

## Problem Set 3 Due Friday Mar 8

*CS6116 students should solve Problems 2 and 5*

### Problem 1

Revisiting Euclid's Theorem in Prim Rec Arith.

Euclid's Theorem symbolically:

$$\forall a, b: \mathbb{N}. \exists d: \mathbb{N}. \text{div}(d, a) \ \& \ \text{div}(d, b) \ \& \ \forall z: \mathbb{N}. (\text{div}(z, a) \ \& \ \text{div}(z, b) \Rightarrow z \leq d)$$

If we let  $\text{div}(x, y) = E_k^y(k * x) = y$ , then using Goodstein's notation, Euclid's Theorem is

$$A_a^n(A_b^a(E_d^a(\text{div}(d, a) \ \& \ \text{div}(d, b)))) \ \& \ A_z^n(A_a^n(A_b^a(\text{div}(z, a) \ \& \ \text{div}(z, b)) \Rightarrow (z \dot{-} d = 0)))$$

- (a) The key step in proving this theorem is witnessing  $E_d^a$ . A function  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  computing the greatest common divisor is a witness for the existential quantifier. Write a primitive recursive function to compute  $\text{gcd}$ .
- (b) Write a while program to compute  $\text{gcd}$  and add assertions that document the code and provide a basis for proving that the program is correct.
- (c) Prove that the while program is correct either using the structural operational semantics or the Binary Relation Semantics — both in CS6110 2010 Lecture 17 posted with Monday's lecture.
- (d) Sketch a Hoare Logic asserted program *total correctness* proof.

### Problem 2

Define the integer square root of a non-negative number  $n$  to be the least non-negative number  $r$  such that  $r^2 \leq n < (r + 1)^2$ . Call this relation  $\text{Root}(r, n)$ .

- (a) Write an IMP program to compute  $r$ .
- (b) Prove that your program is *totally correct*, either using asserted programs with a termination predicate (see notes on PL/CV) or using structured operational semantics or Relational Semantics (on-line notes for Lect 16) or positional semantics.

**Problem 3**

Write a careful proof using Relational Semantics, that the Hoare while rule is valid for partial correctness.

**Problem 4**

Pick an imperative programming language that you know well (e.g. Java, Python, C, C++, etc.) and explain at least four caveats that need to be stated in order to make the Hoare assignment rule valid.

**Problem 5**

- (a) Define a function  $\lambda(x.big(x))$ , related to the functions  $f_n$  in Def 3.2 page 3 of Meyer and Ritchie's paper, which is not Loop computable, thus not primitive recursive.
- (b) Sketch a proof that  $\lambda(x.big(x))$  is not Loop computable.
- (c) For **extra credit**, write the continuation passing form of this function.

**Problem 6 - More Extra Credit**

Write your intuitive explanation of why continuation passing computations are tail recursive.