Monday: Designed an Oracle

- We used a state machine protocol to maintain consensus on events
- Structured the resulting system as a tree in which each node is a group of replicas
- Results in a very general management service
  - One role of which is to manage membership when an application needs replicated data
  - Today continue to flesh out this idea of a group communication abstraction in support of replication
Turning the GMS into the Oracle

Here, three replicas cooperate to implement the GMS as a fault-tolerant state machine. Each client platform binds to some representative, then rebinds to a different replica if that one later crashes....
Tree of state machines

- Each “owns” a subset of the logs

(1) Send events to the Oracle.
(2) Appended to appropriate log.
(3) Reported
Use scenario

- Application A wants to connect with B via “consistent TCP”
  - A and B register with the Oracle – each has an event channel of its own, like /status/biscuit.cs.cornell.edu/pid=12421
  - Each subscribes to the channel of the other (if connection breaks, just reconnect to some other Oracle member and ask it to resume where the old one left off)
  - They break the TCP connections if (and only if) the Oracle tells them to do so.
Use scenario

- For locking
  - Lock is “named” by a path
    - /x/y/z...
  - Send “lock request” and “unlock” messages
  - Everyone sees the same sequence of lock, unlock messages... so everyone knows who has the lock

- Garbage collection?
  - Truncate prefix after lock is granted
Use scenario

- For tracking group membership
  - Group is “named” by a path
    - /x/y/z...
  - Send “join request” and “leave” messages
  - Report failures as “forced leave”
  - Everyone sees the same sequence of join, leave messages... so everyone knows the group view

- Garbage collection?
  - Truncate old view-related events
A primitive “pub/sub” system

- The Oracle is very simple but quite powerful
  - Everyone sees what appears to be a single, highly available source of reliable “events”
  - XML strings can encode all sorts of event data
  - Library interfaces customize to offer various abstractions

- Too slow for high-rate events (although the Spread system works that way)

- But think of the Oracle as a bootstrapping tool that helps the groups implement their own direct, peer-to-peer protocols in a nicer world that if they didn’t have it.
Building group multicast

- Any group can use the Oracle to track membership
- Enabling reliable multicast!

- Protocol: Unreliable multicast to current members. ACK/NAK to ensure that all of them receive it
Concerns if sender crashes

- Perhaps it sent some message and only one process has seen it
- We would prefer to ensure that
  - All receivers, in “current view”
  - Receive any messages that any receiver receives (unless the sender and all receivers crash, erasing evidence...)
An interrupted multicast

- A message from q to r was “dropped”
- Since q has crashed, it won’t be resent
Flush protocol

- We say that a message is *unstable* if some receiver has it but (perhaps) others don’t
  - For example, q’s message is unstable at process r
- If q fails we want to “flush” unstable messages out of the system
How to do this?

- Easy solution: all-to-all echo
  - When a new view is reported
  - All processes echo any unstable messages on all channels on which they haven’t received a copy of those messages
- A flurry of $O(n^2)$ messages

- Note: must do this for all messages, not just those from the failed process. This is because more failures could happen in future
• p had an unstable message, so it echoed it when it saw the new view
Event ordering

- We should *first* deliver the multicasts to the application layer and *then* report the new view.
- This way all replicas see the same messages delivered “in” the same view.
  - Some call this “view synchrony”
State transfer

- At the instant the new view is reported, a process already in the group makes a checkpoint
- Sends point-to-point to new member(s)
- It (they) initialize from the checkpoint
State transfer and reliable multicast

- After re-ordering, it looks like each multicast is reliably delivered in the same view at each receiver.
- Note: if sender and all receivers fails, unstable message can be “erased” even after delivery to an application.
  - This is a price we pay to gain higher speed.
State transfer

- New view initiated, it adds a process
- We run the flush protocol, but as it ends...
- ... some existing process creates a checkpoint of group
  - Only state specific to the group, not ALL of its state
  - Keep in mind that one application might be in many groups at the same time, each with its own state

