Lecture 5: Tree-adjoining grammars

1. Recall: every CFG is a tree-substitution grammar is a tree-adjoining grammar, but not the other way around.

TSGs vs. CFGs:
- "more elegant" handling of long-distance dependencies

1(a) TSG tree:

1(b) CFG rules:

* derivation tree gives better account of sentence semantics

2. Modification:

2(a) derived tree:

2(b) derived tree:

3. Auxiliary tree: exactly one of the non-root leaves whose label matches the root is distinguished as the foot (conventionally marked with a *)

3(a)

The tree 2(b) looks like 2(a) had 3(a) "split in":

3(b)
4. See #5 on the handout from last time, except replace “into an initial tree $x$” with “into a tree $y$.”

Exercise: what derived tree do you get by doing this?

3(a) again: VP
   \[
   ADVP \quad VP_x
   \]

\[
\triangle \quad \text{really}
\]

4(a): S
   \[
   NP \quad VP
   \]
   \[
   V \quad NP
   \]

So derivation tree:

4(a) at 2
3(a) at 0
1
3(a) at 0
“really”

5. Notes re: Assignment 1
   a. You don’t need to worry about features
   b. NLTK (python NLP toolkit, link on course homepage) OK for tree representations
   c. Make simple test cases and consider how to handle them.
   d. Handle substitution first, maybe, before considering adjunction?
      Or, will it be easier to handle adjunction first, and then make “backwards compatible” with substitution?
   e. You can make simplifications, but document them!