

# Classic ML

CS 5860 - Introduction to Formal Methods

Vincent Rahli

Nuprl team

Cornell University

September 6, 2011

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

1/42

Classic ML an EventML

Where does ML come from?

Where is ML used?

What is Classic ML?

ML types

Polymorphism

Recursion

Typing rules

Type inference

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

2/42

## Classic ML and EventML

During this lecture, we are going to learn about a programming language called **Classic ML**.

We will actually use a language called **EventML** (developed by the Nuprl team [CAB<sup>+</sup>86, Kre02, ABC<sup>+</sup>06]). EventML is based on Classic ML and a logic called the Logic of Events [Bic09, BC08, BCG11].

We will focus at the Classic ML part of EventML.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

3/42

## Where does ML come from?

ML was originally designed, as part of a proof system called LCF (Logic for Computable Functions), to perform proofs within  $PP\lambda$  (Polymorphic Predicate  $\lambda$ -calculus), a formal logical system [GMM<sup>+</sup>78, GMW79].

By the way, what does ML mean? It means **Meta Language** because of the way it was used in LCF.

We refer to this original version of ML as Classic ML.

Many modern programming languages are based on Classic ML: SML (Standard ML), OCaml (object-oriented programming language), F# (a Microsoft product)... Nowadays ML is often used to refer to the collection of these programming languages.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

4/42

## Where is ML used?

- ▶ F# is a Microsoft product used, e.g., in the .NET framework.
- ▶ OCaml is developed by the INRIA. It has inspired F#. The Coq theorem prover is written in OCaml. It has been used in the implementation of Ensemble [Hay98, BCH<sup>+</sup>00]. It is also used by companies.
- ▶ SML has formally defined static and dynamic semantics. The HOL theorem prover is written in SML. It is nowadays mainly used for teaching and research.

## What is Classic ML (or just ML for short)?

ML is a strongly typed higher-order impure functional programming language.

What does it mean?

(Nowadays, ML often refers to a family of languages such as Classic ML, SML, Caml, F#...)

## What is ML?

Higher-order.

Functions can do nothing (we will come back to that one):

```
\x. x
```

Functions can take numerical arguments:

```
\x. x + 1
```

```
let plus_three x = x + 3 ;;
```

Functions can take Boolean arguments:

```
\a. \b. a or b
```

## What is ML?

Higher-order.

Functions can also take other **functions as arguments**.

Function application:

```
let app = \f. \x. (f x);;
```

Function composition:

```
let comp g h = \x. (g (h x)) ;;
```

Note that, e.g. app can be seen as a function that takes a function (f) as input and outputs a function (\x. (f x)).

## What is ML?

Higher-order.

BTW, a function of the form  $\lambda x.e$  (where  $e$  is an expression) is called a  $\lambda$ -expression.

The terms of the forms  $x$  (a variable),  $(e_1 e_2)$  (an application), and  $\lambda x.e$  (a  $\lambda$ -expression) are the terms of the  $\lambda$ -calculus [Chu32, Bar84].

In 1932, Church [Chu32] introduced a system (that led to the  $\lambda$ -calculus we know) for “the foundation of formal logic”, which was a formal system for logic and functions.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

9/42

## What is ML?

Impure and functional.

**Functional.** Functions are first-class objects: functions can build functions, take functions as arguments, return functions...

**Impure.** Expressions can have side-effects: references, exceptions.

(We are only going to consider the pure part of ML.)

Other functional(-like) programming language: Haskell (pure), SML (impure), F# (impure)...

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

10/42

## What is ML?

Strongly typed.

### What is a type?

A type bundles together “objects” (syntactic forms) sharing a same semantics.

(Types started to be used in formal systems, providing foundations for Mathematics, in the early 1900s to avoid paradoxes (Russell [Rus08]).)

A **type system** (typing rules) dictates what it means for a program to have a type (to have a static semantics).

### What are types good for?

Types are good, e.g., for checking the well-defined behavior of programs (e.g., by restricting the applications of certain functions – see below).

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

11/42

## What is ML?

Strongly typed.

What else?

**Flexibility.** One of the best things about ML is that is has almost full type inference (type annotations are sometimes required). Each ML implementation has a **type inferencer** that, given a semantically correct program, finds a type.

