CLASSIC SYSTEMS: UNIX AND THE
The UNIX Time-Sharing System
Dennis Ritchie and Ken Thompson

- Background of authors at Bell Labs
  - Both won Turing Awards in 1983

- Dennis Ritchie
  - Key developer of The C Programming Language, Unix, and Multics

- Ken Thompson
  - Key developer of the B programming language, Unix, Multics, and Plan 9
  - Also QED, ed, UTF-8
The UNIX Time-Sharing System
Dennis Ritchie and Ken Thompson
Classic system and paper
- described almost entirely in 10 pages

Key idea
- elegant combination: a few concepts that fit together well
- Instead of a perfect specialized API for each kind of device or abstraction, the API is deliberately small
System features

- Time-sharing system
- Hierarchical file system
- Device-independent I/O
- Shell-based, tty user interface
- Filter-based, record-less processing paradigm

- Major early innovations: “fork” system call for process creation, file I/O via a single subsystem, pipes, I/O redirection to support chains
Version 3 Unix

- 1969: Version 1 ran PDP-7
- 1971: Version 3 Ran on PDP-11’s
  - Costing as little as $40k!
- < 50 KB
- 2 man-years to write
- Written in C
File System

- Ordinary files (uninterpreted)
- Directories (protected ordinary files)
- Special files (I/O)
Uniform I/O Model

- open, close, read, write, seek
  - Uniform calls eliminates differences between devices
  - Two categories of files: character (or byte) stream and block I/O, typically 512 bytes per block
- other system calls
  - close, status, chmod, mkdir, ln
- One way to “talk to the device” more directly
  - ioctl, a grab-bag of special functionality
- lowest level data type is raw bytes, not “records”
Directories

- root directory
- path names
- rooted tree
- current working directory
- back link to parent
- multiple links to ordinary files
Special Files

- Uniform I/O model
  - Each device associated with at least one file
  - But read or write of file results in activation of device

- Advantage: Uniform naming and protection model
  - File and device I/O are as similar as possible
  - File and device names have the same syntax and meaning, can pass as arguments to programs
  - Same protection mechanism as regular files
Removable File System

- Tree-structured
- *Mount’ed on an ordinary file*
  - Mount replaces a leaf of the hierarchy tree (the ordinary file) by a whole new subtree (the hierarchy stored on the removable volume)
  - After mount, virtually no distinction between files on permanent media or removable media
Protection

- User-world, RWX bits
- set-user-id bit
- super user is just special user id
File System Implementation

- System table of i-numbers (i-list)
- i-nodes
- path names
  (directory is just a special file!)
- mount table
- buffered data
- write-behind
I-node Table

- short, unique name that points at file info.
- allows simple & efficient fsck
- cannot handle accounting issues
Many devices fit the block model

- Disks
- Drums
- Tape drives
- USB storage

- Early version of the ethernet interface was presented as a kind of block device (seek disabled)

- But many devices used IOCTL operations heavily
Processes and images

- text, data & stack segments
- process swapping
- pid = fork()
- pipes
- exec(file, arg1, ..., argn)
- pid = wait()
- exit(status)
Easy to create pipelines

- A “pipe” is a process-to-process data stream, could be implemented via bounded buffers, TCP, etc
- One process can write on a connection that another reads, allowing chains of commands

  ```bash
  % cat *.txt | grep foo | wc
  ```

- In combination with an easily programmable shell scripting model, very powerful!
The Shell

- cmd arg1 ... argn
- stdio & I/O redirection
- filters & pipes
- multi-tasking from a single shell
- shell is just a program

- Trivial to implement in shell
  - Redirection, background processes, cmd files, etc
Traps

- Hardware interrupts
- Software signals
- Trap to system routine
Perspective

- Not designed to meet predefined objective
- Goal: create a comfortable environment to explore machine and operating system
- Other goals
  - Programmer convenience
  - Elegance of design
  - Self-maintaining
But had many problems too. Here are a few:

- Weak, rather permissive security model
- File names too short and file system damaged on crash
- Didn’t plan for threads and never supported them well
- “Select” system call and handling of “signals” was ugly and out of character w.r.t. other features
- Hard to add dynamic libraries (poor handling of processes with lots of “segments”)
- Shared memory and mapped files fit model poorly

...in effect, the initial simplicity was at least partly because of some serious limitations!
Even so, Unix has staying power!

- Today’s Linux systems are far more comprehensive yet the core simplicity of Unix API remains a very powerful force.

- Struggle to keep things simple has helped keep O/S developers from making the system specialized in every way, hard to understand.

- Even with modern extensions, Unix has a simplicity that contrasts with Windows .NET API... Win32 is really designed as an internal layer that libraries invoke, but that normal users never encounter.
“THE”-Multiprogramming System
Edsger W. Dijkstra

- Received Turing Award in 1972

- Contributions
  - Shortest Path Algorithm, Reverse Polish Notation, Bankers algorithm, semaphore’s, self-stabilization

- Known for disliking ‘goto’ statements and using computers!
“THE”-Multiprogramming System

Edsger W. Dijkstra

- Never named “THE” system; instead, abbreviation for "Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven"

- Batch system (no human intervention) that supported multitasking (processes share CPU)
  - THE was *not* multiuser

- Introduced
  - software-based memory segmentation
  - Cooperating sequential processes
  - semaphores
Design

- Layered structure
  - Later Multics has layered structure, ring segmentation
- Layer 0 – the scheduler
  - Allocated CPU to processes, accounted for blocked proc’s
- Layer 1 – the pager
- Layer 2 – communication between OS and console
- Layer 3 – managed I/O
- Layer 4 – user programs
- Layer 5 – the user
  - “Not implemented by us”!
Layered approach
- Design small, well defined layers
- Higher layers dependent on lower ones
  - Helps prove correctness
  - Helps with debugging

Sequential process and Semaphores
Next Time

- Read and write review:
Next Time

- Read and write review:
  - MP1 – available later today and due in two weeks and milestone due next week

- Project Proposal due end of next week
  - talk to me and other faculty and email and talk to me

- Check website for updated schedule