

Formalizing Fundamental Concepts

- **Equality** is a binary predicate $\text{Eq}(-, -)$ that comes with three axioms

ref: $(\forall x) E(x, x)$

sym: $(\forall x, y) (E(x, y) \supset E(y, x))$

trans: $(\forall x, y, z) ((E(x, y) \wedge E(y, z)) \supset E(x, z))$

In addition we need an axiom stating that we can replace equal for equal.

subst: $(\forall x, y) (E(x, y) \supset P(\dots, x, \dots) \supset P(\dots, y, \dots))$

This is an *axiom scheme* that needs to be instantiated for every predicate symbol that occurs in the set of formulas under consideration.

An important derived concept is the unique-existence operator

$(\exists! x)P(x) \equiv (\exists x)(P(x) \wedge (\forall y)(P(y) \supset E(x, y)))$

- **n-ary Functions** can be described by (n+1)-ary predicates.

A unary function f is described by a predicate R_f , where $R_f(x, y)$ is supposed to express that $f(x)=y$. We need two axioms.

functionality: $(\forall x)(\exists! y) R_f(x, y)$

functional equality: $(\forall x, x', y, y') ((E(x, x') \wedge R_f(x, y) \wedge R_f(x', y')) \supset E(y, y'))$

These axioms have to be stated for every function symbol to be introduced. Functional equality can be derived from substitution. Most commonly we will deal with *binary operators*, usually written in infix format $x \circ y$. We may want additional properties such as commutativity or associativity.

comm: $(\forall x, y, z) (R_o(x, y, z) \supset R_o(y, x, z))$

assoc: $(\forall x, y, z, s, t, w) (R_o(x, y, s) \supset R_o(s, z, w) \supset R_o(y, z, t) \supset R_o(x, t, w))$

As abbreviation we write $f(x)=y$ instead of $R_f(x, y)$ and use infix notation where possible.

- **Constants** are best described by their effect on operators. One could therefore characterize the integer 0, for instance, by the axiom

zero: $(\forall x)(x+0 = x \wedge x*0 = 0)$

Alternatively, one may state the existence of a unique element with the desired properties.

zero-exists: $(\exists! \text{zero})(\forall x)(x+\text{zero} = x \wedge x*\text{zero} = \text{zero})$

- **Ordering Relations** are binary predicates $\text{LE}(-, -)$ with the following axioms

le-ref: $(\forall x) \text{LE}(x, x)$

antisym: $(\forall x, y) ((\text{LE}(x, y) \wedge \text{LE}(y, x)) \supset E(x, y))$

le-trans: $(\forall x, y, z) ((\text{LE}(x, y) \wedge \text{LE}(y, z)) \supset \text{LE}(x, z))$

From now on we write $x \leq y$ instead of $\text{LE}(x, y)$ and $x=y$ instead of $E(x, y)$.

A *strict order* is a binary (infix) predicate $<$ that satisfies the following axioms

lt-asym: $(\forall x, y) ((x < y \supset \sim(y < x))$

lt-trans: $(\forall x, y, z) ((x < y \wedge y < z) \supset x < z)$

Algebraic Structures

We denote a mathematical structure with operations op and axioms $axioms$ by $\mathcal{L}(ops, axioms)$.

A *semigroup* is a set S together with an associative binary operation \circ .

$$\text{Semigroup} \equiv \mathcal{L}(=, \circ; \text{ref, sym, trans, subst, functionality, assoc})$$

where the last two axioms are formulated in terms of the operator \circ .

Monoids are semigroups that have an *identity* (or neutral) element.

$$\text{Monoid} \equiv \mathcal{L}(=, \circ, \text{id}; \text{ref, sym, trans, subst, functionality, assoc, ident})$$

where the axiom of identity is

$$\text{ident: } (\forall x)(x \circ \text{id} = x \wedge \text{id} \circ x = x)$$

Groups are monoids with inverse elements for \circ . We formalize this as

$$\text{Group} \equiv \mathcal{L}(=, \circ, \text{id}; \text{ref, sym, trans, subst, functionality, assoc, ident, inv})$$

where the axiom about the existence of inverse elements is

$$\text{inv: } (\forall x)(\exists \bar{x})(x \circ \bar{x} = \text{id} \wedge \bar{x} \circ x = \text{id})$$

A *ring* is a set S together with two operations $+$ and $*$ such that $\langle S, =, + \rangle$ is a commutative group and $\langle S, =, * \rangle$ is a semigroup.

$$\text{Ring} \equiv \mathcal{L}(=, +, *, \text{id}; \text{ref, sym, trans, subst, functionality}_+, \text{assoc}_+, \text{ident}_+, \text{inv}_+, \text{comm}_+, \text{functionality}_*, \text{assoc}_*, \text{distrib})$$

where the distributivity axiom is the following

$$\text{distrib: } (\forall x, y, z)(x*(y+z) = x*y+x*z \wedge (x+y)*z = z*z*y*z)$$

A ring that also has an identity for multiplication is called a *ring with unity*.

$$\text{U-Ring} \equiv \mathcal{L}(=, +, *, \text{id}, e; \text{ref, sym, trans, subst, functionality}_+, \text{assoc}_+, \text{ident}_+, \text{inv}_+, \text{comm}_+, \text{functionality}_*, \text{assoc}_*, \text{ident}_*, \text{distrib})$$

Commutative rings where the identity of $+$ has no proper divisors are *integral domains*.

$$\text{Integral Domain} \equiv \mathcal{L}(=, +, *, \text{id}, e; \text{ref, sym, trans, subst, functionality}_+, \text{assoc}_+, \text{ident}_+, \text{inv}_+, \text{comm}_+, \text{functionality}_*, \text{assoc}_*, \text{ident}_*, \text{comm}_*, \text{distrib}, Z)$$

where Z is the axiom of “no zero divisors”:

$$Z: (\forall x, y)(x*y = \text{id} \supset (x=\text{id} \vee y=\text{id}))$$

Integral domains that offer inverses for multiplication too are called *fields*.

$$\text{Field} \equiv \mathcal{L}(=, +, *, \text{id}, e; \text{ref, sym, trans, subst, functionality}_+, \text{assoc}_+, \text{ident}_+, \text{inv}_+, \text{comm}_+, \text{functionality}_*, \text{assoc}_*, \text{ident}_*, \text{inv}'_*, \text{comm}_*, \text{distrib}, Z)$$

Note that the axiom about the existence of inverses for $*$ needs to be slightly different.

$$\text{inv}'_*: (\forall x)(\sim(x=\text{id}) \supset (\exists \bar{x})(x*\bar{x} = e \wedge \bar{x}*x = e))$$