This frees the programmer from explicitly writing down types: if a program has a type, the type inferencer will find one.

Given a semantically correct program, the inferred type provides a *static semantics* of the program.

Consider  $\lambda x. x + 2$ .  $2$  is an integer.  $+$  takes two integers and returns an integer. This means that  $x$  is constrained to be an integer.  $\lambda x. x + 2$  is then a function that takes an integer and returns an integer.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

12/42

## What is ML?

Strongly typed.

Can type inferencers infer more than one type? Is each type as good as the others?

In ML it is typical that a program can have several types. The more general the inferred types are the more flexibility the programmer has (we will come back to that once we have learned about *polymorphism*).

(ML's type system has principal type but not principal typing [Wel02] (a typing is a pair type environment/type).)

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

13/42

## What is ML?

Strongly typed.

Using types, some operations become only possible on values with specific types.

For example, one cannot apply an integer to another integer: integers are not functions. The following does not type check (it does not have a type/a static semantics):

```
let fu = (8 6) ;;
```

Another example: using the built-in equality, one cannot check whether a Boolean is equal to an integer. The following does not type check (and will be refused at compile time):

```
let is_eq = (true = 1) ;;
```

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

14/42

## What is ML?

Strongly typed.

What *does* type check then?

one can apply our `plus_three` function to integers:

```
let plus_three x = x + 3 ;;
let fu = plus_three 6 ;;
```

One can test whether two integers are equal:

```
let i1 = 11;;
let i2 = 22;;
let is_eq = (i1 = i2) ;;
```

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

15/42

## ML types

**Integer.** For example, `12 + 3` has type `Int`.

**Boolean.** For example, `!true` has type `Bool` (! stands for the Boolean negation).

**List.** For example, `[1;7;5;3]` has type `Int List`.

**Function type.** For example, `let plus3 x = x + 3;;` has type `Int → Int`.

**Product type.** For example, `(true, 3)` has type `Bool * Int`.

**Disjoint union type.** For example, `inl(1 + 5)` has type `Int + Int`.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

16/42

## Polymorphism

We claimed that `inl(1 + 5)` has type `Int + Int`. But it can also have type `Int + Bool`, `Int + Int List`, ...

For all type `T`, `inl(1 + 5)` has type `Int + T`. This can be represented with a **polymorphic type**: `Int + 'a`, where `'a` is called a *type variable*, meaning that it can be any type.

Let us consider a simpler example: `let id x = x;;`  
What's its type?

The action `id` performs does not depend on its argument's type. It can be applied to an integer, a Boolean, a function, ... It always returns its argument. `id`'s type cannot be uniquely determined. To automatically assign a (monomorphic type) to `id` one would have to make a non-deterministic choice. Instead, we assign to `id` the polymorphic type: `'a → 'a`.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

17/42

## Polymorphism

Formally, this form of polymorphism is expressed using the  $\forall$  quantification.

This form of polymorphism is sometimes called **infinitary parametric** polymorphism [Str00, CW85] and  $\forall$  types are called type schemes (see, e.g., system F [Gir71, Gir72]).

Polymorphism complicates type inference but does not make it impossible.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

18/42

## Polymorphism

Polymorphism allows one to express that a single program can have more than one meaning. Using the  $\forall$  quantification, one can express that a single program has an infinite number of meaning, i.e., can be used in an infinite number of ways.

The following function `null` has type `'a List → Bool`:

```
let null lst =
  case lst of [] => true
            of x . xs => false;;
```

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

19/42

## Polymorphism

`let` declarations allow one to define polymorphic functions while lambda expression do not. For example, the following piece of code is typable:

```
let x = (\x. x) in (x 1, x true)
```

However, the following piece of code is not typable:

```
(\x. (x 1, x true)) (\x. x)
```

In the first example, the two last `x`'s stand for the identity function for two different types. In the second example, the two bound `x`'s in `\x. (x 1, x true)` have to be the same function.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

20/42

## Recursion

Another important feature of ML (and functional languages in general) is **recursion**

Recursion allows functions to call themselves.

Recursion accomplishes what “while” loops accomplish in imperative languages but in a functional way: functions call functions.

