

# Effectors

Ross Tate

October 17, 2014

**Definition** (Effector). A tuple  $\langle E, \overset{\circ}{\mapsto}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i} \rangle$  whose components have the following types:

**Set of Effects**  $E$ : Type

**Sequence Relation**  $\overset{\circ}{\mapsto}$ :  $\mathbb{L}(E) \times E \rightarrow \mathbf{Prop}$

**Associativity**  $\mathbf{a}$ :  $\forall \vec{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \vec{\varepsilon}_n, \varepsilon. \forall \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n. (\forall i. \vec{\varepsilon}_i \overset{\circ}{\mapsto} \varepsilon_i) \wedge [\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n] \overset{\circ}{\mapsto} \varepsilon \implies \vec{\varepsilon}_1 ++ \dots ++ \vec{\varepsilon}_n \overset{\circ}{\mapsto} \varepsilon$

**Identity**  $\mathbf{i}$ :  $\forall \varepsilon. [\varepsilon] \overset{\circ}{\mapsto} \varepsilon$

**Example.** An important example is where  $E$  is the singleton set and  $\overset{\circ}{\mapsto}$  always holds.

**Definition** ((Biased)  $\mathbf{M}$ -Enriched Natural Transformation from  $\langle F_1, f_1, \bullet, \bullet \rangle$  to  $\langle F_2, f_2, \bullet, \bullet \rangle$  as  $\mathbf{M}$ -enriched functors from  $\langle O_1, \mathcal{M}_1, c_1, \bullet, i_1, \bullet \rangle$  to  $\langle O_2, \mathcal{M}_2, c_2, \bullet, i_2, \bullet \rangle$ ). A tuple  $\langle t, \mathbf{n} \rangle$  where the components have the following types:

**Transformation**  $t$ : For all pairs  $C_1, C_2 : O_1$ , an  $\mathbf{M}$ -morphism  $t : [\mathcal{M}_1(C_1, C_2)] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(F_1(C_1), F_2(C_2))$

**Naturality**  $\mathbf{n}$ : For all triples of objects  $C_1, C_2, C_3 : O_1$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{M}_1(C_2, C_3) \xrightarrow{f_2} & \mathcal{M}_2(F_2(C_2), F_2(C_3)) & \\
 & \searrow & \\
 & c_2 & \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(F_1(C_1), F_2(C_3)) \\
 \mathcal{M}_1(C_1, C_2) \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{M}_2(F_1(C_1), F_2(C_2)) & \\
 & \nearrow & \\
 & c_2 & \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(F_1(C_1), F_2(C_3))
 \end{array}
 =
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{M}_1(C_2, C_3) \xrightarrow{t} & \mathcal{M}_2(F_1(C_2), F_2(C_3)) & \\
 & \searrow & \\
 & c_2 & \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(F_1(C_1), F_2(C_3)) \\
 \mathcal{M}_1(C_1, C_2) \xrightarrow{f_1} & \mathcal{M}_2(F_1(C_1), F_1(C_2)) & \\
 & \nearrow & \\
 & c_2 & \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2(F_1(C_1), F_2(C_3))
 \end{array}$$

**Example.** A **Prost**-enriched category is essentially a category with a preordering on each set of morphisms such that composition preserves the preordering. A **Prost**-enriched functor is essentially a functor  $F$  with the additional property that  $m_1 \leq m_2$  in the domain **Prost**-enriched category implies that  $F(m_1) \leq F(m_2)$  in the codomain **Prost**-enriched category. A **Prost**-enriched natural transformation turns out to be equivalent to just a natural transformation.

*Notation.* A  $\mathbf{M}$ -enriched category is sometimes referred to as simply a  $\mathbf{M}$ -category. A  $\mathbf{M}$ -enriched functor is sometimes referred to as simply a  $\mathbf{M}$ -functor. A  $\mathbf{M}$ -enriched natural transformation is sometimes referred to as simply a  $\mathbf{M}$ -transformation.

**Definition** ( $\mathbf{CAT}(\mathbf{M})$ ). The 2-category whose objects are  $\mathbf{M}$ -categories, whose morphisms are  $\mathbf{M}$ -functors, and whose 2-cells are  $\mathbf{M}$ -transformations.

