   John drank the wine on the table. It was brown and round.

2. Adapted from Sidner (1979).
   a. Albert is a nice guy but a little clueless.
   b. He told me he was sending me a book, but he sent it by surface mail.
   c. It was actually a pretty interesting book —
   d. apparently there’s a weird new theory involving “sideways” quarks.
   e. Anyway, I finally got it about two weeks ago.
   f. They’ve been fundamental to understanding the “new relativity”.

3. From Polanyi and Scha (1988), I think; cited in Grosz and Sidner 1986 as “forthcoming”.
   a. John came by and left the groceries.
   b. Stop that you kids.
   c. And I put them away after he left.

   A: Do you know when the train to Boston leaves?
   B: Yes.
   A: I want to know when the train to Boston leaves.
   B: I understand.

Source: Match 1 (1996), Game 2 of Kasparov vs. Deep Blue. Stenographer transcription (authentic typos)

1 MR. ASHLEY: Welcome to the ACM chess challenge. I’m Maurice Ashley. My partner is Yasser Seirwan. Garry Kasparov is playing against IBM’s Deep Blue, and as most everybody here knows, he is down 1 nothing already. So Kasparov needing to play well in order to come back. A big question for him is whether or not he can handle the psychological pressure of being down against the computer that, first of all, everybody thought he was going to beat, including himself and, second of all, he simply has no idea how strong it is because this version that they’re using has never been tested and is clearly playing some excellent chess.

11 Yasser, yesterday’s game was a model of computer cold-bloodedness.

12 MR. SEIRAWAN: Precision.

13 MR. ASHLEY: It just did not care about Kasparov’s attack and just ripped him off the board. It was unbelievable.

15 MR. SEIRAWAN: It’s terrible. I’m still recovering.

16 (Laughter.)

17 MR. SEIRAWAN: Actually, prior to the match I had said, okay, it’s great. This is wonderful. There’s a lot of hype, the best computer the world versus the best human player in the world. Well, it’s no contest. Garry is going to just win. And I would be shocked, shocked
if the computer won any game. So naturally --

MR. ASHLEY: So you’re in shock.

MR. SEIRAWAN: I’m in shock.

So naturally Deep Blue won the first, and just as you were saying, Maurice, I can’t fault any single move that the computer made.

We had dinner last night together with a group of ourselves, and we just kept going through the game at various stages, and we said, this is a very, very serious opponent for Garry. This is a very legitimate match, and of course now that Garry is down a point, he’s got to prove himself. Yesterday I had spoken about the fact that in tennis -- and again I’m probably misattributing the quote. It was of Rod Laver, when he was going to sum up his opposition, he said, I only need to see 3 shots. I need to see the forehand, backhand and the serve, and then I will tell you how long or how many sets the match is going to last before I win.

And Garry said the same thing on Friday at the press conference. He said basically I need to see the computer on offense, on defense, and then the match is going to be mine. So he basically saw the first 2 games as just being his ability to sum up his opponent and then vanquish him in the latter half of the match.

Well, that may still work, but he’s got his work cut out for him. He’s made it more difficult for himself.

MR. ASHLEY: What about the psychological pressure on him? From what I’ve seen, I’ve seen Kasparov down in matches before. He was down against Anand in game 9. He was down against Kramnik in the Paris leg of the Gran Prix tournament and came back and won. In each case Kasparov seems to bounce back from matches. He is not just the kind of guy who goes down in chess games and falls apart.