

## 1 Review of CBN and CBV Semantics

$$\begin{aligned}
 e & ::= x \mid \lambda x e \mid e_0 e_1 \\
 v & ::= \lambda x e
 \end{aligned}$$

Call By Name Semantics:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C[(\lambda x e_0) e_1] & \mapsto C[e_0 \{e_1/x\}] \\
 C & ::= [\cdot] \mid C e
 \end{aligned}$$

Call By Value Semantics:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C[(\lambda x e) v] & \mapsto C[e \{v/x\}] \\
 C & ::= [\cdot] \mid C e \mid v C
 \end{aligned}$$

## 2 CBV Translation and Notes

Call By Name to Call By Value Translation For compactness we omit the name of the translation and just treat  $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$  as a semantic function itself

$$\begin{aligned}
 \llbracket x \rrbracket & = x \quad \llbracket \lambda y y \rrbracket = \lambda y y \\
 \llbracket \lambda x e \rrbracket & = \lambda x \llbracket e \rrbracket \\
 \llbracket e_0 e_1 \rrbracket & = \llbracket e_0 \rrbracket (\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket)
 \end{aligned}$$

Expressing semantics through translations is a style of semantics known as *denotational semantics*, although the target language is usually mathematical functions rather than  $\lambda$ -calculus terms. We'll see true denotational semantics later in the course.

## 3 Soundness and Adequacy in CBV semantics

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Soundness} : e \mapsto^* v & \Rightarrow \exists v' \llbracket e \rrbracket \mapsto^* v' \wedge v' \approx \llbracket v \rrbracket \\
 \text{Adequacy} : \exists v' e \mapsto^* v \wedge v' \approx \llbracket v \rrbracket & \Leftarrow \llbracket e \rrbracket \mapsto^* v'
 \end{aligned}$$

Basic idea of soundness is saying that the operational semantics doesn't break the meaning (with respect to the translation) of the program as it executes.

### Proof of soundness

We will show that if  $e \mapsto e'$  in CBN then  $\llbracket e \rrbracket \approx \llbracket e' \rrbracket$

We will prove this by induction on the form of  $C_N$ .

For  $C_N = [ ]$  we have  $C_N[(\lambda x e_0) e_1] \mapsto C_N[e_0\{e_1/x\}]$  or equivalently  $(\lambda x e_0) e_1 \mapsto e_0\{e_1/x\}$ . So we have to show that  $\llbracket e_0 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\} \approx \llbracket e_0\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket$ .

We will show this by structural induction on  $e_0$ .

If  $e_0 = x$  then we have:

$$\llbracket e_0 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\} \approx (x I) \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\} \approx \lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket I \approx \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \approx \llbracket x\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket \approx \llbracket e_0\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket$$

If  $e_0 = y$  with  $y \neq x$  then we have:

$$\llbracket e_0 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\} \approx (y I) \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\} \approx y I \approx \llbracket y \rrbracket \approx \llbracket y\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket \approx \llbracket e_0\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket$$

If  $e_0 = \lambda x e_2$  we have:

$$\llbracket \lambda x e_2 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\} \approx (\lambda x \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket) \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\} \approx \llbracket (\lambda x e_2)\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket \approx \llbracket e_0\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket$$

If  $e_0 = \lambda y e_2$  we have:

$$\llbracket \lambda y e_2 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\} \approx (\lambda y \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket) \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\}$$

Given that  $e_2$  is a subexpression of  $e_0$  we can apply the induction hypothesis obtaining:

$$\lambda y (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\}) \approx \lambda y \llbracket e_2\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket \approx \llbracket (\lambda y e_2)\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket \approx \llbracket e_0\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket$$

If  $e_0 = e_2 e_3$  then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket e_2 e_3 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\} &\approx (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \lambda z \llbracket e_3 \rrbracket) \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\} \approx (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\}) (\llbracket e_3 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\}) \approx \\ &\approx (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\}) (\lambda z (\llbracket e_3 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\})) \end{aligned}$$