For example, to compute the length of a list, one wants to iterate through the list to count how many elements are in the list. The following function computes the length of a list:

```
letrec length lst =  
  case lst of [] => 0  
            of x . xs => 1 + length xs;;
```

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

21/42

## Recursion

Given  $x$  and  $y$ , find  $q$  (quotient) and  $r$  (remainder) such that  $x = (q * y) + r$ .

The “while” solution:

```
q := 0; r := x;  
while r >= y do q := q + 1; r := r - y;  
return (q, r);
```

The recursive solution:

```
let quot_and_rem x y =  
  letrec aux q r =  
    if r < y then (q, r)  
    else aux (q + 1) (r - y)  
  in aux 0 x ;;
```

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

22/42

## Recursion

Another example: the factorial.

The “while” solution:

```
f := 1; i := 1;  
while i <= x do  
  f := i * f;;  
  i := i + 1;  
od
```

The recursive solution:

```
let f x = if x <= 1  
          then 1  
          else x * f (x - 1);;
```

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

23/42

## Typing rules

Let us consider the following expression language (sometimes referred to as core ML):

$v \in \text{Var}$  (a countably infinite set of variables)  
 $\text{exp} \in \text{Exp} ::= v \mid \text{exp}_1 \text{exp}_2 \mid \backslash v. \text{exp} \mid \text{let } v = \text{exp}_1 \text{ in } \text{exp}_2$

Let us consider the following type language:

$a \in \text{TyVar}$  (a countably infinite set of type variables)  
 $\tau \in \text{ITy} ::= a \mid \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$   
 $\sigma \in \text{ITyScheme} ::= \forall \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}. \tau$

Let environments (metavariable  $\Gamma$ ) be partial functions from program variables to type schemes. We write environments as follows:  $\{v_1 \mapsto \sigma_1, \dots, v_n \mapsto \sigma_n\}$ .

We sometimes write  $a \mapsto \tau$  for  $a \mapsto \forall \emptyset. \tau$ .

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

24/42

## Typing rules

the function  $fv$  computes the set of free type variables in a type or in a type environment.

We define the domain of an environment as follows:

$$\text{dom}(\{v_1 \mapsto \sigma_1, \dots, v_n \mapsto \sigma_n\}) = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}.$$

Let substitutions (metavariable  $sub$ ) be partial functions from type variables to types. We write substitutions as follows:

$$\{a_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, a_n \mapsto \tau_n\}.$$

We write substitution in a type as follows:  $\tau[sub]$ .

## Typing rules

Let the instantiation of a type scheme be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \prec \forall\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}.\tau' \\ \iff \exists \tau_1, \dots, \tau_n. (\tau = \tau'[\{a_i \mapsto \tau_i \mid i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}]) \end{aligned}$$

We also define a function to “merge” environments:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \\ = \{a \mapsto \tau \mid \Gamma_2(a) = \tau \text{ or } (\Gamma_1(a) = \tau \text{ and } a \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma_2))\} \end{aligned}$$

## Typing rules

(A variant of Damas and Milner’s type system, sometimes referred to as the Hindley-Milner type system and therefore often called DM or HM.)

$$\frac{\tau \prec \Gamma(vid)}{v : \langle \Gamma, \tau \rangle}$$

$$\frac{exp_1 : \langle \Gamma, \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rangle \quad exp_2 : \langle \Gamma, \tau_1 \rangle}{exp_1 \ exp_2 : \langle \Gamma, \tau_2 \rangle}$$

$$\frac{exp : \langle \Gamma + \{v \mapsto \tau\}, \tau' \rangle}{\lambda v. exp : \langle \Gamma, \tau \rightarrow \tau' \rangle}$$

$$\frac{exp : \langle \Gamma, \tau \rangle \quad exp_2 : \langle \Gamma + \{v \mapsto \forall(fv(\tau) \setminus fv(\Gamma)).\tau\}, \tau' \rangle}{\text{let } v = exp_1 \text{ in } exp_2 : \langle \Gamma, \tau' \rangle}$$

## Typing rules

For example:

Let  $\Gamma = \{f \mapsto (a_1 \rightarrow a_2), g \mapsto (a_2 \rightarrow a_3), v \mapsto a_1\}$ .