- Transfer this checkpoint to joining member
  - It loads it to initialize the state of its instance of the group – that object. One state transfer per group.
Ordering: The missing element

- Our fault-tolerant protocol was
  - FIFO ordered: messages *from a single sender* are delivered in the order they were sent, even if someone crashes
  - View synchronous: everyone receives a given message in the same group view
- This is the protocol we called *fbcast*
Other options

- **cbcast**: If cbcast(a) → cbcast(b), deliver a before b at common destinations
- **abcast**: Even if a and b are concurrent, deliver in some agreed order at common destinations
- **gbcast**: Deliver this message like a new group view: agreed order w.r.t. multicasts of all other flavors
Single updater

- If p is the only update source, the need is a bit like the TCP “fifo” ordering.
  - fbcast is a good choice for this case.
Causally ordered updates

- Events occur on a “causal thread” but multicasts have different senders
Causally ordered updates

- Events occur on a “causal thread” but multicasts have different senders.

The process corresponding to that object is T, and, while doing the operation, it sent a multicast response to the invoker. Now T finishes whatever the operation involved and sends a response to the invoker. This one came from p “indirectly” via s... but the idea is exactly the same. P is really running a single causal thread that weaves through the system, visiting various objects (and hence the processes that own them).
How to implement it?

- Within a single group, the easiest option is to include a vector timestamp in the header of the message
  - Array of counters, one per group member
  - Increment your personal counter when sending
  - iSend these “labeled” messages with fbcast
- Delay a received message if a causally prior message hasn’t been seen yet
Causally ordered updates

- Example: messages from p and s arrive out of order at t

VT(b) = [1,0,0,1]

VT(c) = [1,0,1,1] but VT(t) = [0,0,0,1], clearly we are missing one message from s.

When b arrives, we can deliver both it and message c, in order.

VT(a) = [0,0,0,1]
Causally ordered updates

- This works even with multiple causal threads.

- Concurrent messages might be delivered to different receivers in different orders
  - Example: green 4 and red 1 are concurrent
Causally ordered updates

- Sorting based on vector timestamp

- In this run, everything can be delivered immediately on arrival
Causally ordered updates

- Suppose p’s message \([1,0,0,1]\) is “delayed”

- When t receives message \([1,0,1,1]\), t can “see” that one message from p is late and can delay deliver of s’s message until p’s prior message arrives!
Other uses for cbcast?

- The protocol is very helpful in systems that use locking for synchronization
  - Gaining a lock gives some process mutual exclusion
  - Then it can send updates to the locked variable or replicated data
- Cbcast will maintain the update order
Causally ordered updates

- A bursty application

Can pack into one large message and amortize overheads
Other forms of ordering

- Abcast (total or “atomic” ordering)
  - Basically, our locking protocol solved this problem
  - Can also do it with fbcast by having a token-holder send out ordering to use

- Gbcast
  - Provides applications with access to the same protocol used when extending the group view
  - Basically, identical to “Paxos” with a leader
Algorithms that use multicast

- Locked access to shared data
  - Multicast updates, read *any local copy*
  - This is very efficient... 100k updates/second not unusual
- Parallel search
- Fault-tolerance (primary/backup, coordinator-cohort)
- Publish/subscribe
- Shared “work to do” tuples
- Secure replicated keys
- Coordinated actions that require a leader
Modern high visibility examples

- Google’s Chubby service (uses Paxos == gbcast)
- Yahoo! Zookeeper
- Microsoft cluster management technology for Windows Enterprise clusters
- IBM DCS platform and Websphere

- Basically: stuff like this is all around us, although often hidden inside some other kind of system
Summary

- Last week we looked at two notions of time
  - Logical time is more relevant here
  - Notice the similarity between delivery of an ordered multicast and computing something on a consistent cut
- We’re starting to think of “consistency” and “replication” in terms of events that occur along time-ordered event histories
  - The GMS (Oracle) tracks “management” events
  - The group communication system supports much higher data rate replication