classification

- $S^* = \left((x_1, y_1^*), \ldots, (x_m, y_m^*)\right)$
- Label vectors $y^* = (y^1, y^2, y^3, y^4)$

Bandit feedback generation:

- Draw document x_i
- Pick y_i via logging policy $\pi_0(Y|x_i)$
- Observe loss $\delta_i = \text{Hamming}(y_i, y_i^*)$

→ $S = \left((x_1, y_1, \delta_1, p_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n, \delta_n, p_n)\right)$

Results:



x_i 

π_0 → $y_i = (1,0,1,0)$, $p_i = 0.3$ → $\delta_i = 2$

[Joachims et al., 2017]

BanditNet: Policy Space

Policy space

$$\pi_w(y|x) = \frac{1}{Z(x)} \exp(DeepNet(x, y|w))$$

with

- w: parameter tensors to be learned
- Z(x): partition function

Note: same form as Deep Net with softmax output

[Joachims et al., 2017]

BanditNet: Learning Method

- Deep networks with bandit feedback (BanditNet):
  - Data: $S = \left((x_1, y_1, \delta_1, p_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n, \delta_n, p_n)\right)$
  - Hypotheses: $\pi_w(y|x) = \exp\left(DeepNet(x|w)\right)/Z(x)$

$$w = \underset{w \in \mathcal{R}^N}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left[\hat{U}(\pi_w) - \lambda_1 \left(\sqrt{\widehat{Var}\left(\hat{U}(\pi_w)\right)} \right) - \lambda_2 ||w||^2 \right]$$

Self-Normalized IPS Estimator | Variance Regularization | Capacity Regularization

[Joachims et al., 2018]

BanditNet: Object Recognition

- Data: CIFAR-10
  - $S^* = \left((x_1, y_1^*), \ldots, (x_m, y_m^*)\right)$
  - ResNet20 [He et al., 2016]
- Results



- Bandit feedback generation:
  - Draw image x_i
  - Pick y_i via logging policy $\pi_0(Y|x_i)$
  - Observe loss $\delta_i = [y_i \neq y_i^*]$

→ $S = \left((x_1, y_1, \delta_1, p_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n, \delta_n, p_n)\right)$

π_0 → $y_i = \text{dog}$, $p_i = 0.3$ → $\delta_i = 1$

[Beygelzimer & Langford, 2009] [Joachims et al., 2017]