### 1 Introduction

A fundamental limitation of CCS is that the communication structure of a process is fixed. For example, it is easy to show that the set  $\{\alpha \mid P \stackrel{\alpha}{\to} P'\}$  is finite. The  $\pi$ -calculus is a similar, but more expressive calculus that addresses this deficiency.

### 2 Syntax

P	::=	0	Inert
		x(y).P	Receive
		$\overline{x}\langle y\rangle.P$	Send
		$P_1 \mid P_2$	Parallel composition
		$\nu x. P$	Restriction
		!P	Replication

Compared to CCS, note that instead of simply interacting on a named channel, we can now communicate channel names! In addition,  $\pi$ -calculus does not have summation or top-level definitions. Again, we work up to  $\alpha$  equivalence for restrictions.

# 3 Labeled Transition System

Structural congruence is defined as follows:

$$P|Q \equiv Q|P$$

$$(P|Q)|R \equiv P|(Q|R)P|0 \equiv P$$

$$\nu x. \ 0 \equiv 0$$

$$\nu x. \ (P|Q) \equiv (\nu x.P)|Q \text{ if } x \notin fv(Q)$$

$$!P \equiv !P|P$$

Reduction is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{x}\langle y\rangle. \ P|x(z).Q \rightarrow P|Q\{y/z\} \\ \hline P \rightarrow P' \\ \overline{P|Q \rightarrow P'|Q} \\ \hline P \rightarrow P' \\ \overline{\nu x. \ P \rightarrow \nu x. \ P'} \\ \hline P \equiv Q \quad Q \rightarrow Q' \quad Q' \equiv P' \\ \hline P' \rightarrow P' \end{array}$$

The definition of the labeled transition system is left as an exercise.

## 4 Programming in the $\pi$ -calculus

The rest of this lecture will explore how we can implement various programming constructs in  $\pi$ -calculus.

#### 4.1 Polyadic Communication

Although  $\pi$ -calculus send/receive are unary, we can encode polyadic communication as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \overline{l}\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle & \triangleq & \nu p. \ \overline{l}\langle p \rangle. \ \overline{p}\langle x_1 \rangle \dots . \overline{p}\langle x_n \rangle \\ l(y_1, \dots, y_n) & \triangleq & l(p). \ p(y_1) \dots . p(y_n) \end{array}$$

Intuitively, this encoding works by first creating a fresh channel name p, sending p along l, and then sending the actual names  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  along p. The use of a fresh channel ensures that multiple senders and receivers will not interfere with each other.

#### 4.2 Booleans

We can encode booleans as processes that receive names of t and f channels, and then send on the corresponding channel.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} True(b) &\triangleq & !b(t,f).\bar{t} \\ False(b) &\triangleq & !b(t,f).\overline{f} \\ Cond(P,Q)(b) &\triangleq & \nu t, f(\overline{b}\langle t,f\rangle.(t().P+f().Q)) \end{array}$$

Note that we put a ! in front of processes to turn them into servers create arbitrary numbers of the original process. This prevents their destruction after sending or receiving a message.

#### 4.3 Internal Choice

Although  $\pi$ -calculus does not have summation, we can encode a limited form of "internal" choice:

$$P \oplus Q \triangleq \nu c. (\overline{c} \langle \rangle \mid c().P \mid c().Q)$$

#### 4.4 References

We can also encode mutable references as processes.

## 4.5 $\lambda$ -calculus

Finally, we can encode the  $\lambda\text{-calculus}$  into the  $\pi\text{-calculus}$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} \llbracket x \rrbracket(p) &\triangleq \overline{x} \langle p \rangle \\ \llbracket \lambda x. e \rrbracket(p) &\triangleq p(x, q). \llbracket e \rrbracket(q) \\ \llbracket e_1, e_2 \rrbracket(p) &\triangleq \nu q(\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket(q) \mid \nu y(\overline{q} \langle y, p \rangle \mid ! y(r). \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket(r)) \end{split}$$

Note the similarity to continuation-passing style.