

Kleisli Categories

Ross Tate

October 15, 2014

Definition ($\langle C, m, \mu, \cdot, \eta, \cdot \rangle$ -Postmodule in a 2-Category \mathbf{C}). A tuple $\langle \mathcal{R}, r, \rho, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{i} \rangle$ whose components have the following types:

Object \mathcal{R} : \mathbf{C}

Morphism r : $C \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$

Action ρ : $m; r \Rightarrow r$

Distributivity \mathfrak{d} : A proof that

equals

In other words,

Identity \mathfrak{i} : A proof that

equals

In other words,

Remark. A postmodule is more commonly called a right module.

Theorem. For every monad $\langle C, m, \mu, \mathfrak{d}, \eta, \mathfrak{i} \rangle$, the tuple $\langle C, m, \mu, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{i} \rangle$ is a postmodule of that monad.

Definition ($\mathbf{Eff}(\mathcal{M})$ where $\mathcal{M} = \langle C, M, \mu, \cdot, \eta, \cdot \rangle$ is a \mathbf{CAT} -Monad). A category whose objects are the object of \mathbf{C} and whose morphisms from C_1 to C_2 are the \mathbf{C} -morphisms from C_1 to $M(C_2)$. Given $f : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ and $g : C_2 \rightarrow C_3$ in $\mathbf{Eff}(\mathcal{M})$, their composition in $\mathbf{Eff}(\mathcal{M})$ is the \mathbf{C} -morphism $f; M(g); \mu_{C_3}$. This composition is associative due to

naturality and associativity of μ . Given an object C , the identity morphism in $\mathbf{Eff}(\mathcal{M})$ is the \mathbf{C} -morphism η_C . This is an identity with respect to composition due to identity of η with respect to μ .

Remark. $\mathbf{Eff}(\mathcal{M})$ is known as the Kleisli category of \mathcal{M} .

Exercise 1. Prove that $\mathbf{Eff}(\mathbb{P})$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Rel} .

Exercise 2. Prove that there is a functor $I : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Eff}(\mathcal{M})$ that maps C to C and f to the morphism whose corresponding \mathbf{C} -morphism is $f; \eta$ (or equivalently $\eta; M(f)$). Prove that there is a natural transformation $\varrho : M; I \Rightarrow I$ that maps C to the morphism whose corresponding \mathbf{C} -morphism is $id_M(C)$. Prove that $\langle \mathbf{Eff}(\mathcal{M}), I, \varrho, \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a \mathcal{M} -postmodule.

Remark. I above is injective if and only if η is a natural monomorphism, meaning η_C is a monomorphism for all C .

Exercise 3. Prove that for any \mathbf{CAT} -monad \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{M} -postmodule $\langle \mathbf{R}, R, \rho, \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, there is a unique functor $R' : \mathbf{Eff}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $R = I; R'$ and $\rho = \varrho \cdot R'$.

Remark. Given a 2-category \mathbf{C} , one can construct an opetory with the same 0-cells and 1-cells and with a 2-cell for each 2-cell from the composition of the inputs to the output. $\mathbf{1}$ is the opetory with one 0-cell C , one 1-cell $m : C \rightarrow C$, and one 2-cell from $m^n \Rightarrow m$ for each $n : \mathbb{N}$. A monad \mathcal{M} in \mathbf{C} corresponds to a functor M of opetories from $\mathbf{1}$ to \mathbf{C} . Let $\mathbf{1}_r$ be the opetory with two 0-cells C and \mathcal{R} , two 1-cells $m : C \rightarrow C$ and $r : C \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$, and one 2-cell from $m^n r$ to r for each $n : \mathbb{N}$ and one 2-cell from $m^n \Rightarrow m$ for each $n : \mathbb{N}$. There is a unique functor of opetories from $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{1}_r$, which we will call I_r . An \mathcal{M} -postmodule \mathcal{R} , then, corresponds to a functor R of opetories from $\mathbf{1}_r$ to \mathbf{C} such that $I_r; R$ equals M .

Exercise 4. Show that a monad morphism from \mathcal{M}_1 to \mathcal{M}_2 provides a functor from $\mathbf{Eff}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ to $\mathbf{Eff}(\mathcal{M}_2)$.