QUESTION 1:
Here we will prove one nice property of the Rayleigh Quotient and use that to prove the convergence rate of Rayleigh iteration. Assume that $v$ is an eigenvector of $A$ with simple eigenvalue $\lambda$ and $z$ is some vector of unit length such that $\text{dist}(v, z) = O(\epsilon)$.

(a) Prove that if $A$ is real and symmetric then $|\lambda - r_A(z)| \leq O(\epsilon^2)$.

(b) Assume that $A$ is a $n \times n$ real and symmetric matrix with distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$. Under the assumption that Rayleigh iteration converges (technically it does for almost all starting vectors, i.e., all but a set of measure zero) prove that the convergence is asymptotically cubic to some eigenvalue of $A$.

(c) Assuming that $A$ is not symmetric (or hermitian) argue that in general we may only expect the Rayleigh quotient $r_A$ to provide an eigenvalue estimate satisfying $|\lambda - r_A(z)| \leq O(\epsilon)$ when $\text{dist}(v, z) = O(\epsilon)$.

(Hint: it may be useful as an intermediary to prove that for two one dimensional subspaces represented by unit length vectors $v$ and $z$ $\text{dist}(v, z) = \sqrt{1 - (v^T z)^2}$.)

QUESTION 2:
Assume you are given an $n \times n$ matrix $A$ with eigenvalues such that $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_2| > |\lambda_3| > \cdots > |\lambda_n|$. Is there any sense in which the iterates generated by the power method converge? If you think they do, is there any way to get an estimate of any of the eigenvalues of $A$ given the iterates?
**Question 3:**

Implement orthogonal iteration (also sometimes known as simultaneous iteration), you may use a built in eigenvalues solver to find the \( r \) eigenvalues of the projection of \( A \) into the subspace of current iterates.

For the remainder of this problem let \( A \) be an \( n \times n \) real matrix with the real block Schur factorization (see HW1 for details)

\[
A = [Q_1 \ Q_2] \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} [Q_1 \ Q_2]^T
\]

where \( Q_1 \) is the first \( r \) columns of \( Q \), \( T_{11} \) is \( r \times r \) and the remaining dimensions are as inferred. Furthermore, let \( A \) have eigenvalues satisfying \(|\lambda_1| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_r| > |\lambda_{r+1}| \geq |\lambda_{r+2}| \geq \cdots \geq |\lambda_n| \) and assume that the \( T_{11} \) has eigenvalues \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \).

(a) For real symmetric \( A \) of size 100 \( \times \) 100 generate instances of this problem where \( \lambda_{r+1} \to \lambda_r \) and show your implementation achieves the desired convergence rate (you can show this for either the convergence of the invariant subspace you are computing or the corresponding estimates of the eigenvalues) both in terms of iterations and as a function of the eigenvalue gap. Think carefully about how to illustrate this.

(b) Now, assume \( A \) is not normal and numerically explore how the convergence behavior of orthogonal iteration changes as a function \( \|T_{12}\|_F \) (you may once again use \( n = 100 \)) What are the implications of your observations?

**Question 4:**

We will now specialize a method from class to symmetric matrices and analyze convergence of QR iteration in a specific setting.

(a) Assume that we are given an \( n \times n \) tridiagonal real symmetric matrix \( T \). Using Givens rotations devise an \( \mathcal{O}(n) \) algorithm to compute the QR factorization (you need not explicitly compute \( Q \), it can be in product form)

\[
T = QR.
\]

(b) Using your previous algorithm show that you can also compute

\[
\hat{T} = RQ
\]

in \( \mathcal{O}(n) \) time and \( \hat{T} \) is tridiagonal.

(c) Returning to the general setting, assume we are given an \( n \times n \) upper Hessenberg matrix \( H \) and are running the QR algorithm with the Rayleigh shift \( \mu^{(k)} = H^{(k)}_{n,n} \). Now, say that at step \( k \) we are computing the QR factorization of \( H^{(k)} - \mu^{(k)} I \) using Givens rotations and prior to applying the final necessary rotation we observe the structure

\[
G^{(n-2)} \cdots G^{(1)} \left( H^{(k)} - \mu^{(k)} I \right) = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11}^{(k)} & R_{12}^{(k)} & R_{13}^{(k)} \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & \epsilon & 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( R_{11}^{(k)} \) is \( n - 2 \times n - 2 \) and \( a, b, \) and \( \epsilon \) are scalars. Derive an expression for \( R_{n,n-1}^{(k+1)} \) where \( H^{(k+1)} = R^{(k)} Q^{(k)} + \mu^{(k)} I \) and given some conditions under which we would expect that entry to be \( \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \). Notably, this argues that we may sometimes expect quadratic convergence of each eigenvalue in the non-symmetric case.