## CS481F01 HW 3 – Non-Regular Sets and State Minimization

## A. Demers

26 Sep – due 3 Oct

Please remember to turn in each problem on a separate page. This week, please remember to *put your name on each page*, and turn in the pages in three separate piles!

1. For this question, you may assume the languages

$$L_{01} = \{ 0^{i} 1^{i} \mid i \ge 0 \}$$

and

$$L_{010} = \{ 0^i 10^i \mid i \ge 0 \}$$

are not regular, since we proved this in lecture.

Show that each of the languages given below is not regular by using closure properties to show that, if it *were* regular, then either  $L_{01}$  or  $L_{010}$  would have to be regular, leading to a contradiction.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (a) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0^{2i}1^{3i} \mid i \geq 0 \end{array} \right\} \\ (b) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0^{i}1^{j}2^{k} \mid i = j \lor j = k \end{array} \right\} \\ (c) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0^{i}1^{j} \mid j = (i + 481) \end{array} \right\} \\ (d) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ww \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^{*} \end{array} \right\} \\ (e) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} w \in \{0, 1\}^{*} \mid \sharp 0(w) = \sharp 1(w) \end{array} \right\} \end{array}$$

(Recall  $\sharp a(w)$  is the number of a's in w).

2. Consider the DFA

$$M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, s, F)$$

where

$$Q = \{ q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5 \}$$
  

$$s = q_0$$
  

$$F = \{ q_0, q_5 \}$$

and  $\delta$  is given by the table:

q	$\delta(q,0)$	$\delta(q,1)$
$q_0$	$q_1$	$q_2$
$q_1$	$q_3$	$q_4$
$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_4$
$q_3$	$q_0$	$q_5$
$q_4$	$q_0$	$q_5$
$q_5$	$q_2$	$q_1$

**a.** Compute the state indistinbuishability relations  $\equiv_0, \equiv_1, \ldots, \equiv_{\infty}$  for this machine.

b. Construct the minimal machine  $M_{\equiv_{\infty}}$  equivalent to this machine. A state transition diagram is sufficient.

c. For any  $k \ge 0$  show how to construct a DFA for which the indistinguishability relations do not converge until the  $k^{\text{th}}$  step. That is, show how to construct a DFA such that

 $(\equiv_{i+1} = \equiv_i) \quad \Rightarrow \quad (i \ge k)$ 

Convince us that your construction is correct; a formal inductive proof is not necessary.

**3.** Question 29 from p 323 of the text:

For A a set of natural numbers, define

**binary**
$$A = \{$$
 binary representations of numbers in  $A \} \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$   
**unary** $A = \{ 0^n \mid n \in A \} \subseteq \{0\}^*$ 

For example, if A is  $\{2, 3, 5\}$  then

**binary** $A = \{ 10, 11, 101 \}$ **unary** $A = \{ 00, 000, 00000 \}$ 

Consider the following two propositions:

- (i)  $\forall A$ , if **binary** A is regular then so is **unary** A
- (*ii*)  $\forall A$ , if **unary** A is regular then so is **binary** A

One of (i) and (ii) is true and the other is false. Which is which? Give a proof and a counterexample.