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{f : \langle \Gamma, a_1 \rightarrow a_2 \rangle \quad v : \langle \Gamma, a_1 \rangle}{g : \langle \Gamma, a_2 \rightarrow a_3 \rangle} \quad f v : \langle \Gamma, a_2 \rangle}{g(f v) : \langle \Gamma, a_3 \rangle}}{\lambda v. g(f v) : \langle \{f \mapsto (a_1 \rightarrow a_2), g \mapsto (a_2 \rightarrow a_3)\}, a_1 \rightarrow a_3 \rangle}}{\lambda f. \lambda v. g(f v) : \langle \{f \mapsto (a_1 \rightarrow a_2)\}, (a_2 \rightarrow a_3) \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow a_3 \rangle}}{\lambda f. \lambda v. \lambda v. g(f v) : \langle \emptyset, (a_1 \rightarrow a_2) \rightarrow (a_2 \rightarrow a_3) \rightarrow a_1 \rightarrow a_3 \rangle}$$

## Typing rules

For example:

Let  $\Gamma = \{id \mapsto \forall\{a\}. a \rightarrow a\}$ .

Let  $\tau = a_1 \rightarrow a_1$

$$\frac{\frac{id : \{\{id \mapsto a\}, a\}}{\text{id} : \{\emptyset, a \rightarrow a\}} \quad \frac{id : \{\Gamma, \tau \rightarrow \tau\} \quad id : \{\Gamma, \tau\}}{id : \{\Gamma, \tau\}}}{\text{let } id = \text{id} \text{ in } id : \{\emptyset, \tau\}}$$

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

29/42

## Type inference

**Type inference** vs. **type checking**. Let  $S$  be a type system:

- ▶ Type checking: given a (closed) expression  $exp$  and a type  $\tau$ , a type checker checks that  $exp$  has type  $\tau$  w.r.t.  $S$ .
- ▶ Type inference: given a (closed) expression  $exp$ , a type inferencer infers a type  $\tau$  such that  $exp$  has type  $\tau$  w.r.t.  $S$ , or fails if no such type exists.

Classic ML has **decidable** type inference: there exists an algorithm that given an expression  $exp$ , infers a type for  $exp$  which is valid w.r.t. the static semantics of Classic ML.

Classic ML sits between the simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus [Bar92] (no polymorphism) and system F [Gir71, Gir72] (undecidable type inference).

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

30/42

## Type inference

Type inference for Classic ML is exponential in theory. Many algorithms are **efficient in practice** (quasi-linear time under some assumptions).

Milner [Mil78] proposed a type inference algorithm, called the  $W$  algorithm, for an extension of core ML and proved it sound.

Damas (Milner's student) and Milner [DM82] later proved the completeness of  $W$ .

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

31/42

## Type inference

The  $W$  algorithm takes two inputs: a type environment  $\Gamma$  and an expression  $exp$ ; and returns two outputs: a type substitution  $s$  and a type  $\tau$ ; such that  $exp$  has type  $\tau$  in the environment  $\Gamma[s]$  w.r.t. the type system presented above.

$W$  is defined by induction on the structure of its expression parameter.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

32/42



## Type inference

**Remark 1:** These inference algorithms use **first-order unification** [MM82, BN98].

Given an application  $exp_1 exp_2$ , W produces, among other things,  $\tau_1$  a type for  $exp_1$ , and  $\tau_2$  a type for  $exp_2$ . A unification algorithm is then used to unify  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2 \rightarrow a$  where  $a$  is a "fresh" type variable (meaning that  $\tau_1$  has to be a function that takes an argument of type  $\tau_2$ ).

**Remark 2:** Many algorithms have been designed since the W. In some algorithms constraint generation and unification interleave [Mil78, DM82, LY98, McA99, Yan00], in others the constraint generation and constraint solving phases are separated [OSW99, Pot05, PR05].

**Remark 3:** EventML's inferencer is constraint based (second category).

## Type inference

Example:

```
let plus1 x = x + 1 in plus1 3
```

- ▶ `+` is a function that takes two `Ints` and returns an `Int`.
- ▶ `1` and `x` are constrained to be `Ints`.
- ▶ `plus1` is constrained to be a function that takes an `Int` and returns an `Int`.
- ▶ `plus1 3` is an `Int`.
- ▶ Therefore the whole expression is an `Int`.

