display webpg.

What we're gearing up for are your informal project proposals,
- due by 5pm on Piazza on (Tuesday) 
- and which I'd like to discuss w/ each person or team individually
  so that you can write up a solidified version
  based on feedback from me and the rest of the class soon
  after that.

So, submitting earlier than Tue. is better. (like a week earlier isn't bad)
In the days before that, we'll be going over papers meant to
  help form teams
  topic can be on anything appropriate to the class,
  but to prepare you for the proposal,
  in the days before that, we'll be going over papers meant to inspire
  possible projects and writing project proposals based on those
  papers like you did for today, to get a feel for
  
  - generating ideas
  - what kinds of considerations should be included in your props
  - papers of related interest you might want to explore
  - questions what kind of questions are might be more interesting/ tractable
  - fixed set of papers b/c we need common ground for discussion.

Page choices:
- cover a variety of topics, authors, styles ...
- relatively accessible : quick to read
- a dataset is publicly available or a comparable one would be
  (conceptually) easy to get,

Other recommendations are given for your further reference, but not what your
discussion proposals should be about.
Quick note re: LIWC, since a bunch of proposals
hit www.liwc.net/description/6661.php
- lexicon, or really "word" lists in varous categories.

My take: use to see if stuff interesting is happening, but don't take too seriously.

1. The over-testing issue:
   a p-value of .05 = .05 chance you'd get such results under
   the null hypothesis.
   - in just 20 trials, you expect to see
     things coming at "significant"
   - have hypotheses ahead of time, or demand much smaller p-value.

2. Pattern-matching may give false positives/negatives