

What to turn in

Turn in the assignment at the beginning of class on the due date.

1. Continuity (40 pts)

- (a) (Winskel 8.6) Exactly what functions from a pointed CPO to a discrete CPO are continuous?
 (b) Show that the *strict* function,

$$\text{strict} = \lambda f \in \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_{\perp}. \lambda \bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma_{\perp}. \text{if } \bar{\sigma} = \perp \text{ then } \perp \text{ else } f(\bar{\sigma})$$

is continuous.

- (c) (from Winskel 8.12) Operations on a set S can be extended to the lifted domain S_{\perp} . For example, the or-operator $\vee : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ can be extended to $\vee_{\perp} : \mathbb{B}_{\perp} \times \mathbb{B}_{\perp} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\perp}$ by taking

$$x_1 \vee_{\perp} x_2 = (\text{let } b_1 = x_1, b_2 = x_2 \text{ in } [b_1 \vee b_2])$$

This extension is *strict* since if either x_1 or x_2 is \perp , then so is $x_1 \vee_{\perp} x_2$. This extension is not the only possible extension of \vee , however. Describe in the form of “truth tables” all continuous extensions of the boolean or-operator \vee . Show that the functions you define are continuous.

- (d) A set S is *isomorphic* to another set S' if there is a one-to-one function mapping from S to S' . Similarly, a domain D is isomorphic to a domain D' if there is a *continuous* one-to-one function mapping D to D' . That is, the two domains must have not only corresponding elements but also corresponding structure. Because the function is continuous, it preserves not only ordering but also suprema.
- i. Show that the domains $D \rightarrow E$ and $\{f \in D_{\perp} \rightarrow E_{\perp} \mid f(\perp) = \perp\}$ (both ordered pointwise) are isomorphic for any CPO's D and E .
 - ii. Show that the domains $D \times E \rightarrow F$ and $D \rightarrow E \rightarrow F$ are isomorphic for any CPO's D, E, F .

2. Approximation (20 pts)

An element x of a CPO *approximates* another element y , written $x \ll y$, if all chains z_n whose LUB is at least y contain an element that is at least x :

$$y \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup_{n \in \omega} z_n \implies \exists n \in \omega. x \sqsubseteq z_n$$

An element of a CPO is *finite* (or *compact*) if it approximates itself.

- (a) Show that $x \ll y \implies x \sqsubseteq y$.

What are the finite elements of these domains?

- (b) natural numbers ω with discrete ordering
- (c) $\omega \cup \{\infty\}$ with \leq ordering ($\forall n. n \leq \infty$)
- (d) $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with pointwise ordering
- (e) $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\perp}$ with pointwise ordering

3. Lazy uF and letrec (40 pts)

In class (Friday) we defined a denotational semantics for the uF language, which has eager evaluation. We could as easily have written a denotational semantics for a lazy version of uF, in which *let* expressions, arguments to functions, and the two cells of pairs are all evaluated lazily. For example, given a divergent expression Ω , the following expressions should evaluate to 0 rather than diverging:

- `left` $\langle 0, \Omega \rangle$
- $(\lambda x. 0) \Omega$
- `let` $x = \Omega$ in `0`

In this problem you will write the denotational semantics for lazy uF in either direct style or in continuation-passing style (your choice). Justify any language design decisions that you have to make along the way.

- Write the domain equations for lazy uF.
- Define a semantic function $\mathcal{C}[\cdot]$ that gives the meaning of a lazy uF expression.

uF has the simple recursion construct `rec` that permits construction of recursive functions. Many languages allow the construction of mutually recursive functions, like the REC language that we defined in class. In a lazy language, there is the potential to define recursive *data structures* as well. Suppose we want both of these capabilities in lazy uF, and extend the language with a corresponding `letrec` expression:

$$e ::= \dots \mid \text{letrec } x_1 = e_1, \dots, x_n = e_n \text{ in } e_0$$

Because arbitrary expressions can appear in a `letrec` expression (unlike in ML), we can use `letrec` to define recursive data structures, such as infinite lists of the natural numbers. For example, the following evaluates to 4:

```
letrec
  inclist = ( $\lambda x. \langle (\text{left } x) + 1, \text{inclist } (\text{right } x) \rangle$ ),
  nats =  $\langle 0, \text{inclist nats} \rangle$ 
  evens =  $\langle 0, \text{inclist odds} \rangle$ ,
  odds = inclist evens,
in
  left (right (right evens))
```

The same approach can be used to produce other interesting infinite lists, such as a lazily-evaluated list of the primes.

- Define $\mathcal{C}[\text{letrec } x_1 = e_1, \dots, x_n = e_n \text{ in } e_0]$. Justify the correctness of any use of *fix* that appears in your definition.
- Show that your language semantics gives the intended meaning for the expression `letrec ones= $\langle 1, \text{ones} \rangle$ in ones`.
- What goes wrong if we try to add this expression form to standard (eager) uF?