Given that  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  are subexpressions of  $e_0$  we can apply the induction hypothesis obtaining:

$$\begin{aligned} (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\}) (\lambda z (\llbracket e_3 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\})) &\approx \llbracket e_2\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket (\lambda z (\llbracket e_3\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket)) \approx \llbracket e_2\{e_1/x\} e_3\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket \approx \\ &\approx \llbracket (e_2 e_3)\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket \approx \llbracket e_0\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

This concludes our proof that  $\llbracket e_0 \rrbracket \{\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket / x\} \approx \llbracket e_0\{e_1/x\} \rrbracket$ .

Now, for  $C_N = C'_N e''$  we have  $C'_N[(\lambda x e_0) e_1] e'' \mapsto C'_N[e_0\{e_1/x\}] e''$ . Because  $C'_N$  is a subexpression of  $C_N$  we have  $\llbracket C'_N[(\lambda x e_0) e_1] \rrbracket \approx \llbracket C'_N[e_0\{e_1/x\}] \rrbracket$  according to the induction hypothesis. So we have (with induction on structure of  $C_N$ , now):

$$\llbracket C'_N[(\lambda x e_0) e_1] e'' \rrbracket \approx \llbracket C'_N[(\lambda x e_0) e_1] \rrbracket (\lambda z \llbracket e'' \rrbracket) \approx \llbracket C'_N[e_0\{e_1/x\}] \rrbracket (\lambda z \llbracket e'' \rrbracket) \approx \llbracket C'_N[e_0\{e_1/x\}] e'' \rrbracket$$

## 4 Extending the CBV Lambda Calculus

### 4.1 Adding If's and booleans

$$\begin{aligned} e &::= \dots \mid \#t \mid \#f \mid \mathbf{if} e_0 \mathbf{then} e_1 \mathbf{else} e_2 \\ v &::= \dots \mid \#t \mid \#f \end{aligned}$$

SOS:

$$C ::= \dots \mid \mathbf{if} C \mathbf{then} e_1 \mathbf{else} e_2$$

$$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{\mathcal{C}[e] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[e']} \quad \frac{}{\mathbf{if} \#t \mathbf{then} e_1 \mathbf{else} e_2 \rightarrow e_1} \quad \frac{}{\mathbf{if} \#f \mathbf{then} e_1 \mathbf{else} e_2 \rightarrow e_2}$$

$$\llbracket \#t \rrbracket = \lambda x \lambda y (x I)$$

$$\llbracket \#f \rrbracket = \lambda x \lambda y (y I)$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{if} e_0 \mathbf{then} e_1 \mathbf{else} e_2 \rrbracket = \llbracket e_0 \rrbracket (\lambda z \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket) (\lambda z \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket)$$

Note that this translation has no error checking for the case where  $\#t$  or  $\#f$  are not first argument to an if expression.

## 4.2 Adding Let's

$$e ::= \dots \mid \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2$$

SOS:

$$C ::= \dots \mid \mathbf{let} \ x = C \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{let} \ x = v \ \mathbf{in} \ e \rightarrow e\{v/x\}}$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 \rrbracket = (\lambda x \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket) \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket$$

## 4.3 Adding Pairs

$$\begin{aligned} e & ::= \dots \mid \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \mid \mathbf{left} \ e \mid \mathbf{right} \ e \\ v & ::= \dots \mid \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

SOS:

$$C ::= \dots \mid \langle C, e \rangle \mid \langle v, C \rangle \mid \mathbf{left} \ C \mid \mathbf{right} \ C$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{left} \ \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \rightarrow v_1} \quad \overline{\mathbf{right} \ \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \rightarrow v_2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \rrbracket & = (\lambda x \lambda y \lambda f f x y) \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \mathbf{left} \ e \rrbracket & = \llbracket e \rrbracket (\lambda x \lambda y x) \\ \llbracket \mathbf{right} \ e \rrbracket & = \llbracket e \rrbracket (\lambda x \lambda y y) \end{aligned}$$