## Type inference

Example:

```
let app f x = f x in app (\x.x + 1) 3
```

- ▶ If `x` has type `'a` then `f` is constrained to have type `'a → 'b`.
- ▶ `app` has polymorphic type `('a → 'b) → 'a → 'b`.
- ▶ `+` is a function that takes two `Ints` and returns an `Int`.
- ▶ `1` and `x` are constrained to be `Ints`.
- ▶ The function `\x.x + 1` is constrained to have type `Int → Int` and `3` is an `Int`.
- ▶ An instance of `app`'s type is `(Int → Int) → Int → Int`, where both `'a` and `'b` are instantiated to `Int`. This is the type of `app`'s second occurrence.
- ▶ Therefore the whole expression is an `Int`.

## Type inference

Example:

```
let id x = x in id
```

- ▶ `id` has polymorphic type `'a → 'a`. Each instance of `id`'s type is a functional type.
- ▶ `id`'s first bound occurrence is a function that takes a function as parameter.
- ▶ Therefore, `id`'s first bound occurrence's type is an instance of `'a → 'a` such that `'a` is substituted by a functional type.
- ▶ That functional type has to be an instance of `'a → 'a`.
- ▶ For example, we can assign `('b → 'b) → ('b → 'b)` to `id`'s first bound occurrence, and `'b → 'b` to `id`'s second bound occurrence.
- ▶ Therefore, the whole expression has type `'b → 'b`.

## Type inference

Example:

```
let quot_and_rem x y =  
  letrec aux q r =  
    if r < y then (q, r)  
    else aux (q + 1) (r - y)  
  in aux 0 x ;;
```

- ▶ Because + and - both take `Ints` and return `Ints`, `q`, `r`, and `y` are constrained to be `Ints`.
- ▶ `aux`'s first bound occurrence is constrained to be a function that takes two `Int`'s and returns a pair of `Int`'s (aux has type `Int → Int → (Int * Int)`).
- ▶ Because `aux` is applied to 0 and `x` in the last line, `x` is constrained to be an `Int`.
- ▶ `quot_and_rem` has type `Int → Int → (Int * Int)`.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

37/42

## References I

- Stuart F. Allen, Mark Bickford, Robert L. Constable, Richard Eaton, Christoph Kretz, Lori Lorion, and E. Moran. Innovations in computational type theory using `suprl`. *J. Applied Logic*, 4(4):428–469, 2006.
- Henk P. Barendregt. *The Lambda Calculus: Its Syntax and Semantics*. North-Holland, revised edition, 1984.
- Henk P. Barendregt. Lambda calculi with types. In *Handbook of Logic in Computer Science, Volume 1 (Background: Mathematical Structures) and 2 (Background: Computational Structures)*, Abramsky & Gabbay & Maibaum (Eds.), Clarendon, volume 2. Oxford University Press, Inc., New York, NY, USA, 1992.
- Mark Bickford and Robert L. Constable. Formal foundations of computer security. In *NETS: Science for Peace and Security Series, D: Information and Communication Security*, volume 14, pages 29–52, 2008.
- Mark Bickford, Robert Constable, and David Guanpan. Generating event logics with higher-order processes as realizers. Technical report, Cornell University, 2011.
- Ken Birman, Robert Constable, Mark Hayden, Jason Hickey, Christoph Kretz, Robbert van Renesse, Ohad Roch, and Werner Vogels. The Horse and Ensemble projects: Accomplishments and limitations. In *An DARPA Information Survivability Conference and Exposition (DISCEX 2000)*, pages 140–180. IEEE Computer Society Press, 2000.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