**Example.** The function on sets/types  $\mathbb{L}$  can be made into a **Prost**-monad on the **Prost**-category **Rel**.

**Definition** (Lax Algebra of a **Prost**-Monad  $\mathcal{M}$  on a **Prost**-Category  $\mathbf{C}$ ). A tuple  $\langle C, a, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i} \rangle$  whose components have the following types:

**Underlying Object  $\mathcal{C}$ :**  $\mathbf{C}$

**Operation  $a$ :**  $M(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

**Associativity  $\mathbf{a}$ :**  $M(a); a \leq \mu_{\mathcal{C}}; a : M(M(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

**Identity  $\mathbf{i}$ :**  $id_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \eta_{\mathcal{C}}; a : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

*Remark.* The above definition can be generalized to **CAT**-monads by changing  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{i}$  to be 2-cells  $\alpha$  and  $\iota$  and then imposing equations required to be satisfied by  $\alpha$  and  $\iota$ .

*Remark.* An effector is exactly a lax algebra of the **Prost**-monad  $\mathbb{L}$  on the **Prost**-category **Rel**.

**Exercise 1.** Prove that there is a bijection between the set of effectors and the set of small thin multicategories (where thin means there is at most one morphism from any domain to any codomain).

**Definition** (Semi-strict Effector). An effector with the following additional property:

$$\forall \vec{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \vec{\varepsilon}_n, \varepsilon. \vec{\varepsilon}_1 ++ \dots ++ \vec{\varepsilon}_n \overset{\mathbf{i}}{\mapsto} \varepsilon \implies \exists \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n. (\forall i. \vec{\varepsilon}_i \overset{\mathbf{i}}{\mapsto} \varepsilon_i) \wedge [\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n] \overset{\mathbf{i}}{\mapsto} \varepsilon$$

**Definition** (Effectoid). A set  $E$  along with a unary relation  $\varepsilon \mapsto \bullet$ , a binary relation  $\bullet \leq \bullet$ , and a ternary relation  $\bullet \circledast \bullet \mapsto \bullet$  satisfying:

<b>Identity</b>	$\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon'.$	$\begin{array}{c} \exists \varepsilon_{\ell}. \varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon_{\ell} \wedge \varepsilon_{\ell} \circledast \varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon' \\ \updownarrow \\ \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon' \\ \updownarrow \\ \exists \varepsilon_r. \varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon_r \wedge \varepsilon \circledast \varepsilon_r \mapsto \varepsilon' \end{array}$
<b>Associativity</b>	$\forall \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon.$	$\begin{array}{c} \exists \bar{\varepsilon}. \varepsilon_1 \circledast \varepsilon_2 \mapsto \bar{\varepsilon} \wedge \bar{\varepsilon} \circledast \varepsilon_3 \mapsto \varepsilon \\ \updownarrow \\ \exists \hat{\varepsilon}. \varepsilon_2 \circledast \varepsilon_3 \mapsto \hat{\varepsilon} \wedge \varepsilon_1 \circledast \hat{\varepsilon} \mapsto \varepsilon \end{array}$
<b>Reflexivity</b>	$\forall \varepsilon.$	$\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon$
<b>Congruence</b>	$\forall \varepsilon, \varepsilon'.$	$\varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon \wedge \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon' \implies \varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon'$
	$\forall \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon, \varepsilon'.$	$\varepsilon_1 \circledast \varepsilon_2 \mapsto \varepsilon \wedge \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon' \implies \varepsilon_1 \circledast \varepsilon_2 \mapsto \varepsilon'$

**Theorem.** There is a bijection between the set of semi-strict effectors and the set of effectoids. The bijection preserves the set  $E$ . The unary relation  $\varepsilon \mapsto \bullet$  corresponds to  $[\ ] \overset{\mathbf{i}}{\mapsto} \varepsilon$ ; the binary relation  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$  corresponds to  $[\varepsilon] \overset{\mathbf{i}}{\mapsto} \varepsilon'$ ; and the ternary relation  $\varepsilon_1 \circledast \varepsilon_2 \mapsto \varepsilon$  corresponds to  $[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2] \overset{\mathbf{i}}{\mapsto} \varepsilon$ .