

Lecture 17: polarizing topics, Q&A sites

Cnb note version of Grinm

backtracking: some other debate sites posted to website.

- some extra debate sites

\rightarrow debate.org has "duels" (EKD phrasings):

running format,
"rounds"

[`www.debate.org/debates/\(can-there-be-objective-morality-without-a-divine-language\)`](http://www.debate.org/debates/(can-there-be-objective-morality-without-a-divine-language))

- comments on the conduct (not quite sure why comments are 'freefloating')
- evaluation of conduct, convincing not.

(click on votes) \rightarrow can see individuals' breakdowns.

<but in terms of EK proposal, "last word" may ~~be~~ not be relevant.

One remark on polarizing topics paper:

political "code words": dog whistle politics

could be interesting

(wikipedia, rationalwiki.org, various sites that come up in the obvious google search).

About stack overflow:

lot of ~~is~~ connections btwn proposals.

Anderson et al.

Anderson, Huttonlocher, Kleintjens, Lestevorec 2012. [see Senta highlight]

- experts answer fast(er) [decreases new participants] [optimize experts' time] [deffs of intrants? q help?]
- but answers keep coming even after an answer's been accepted! value to set of answers
- high-value q's get answered quickly is there private messaging?

Community wiki

what do such answers look like?
clarificn; xtra info.

as eval'd by
favorites +
PG views.