38/42

## References II

- Mark Bickford. Component specification using event classes. In Grace A. Leisen, Imran Poroosnoo, and Christine Hofmann, editors, *CBSE*, volume 5582 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 140–155. Springer, 2009.
- Franz Baader and Tobias Nipkow. *Term rewriting and all that*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1998.
- R. L. Constable, S. F. Allen, H. M. Boylen, W. R. Cleaveland, J. F. Cramer, R. W. Harper, D. J. Howe, T. B. Knoblock, N. P. Mendler, P. Panangaden, J. T. Sasaki, and S. F. Smith. *Implementing mathematics with the Nuprl proof development system*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 1986.
- Alonzo Church. A set of postulates for the foundations of logic. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 33(2):340–366, April 1932.
- Luca Cardelli and Peter Wiegner. On understanding types, data abstraction, and polymorphism. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 17(4):471–522, 1985.
- Luis Damas and Robin Milner. Principal type-schemes for functional programs. In *POPL82*, pages 207–212, New York, NY, USA, 1982. ACM.
- Jean-Yves Girard. Une extension de l'interprétation de Gödel à l'analyse, et son application à l'élimination des coupures dans l'analyse et la théorie des types. In *Proceedings of the Second Scandinavian Logic Symposium*, pages 63–82, 1971.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

39/42

## References III

- Jean-Yves Girard. *Interprétation Fonctionnelle et Élimination des Coupures de l'Arithmétique d'Ordre Supérieur*. PhD thesis, Université de Paris VII, 1972.
- Michael J. C. Gordon, Robin Milner, L. Morris, Malcolm C. Newey, and Christopher P. Wadsworth. A metalanguage for interactive proof in LCF. In *POPL '79: Proceedings of the 5th ACM SIGACT-SIGPLAN symposium on Principles of programming languages*, pages 118–130, New York, NY, USA, 1978. ACM.
- Michael J. C. Gordon, Robin Milner, and Christopher P. Wadsworth. *Edinburgh LCF: A Mechanized Logic of Computation*, volume 78 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- Mark Hayden. *The Ensemble System*. PhD thesis, Cornell University, Department of Computer Science, 1988. Technical Report TR88-1662.
- Christoph Kretz. *The Nuprl Proof Development System, Version 5, Reference Manual and User's Guide*. Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 2002. <http://www.suprl.org/btml/02ccsc-hgr1/Manual.pdf>.
- Okseah Lee and Kwangkeun Yi. Proofs about a folklore let-polymorphic type inference algorithm. *ACM Transactions on Programming Language and Systems*, 20(4):707–723, jul 1998.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

40/42

## References IV

-  Bruce J. McAdam.  
**On the unification of substitutions in type inference.**  
In Kevin Hammond, Antony J. T. Ganev, and Chris Clark, editors, 20th Int'l Workshop, JFLA, volume 1995 of *LAVCS*, pages 137–152. Springer, 1999.
-  Robin Milner.  
**A theory of type polymorphism in programming.**  
*Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 11(3):348–375, December 1978.
-  Alberto Martelli and Ugo Montanari.  
**An efficient unification algorithm.**  
*ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.*, 4(2):258–282, 1982.
-  Martin Odersky, Martin Sulzmann, and Martin Wehr.  
**Type inference with constrained types.**  
*Theor. Pract. Object Syst.*, 5(1):35–55, 1999.
-  François Pottier.  
**A modern eye on ML type inference: old techniques and recent developments.**  
Lecture notes for the APFSEM Summer School, September 2005.
-  François Pottier and Didier Rémy.  
**The essence of ML type inference.**  
In Benjamin C. Pierce, editor, *Advanced Topics in Types and Programming Languages*, chapter 10, pages 389–409. MIT Press, 2005.
-  Bertrand Russell.  
**Mathematical logic as based on the theory of types.**  
*American Journal of Mathematics*, 30(3):223–262, 1908.

Nuprl team




Classic ML

September 6, 2011

41/42



## References V

-  Christopher Strachey.  
**Fundamental concepts in programming languages.**  
*Higher Order Symbol. Comput.*, 13(1-2):11–40, 2000.
-  J. B. Wells.  
**The essence of principal typings.**  
In Peter Widmayer, Francisco Triguero Ruiz, Rafael Morales Bueso, Matthew Hennessy, Stephan Edelkamp, and Ricardo Corujo, editors, *Automata, Language and Programming, 20th Int'l Colloq., ICALP 2003*, volume 2388 of *LAVCS*, pages 913–926. Springer, 2002.
-  Jun Yang.  
**Explaining type errors by finding the source of a type conflict.**  
In *SFP'06: Selected papers from the 1st Scottish Functional Programming Workshop*, pages 59–67. Exeter, UK, UK, 2006. Intellect Books.

Nuprl team

Classic ML

September 6, 2011

42/42

