

CS 485 Lecture 19

6 March 2006

Scribes: Jason Lui (jsl57) and Jeff Wong (jmw92)

Four generating functions from previous lecture

Deg: g_0, g_1

Size: h_0, h_1

$$h_0'(1) = 1 + g_0'(1)h_1'(1) \quad (\text{I})$$

$$h_1'(1) = 1 + g_1'(1)h_1'(1) \quad (\text{II})$$

From (II), we have

$$h_1'(1) = 1 / [1 + g_1'(1)] \quad (\text{III})$$

Plugging (III) back to (I)

$$h_0'(1) = 1 + g_0'(1) / [1 - g_1'(1)]$$

Therefore, point at which the giant components appears when $g_1'(1) = 1$

We also know that:

$$g_1'(1) = g_{0''}(1) / g_0'(1) = 1 \rightarrow g_{0''}(1) = g_0'(1)$$

By definition:

$$g_0(x) = \sum P_k x^k$$

$$g_0'(x) = \sum k P_k x^{k-1}$$

$$g_{0''}(x) = \sum k(k-1) P_k x^{k-2}$$

Since $g_{0''}(1) = g_0'(1)$, let $x = 1$

$$\sum k P_k = \sum k(k-1) P_k$$

$$\sum (k^2 - 2k) P_k = 0$$

$$\sum k(k-2) P_k = 0$$

This is the end of random graph materials.

High Dimensional Data

10^6 papers

25,000 dimensional vector to represent the words used in the papers

This is a very large dimension, so we can randomly select a 300 dimensional subspace and project all the data points to such subspace. In fact, we can pick a subspace such that we minimize the error between data and its projection.

SVD: Singular Value Decomposition

Let \mathbf{A} be the $10^6 \times 25000$ matrix of data from your set of papers. Any matrix \mathbf{A} can be rewritten as $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$ where \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} are orthonormal and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is diagonalised:

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & 0 \\ & \sigma_2 & \\ 0 & & \ddots \\ & & & \sigma_N \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \sigma_3 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_N$$

Create a new matrix $\Sigma^{(k)}$ by keeping only the k largest σ 's in Σ :

$$\Sigma^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & 0 \\ & \sigma_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \sigma_k & & 0 \\ 0 & & & & 0 & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then write $\mathbf{A}^{(k)} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma^{(k)}\mathbf{V}^T$.

What is the error between \mathbf{A} and $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$?

Norms

- Frobenius norm: $|\mathbf{A}|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}^2 = \text{sum of squares of all of the elements of } \mathbf{A}$.

Let a_i be the i th column of \mathbf{A} . Then $(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})_{ij} = (a_i)^T a_j$.

So $\text{Tr}(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A}) = \sum_i (a_i)^T a_i = \sum_i |a_i|^2 = \text{sum of squares of elements of } \mathbf{A} = |\mathbf{A}|_F^2$

- 2-norm:

For a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $|\mathbf{x}|_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \dots}$

For a matrix, $|\mathbf{A}|_2 = \max_{|\mathbf{x}|=1} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}|_2$

- It turns out that the 2-norm of \mathbf{A} is the maximum eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} , and the Frobenius norm squared is the sum of the squares of the eigenvalues. To see this, take the 2-norm and Frobenius norm of a diagonalised matrix and note the 2nd lemma below.

Lemma: $|\mathbf{AB}|_2 \leq |\mathbf{A}|_2 |\mathbf{B}|_2$

Pf: Let \mathbf{y} be the value of \mathbf{x} that maximises $|\mathbf{A}|_2 = \max_{|\mathbf{x}|=1} |\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}|_2$.

Let $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$.

Then $|\mathbf{AB}|_2 = |\mathbf{Az}|_2 = \left| \mathbf{A} \frac{\mathbf{z}}{|\mathbf{z}|_2} \right|_2 |\mathbf{z}|_2 \leq |\mathbf{A}|_2 |\mathbf{z}|_2 \leq |\mathbf{A}|_2 |\mathbf{B}|_2$ since $|\mathbf{z}|_2 \leq |\mathbf{B}|_2$

Lemma: Let \mathbf{Q} be an orthonormal matrix. For all \mathbf{x} , $|\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}|_2 = |\mathbf{x}|_2$.

Pf: $|\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}|_2^2 = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} = |\mathbf{x}|_2^2$ because $\mathbf{Q}^T = \mathbf{Q}^{-1}$, so $\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I}$.

Lemma: Let \mathbf{Q} be an orthonormal matrix. For all \mathbf{A} , $|\mathbf{QA}|_2 = |\mathbf{A}|_2$.

Pf: This follows directly from the previous lemma.

These lemmas also hold for the Frobenius norm as well.

It is straightforward from this to show that for $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}^T$, $|\mathbf{A}| = |\Sigma|$ for both norms.

Thm: $|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^{(k)}| \leq \min_{\text{rank}(\mathbf{B}) \leq k} |\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}|$. In other words, $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}$ is the best rank- k approximation to \mathbf{A} in

terms of total error (but not necessarily error of a given element, so this is not always “best” in every application). Proof next lecture. This is easy to prove for the 2-norm but very difficult for the Frobenius norm.