## CS6742: NLP and Social Interaction, Spring 2011 2/15/2011: More discourse examples

First, some examples from last time, for reference.

- 1. Adapted from Sidner [1979].
  - a. Albert is a fine scientist and a thoughtful guy.
  - b. He sent me a really interesting book by surface mail a while back.
  - c. It was mostly about "sideways" quarks,
  - d. which are completely bizarre —
  - e. they've led to the development of a weird new theory of counter-intuitionistic physics.
  - f. Anyway, I finally got it while I was writing up my thesis.
  - g. They've been fundamental to understanding the "new relativity".
- **2.** Credited by Grosz and Sidner [1986] to Polanyi and Scha "forthcoming", although the published versions of Polanyi and Scha that I was able to find give a similar but longer discourse.
  - a. John came by and left the groceries.
  - b. Stop that you kids.
  - c. And I put them away after he left.
- **3.** From Grishman [1986, pg. 157].
  - $A_1$ : Do you know when the train to Boston leaves?
  - $B_1$ : Yes.
  - $A_2$ : I want to know when the train to Boston leaves.
  - $B_2$ : I understand.

## References

- James Allen. Natural language understanding. Benjamin/Cummings Pub. Co., Redwood City, Calif., second edition, 1995. URL http://books.google.com/books?id=l4lQAAAAMAAJ&q=natural+language+understanding&dq=natural+language+understanding&hl=en&ei=KaJUTY-vFsOblgeCns2wBw&sa=X&oi=book\_result&ct=result&resnum=1&ved= 0CC0Q6AEwAA.
- Ralph Grishman. *Computational linguistics: An introduction*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge [Cambridgeshire]; New York, 1986. ISBN 0521310385. URL http://books.google.com/books?id=Ar3-TXCYXUkC&printsec=frontcover&dq=computational+linguistics+grishman&source=bl&ots=3EJfIPYSZC&sig=yi2khJEIboNYLlCISasUNqhQXzI&hl=en&ei=36FUTcu3BYOclgfS2NTLBw&sa=X&oi=book\_result&ct=result&resnum=1&sqi=2&ved=0CBsQ6AEwAA#v=onepage&q&f=false.
- Barbara J. Grosz and Candace L. Sidner. Attention, intentions, and the structure of discourse. *Computational Linguistics*, 12: 175–204, 7 1986. ISSN 0891-2017. URL http://acl.ldc.upenn.edu/J/J86/J86-3001.pdf.
- Graeme Hirst. *Anaphora in Natural Language Understanding*. Lecture notes in computer science 119. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1981. URL http://www.springerlink.com/content/t82712u28641/?p=bae5b25c10964abd9533ec7ce3b2e0eb&pi=.
- Candace Lee Sidner. AITR-537. Technical report, Artificial Intelligence Laboratory, MIT, 1979. URL http://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/6880/AITR-537.pdf?sequence=2.

Source: Match 1 (1996), Game 2 (Feb 11) of Kasparov vs. Deep Blue. Stenographer transcription (authentic typos). See http://park.org/Cdrom/Pavilions/IBM/DeepBlue/commgm2.html for the full transcript.

- 1 MR. ASHLEY: Welcome to the ACM chess challenge. I'm Maurice Ashley. My
- 2 partner is Yasser Seirwan. Garry Kasparov is playing against IBM's
- 3 Deep Blue, and as most everybody here knows, he is down 1 nothing
- 4 already. So Kasparov needing to play well in order to come back. A big
- 5 question for him is whether or not he can handle the psychological
- 6 pressure of being down against the computer that, first of all,
- 7 everybody thought he was going to beat, including himself and, second
- 8 of all, he simply has no idea how strong it is because this version
- 9 that they're using has never been tested and is clearly playing some
- 10 excellent chess.
- 11 Yasser, yesterday's game was a model of computer cold-bloodedness.
- 12 MR. SEIRAWAN: Precision.
- 13 MR. ASHLEY: It just did not care about Kasparov's attack and just
- 14 ripped him off the board. It was unbelievable.
- 15 MR. SEIRAWAN: It's terrible. I'm still recovering.
- 16 (Laughter.)
- 17 MR. SEIRAWAN: Actually, prior to the match I had said, okay, it's
- 18 great. This is wonderful. There's a lot of hype, the best computer
- 19 the world versus the best human player in the world. Well, it's no
- 20 contest. Garry is going to just win. And I would be shocked, shocked
- 21 if the computer won any game. So naturally --
- 22 MR. ASHLEY: So you're in shock.
- 23 MR. SEIRAWAN: I'm in shock.
- 24 So naturally Deep Blue won the first, and just as you were saying,
- 25 Maurice, I can't fault any single move that the computer made.
- 26 We had dinner last night together with a group of ourselves, and we
- 27 just kept going through the game at various stages, and we said, this
- 28 is a very, very serious opponent for Garry. This is a very legitimate
- 29 match, and of course now that Garry is down a point, he's got to prove
- 30 himself. Yesterday I had spoken about the fact that in tennis -- and
- 31 again I'm probably misattributing the quote. It was of Rod Laver, when
- 32 he was going to sum up his opposition, he said, I only need to see 3
- 33 shots. I need to see the forehand, backhand and the serve, and then  $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$
- 34 will tell you how long or how many sets the match is going to last
- 35 before I win.
- 36 And Garry said the same thing on Friday at the press conference. He
- 37 said basically I need to see the computer on offense, on defense, and
- 38 then the match is going to be mine. So he basically saw the first 2
- 39 games as just being his ability to sum up his opponent and then
- 40 vanquish him in the latter half of the match.
- 41 Well, that may still work, but he's got his work cut out for him. He's
- 42 made it more difficult for himself.

- 43 MR. ASHLEY: What about the psychological pressure on him? From what
- 44 I've seen, I've seen Kasparov down in matches before. He was down
- 45 against Anand in game 9. He was down against Kramnik in the Paris leg
- 46 of the Gran Prix tournament and came back and won. In each case
- 47 Kasparov seems to bounce back from matches. He is not just the kind of
- 48 guy who goes down in chess games and falls apart.