Lecture 5: Minibatching and Decreasing Step Sizes

CS4787 — Principles of Large-Scale Machine Learning Systems

Where we left off: we looked at how stochastic gradient descent performs on both convex and objectives. For non-convex objectives, we assumed that our function was $L$-Lipschitz continuous, i.e. for any objective component $i$, and points $x$, and $y$,

$$\| \nabla f_i(x) - \nabla f_i(y) \| \leq L \cdot \| x - y \| .$$

We also assumed that the step size $\alpha$ is bounded such that $0 < \alpha < 1 / L$, and that the mean-squared-error of the gradient samples is, for any $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$, bounded by

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \| \nabla f_i(w) \|_2^2 = \mathbb{E}_i [ \| \nabla f_i(w) - \nabla f(w) \|_2^2 ] \leq \sigma^2 .$$

For convex objective functions $f$, we additionally assumed $\mu$-strong convexity, i.e.

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{\mu}{2} \| x - y \|^2 .$$

Under these conditions, we got for the non-convex case that if $w_t$ is the $t$th iterate of SGD with constant step size $\alpha$, after running for $T$ timesteps

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} [ \| \nabla f(w_t) \|_2^2 ] \leq \frac{2 (f(w_0) - f^*)}{\alpha T} + \frac{\alpha \sigma^2 L}{2} .$$

For the strongly convex case, we got that

$$\mathbb{E} [ f(w_T) - f^* ] \leq \exp(-\mu T) \cdot (f(w_0) - f^*) + \frac{\alpha \sigma^2 L}{2 \mu} .$$

Notice that even if we run for a large number of iterations, this is not going to necessarily go to zero!

Previously, with gradient descent, if we wanted to get a solution of a desired level of accuracy (either small gradient or small objective gap) we could just keep running until we observed a gradient small enough to satisfy our desires. Now though, this won’t necessarily happen.

One way to achieve a desired level of error is to choose an $\alpha$ and $T$ as a function of the error level. For example, for non-convex SGD, if for some $\epsilon > 0$ we want to guarantee that we will get

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \mathbb{E} [ \| \nabla f(w_t) \|_2^2 ] \leq \epsilon ,$$

it suffices to pick $\alpha$ and $T$ such that

$$\frac{2 (f(w_0) - f^*)}{\alpha T} = \frac{2 \alpha \sigma^2 L}{2} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} .$$

This happens when

$$\alpha = \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma^2 L} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \frac{4 \sigma^2 L (f(w_0) - f^*)}{\epsilon^2} .$$
This can be compared with our results from gradient descent (Lecture 2) where we could get the same guarantee with
\[
\alpha = \frac{1}{L} \quad \text{and} \quad T \leq \frac{2L(f(w_0) - f^*)}{\epsilon / L}.
\]
Similarly, for strongly convex SGD, if we want to guarantee that
\[
\mathbb{E} [f(w_T) - f^*] \leq \epsilon,
\]
it suffices to pick \( \alpha \) and \( T \) such that
\[
\exp(-\mu \alpha T) \cdot (f(w_0) - f^*) = \frac{\alpha \sigma^2 L}{2\mu} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
\]
This happens when (letting \( \kappa = L / \mu \) as usual)
\[
\alpha = \frac{\epsilon}{\sigma^2 \kappa} \quad \text{and} \quad T = \frac{\sigma^2 \kappa}{\epsilon} \log \left( \frac{2(f(w_0) - f^*)}{\epsilon} \right).
\]
In comparison, gradient descent (Lecture 2) had
\[
T \geq \kappa \cdot \log \left( \frac{f(w_0) - f^*}{\epsilon} \right).
\]
What can we conclude from this? Here’s one thing that we can get: the asymptotic runtime used by these algorithms. For each of non-convex GD/SGD and strongly convex GD/SGD, write a big-\( O \) expression for the total amount of compute that would be done by the algorithm to achieve error \( \epsilon \). Give your result in terms of \( \epsilon, \kappa \) (for strongly-convex), \( n \), and \( \sigma^2 \), treating all other expressions (such as \( f(w_0) - f^* \)) as constant.

When might one algorithm be better than the other?

**Minibatching.** One way to make all these rates smaller is by decreasing the value of \( \sigma^2 \). A simple way to do this is by using *minibatching*. With minibatching, we use a sample of the gradient examples of size larger than 1. That is, our update rule looks like
\[
w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha_t \sum_{b=1}^{B} \nabla f_{t,b}(w_t).
\]
If the batch size is \( B \), this results in an estimator with variance \( B \) times smaller.

**How does this trade off work for faster convergence?**

**Diminishing Step Size Rules.** We will see how we can get an “optimal” step size from the analysis of convex SGD, starting with the expression (from the Lecture 4 notes)
\[
\mathbb{E} [f(w_{t+1}) - f^*] \leq (1 - \mu \alpha) \mathbb{E} [f(w_t) - f^*] + \frac{\alpha^2 \sigma^2 L}{2}.
\]