
CS 5430

Review

Prof. Clarkson
Spring 2017

Recall: Audit logs

- **Recording:**
 - what to log
 - what not to log
 - how to log
 - locally
 - remotely
 - how to protect the log
- **Reviewing:**
 - manual exploration
 - automated analysis

MANUAL

Manual review

- Enable administrators to explore logs and look for {states,events}
- **Issues:**
 - Designers might not have anticipated the right {states,events} to record
 - Visualization, query, expressivity (HCI/DB issues)
 - Correlation amongst multiple logs

Interfaces

- **Flat text** [example: last time's syslog]
- **Hypertext** [[example](#)]
- **DBMS** [example: queries in CMS]
- **Graph** (nodes might be entities like processes and files, edges might be associations like forking or times) [[example](#)]

Techniques

- **Temporal replay:** animate what happened when [\[example\]](#)
- **Slice:** display minimal set of log events that affect a given object

AUTOMATIC

Automated review and response

- **Review:** detect suspicious behavior that looks like an attack, or detect violations of explicit policy
 - Custom-built systems
 - Classic AI techniques like training neural nets, expert systems, etc.
 - Modern applications of machine learning
- **Response:** report, take action

INTRUSION DETECTION

Intrusion detection

Intrusion detection system (IDS):

- automated review and response
- responds in (nearly) real time
- components:
 - sensors
 - analysis engine
 - countermeasure deployment
 - audit log



Example: Network monitoring

- **Suspicious behavior:** opening connections to many hosts
- **Automated response:** router reconfigures to isolate suspicious host on its own subnet with access only to (e.g.) virus scanner download, notifies administrators
- **Issue:** errors...

Errors

- **False positive:** raise an alarm for a non-attack
 - makes administrators less confident in warnings
 - perhaps leading to actual attacks being dismissed
- **False negative:** not raise an alarm for an attack
 - the attackers get in undetected!
- Tradeoff between the two needs to be tunable; difficult to achieve the right classification statistics

Identification methodologies

[Denning 1987]

1. **Signature based:** recognize known attacks
2. **Specification based:** recognize bad behavior
3. **Anomaly based:** recognize abnormal behavior

1. Signature-based detection

- A.k.a. *misuse detection* and *rule-based detection*
- Characterize known attacks with signatures
- If behavior ever matches signature, declare an intrusion
- **Issues:**
 - Works only for known attacks
 - Signature needs to be robust w.r.t. small changes in attack

Example: Tripwire

[open source tool and commercial product]

- **Policy:** certain files shouldn't change
- **State snapshot:** analyzes filesystem, stores database of file hashes
- **Automated response:** runs (e.g. daily) and reports change of hash
- **Issues:** where to store database, how to protect its integrity, how to protect tripwire itself?

Example: Network Flight Recorder (NFR)

[Ranum et al. 1997]

- Three components:
 - *Packet sucker* captures network traffic
 - *Decision engine* uses custom-written filters in DSL to extract information from packets
 - *Backend* writes information to disk; packets are discarded
- Queries performed over stored information while rest of system continues to process packets
- Similar ideas used in [Bro](#) [Paxson 1999], available still as open source IDS

Network-based IDS

- Typically a separate machine
- **Stealth mode:**
 - one NIC faces the network being monitored, no packets ever sent out on it, no packets can be routed specifically to it
 - another NIC faces a separate network through which alarms are sent
- **Honeypot:**
 - dedicated machine(s) or networks
 - purpose is to look attractive to attacker
 - but actually just a trap: monitored to detect and surveil attacker



2. Specification-based detection

- Characterize good behavior of program with a specification
- If behavior ever departs from specification, declare an intrusion
- **Issues:**
 - Effort to create specifications
 - Any program is a potential vulnerability if executed by a privileged user

Example: Distributed Program Execution Monitor (DPEM)

[Ko et al. 1997]

- Monitors Unix audit logs
- Analyst writes **grammar** in DSL to describe good behavior
- Parser checks conformance of logs with grammar
- *Distributed* because it combines information from multiple hosts

3. Anomaly-based detection

- Characterize normal behavior of system
- If behavior ever departs far enough from normal, declare an intrusion
- **Issues:**
 - Feature identification
 - Obtaining data on what is normal

Example: Haystack

[Smaha 1988]

- Monitors value of some statistic of interest over a sliding time window: a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j
- Determine lower and upper bounds t_L and t_U such that 90% of values lie between t_L and t_U
- If next value is outside t_L and t_U , raise an alarm
- Adaptive: as window moves, detector itself adjusts

Statistical models

- **Threshold models:** min and max
- **Moment models:** mean and standard deviation
- **Markov models:** probability of next event based on current state
- Seems like a job for machine learning...

Machine learning

- Despite extensive academic research, “Machine learning [for IDS] is rarely employed in...real world settings” [Sommer & Paxson 2010]
- ML is great for **classification**: finding similarities
- ML is not as great at **outlier detection**: here, “normal vs. abnormal”
- ML in **adversarial** setting not well understood

Identification methodologies

1. Signature based: recognize known attacks
2. Specification based: recognize bad behavior
3. Anomaly based: recognize abnormal behavior

INTRUSION RESPONSE

Intrusion handling

[Northcutt 1998]

1. Preparation
2. Identification
3. Containment
4. Eradication
5. Recovery
6. Follow up

Automated response

- **Monitor:** collect (additional) data
- **Protect:** reduce exposure of system
- **Alert:** call a human

Counterattack

- **Legal:** file criminal complaint
- **Technical:** damage attacker to stop attack or prevent future attacks
 - Might harm an innocent party
 - Might expose you to legal liability

Upcoming events

- [next week] Prof. Schneider guest lectures on Mon and Wed

*You are secure from intrusion, secure from yourself;
and your hard, restricting shell of individuality is at
once dissolved as...you gaze into the vistas of a
sunset. – John Muir*