

Lecture 19

Discuss answers to Midterm Exam

Most students correctly solved problems 2 and 3. The variation in grades came from Problem 1. Here are selected answers:

- (a) Use simple ordinary mathematics, e.g. $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i$. Claiming that a definition like $f(x) = 2 * f(x + 1)$ is “ordinary math” is a stretch.
- (b) Functions in mathematics are ordinarily typed as in $f(x) = \text{exp}(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{exp}(x) \in \mathbb{N}$. The semantics is usually in terms of sets, e.g. \mathbb{N} is a set and f will be a single valued relation, a set of ordered pairs. It does not make sense in set theory that $f(f)$ because f can't be a member of the set of ordered pairs. For $f(x) = tt$, the Boolean constant function where $\mathbb{B} = \{tt, ff\}$, we see that $f(f)$ is impossible.
- (c) Self application makes sense in computer science because functions are computation *rules* or algorithms. They can be given by combinators such as **S**, **K**. Self application is sensible for rules or algorithms as the **Y** combinator shows.
- (d) In Church style typing, the variables have types as part of their syntax, as in $\lambda x^\alpha. x$.
- (e) Typed terms terminate – everyone got this!
- (f) The evaluation of the numerical argument must be call-by-value and the *fix* operator must be call-by-name.
- (g) The **S**, **K** combinators form a basis, they can define all other combinators and thus compute all computable functions, even partial ones.
- (h) A subrecursive language, such as the primitive recursive or elementary recursive function definition formalism, compute a subtype of the Herbrand Gödel recursive functions; CoqPL is an example.
- (i) Everyone correctly answered this!
- (j) When used to define an evaluator for λ -terms, the λ -calculus is being used as a *meta language*, and this is made clear in the syntax, e.g. writing $\underline{\lambda}(x.b)$ for the object language terms, those being evaluated in the meta language evaluator.