

CS 4620 Preliminary Exam #1

Tuesday 5 October 2010—50 minutes

*Explain your reasoning for full credit.
You are permitted a double-sided sheet of notes.
Calculators are allowed but unnecessary.*

Problem 1: 2D Transformations (15 pts)

(i) Estimate the 2D affine transformation matrix, $\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{v} \\ 0^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, given its action on three homogeneous points:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{T}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- **Answer:** You need to estimate \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{v} from its observed transformation of some 2D points,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{v} \\ 0^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{p}_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{p}'_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \iff \mathbf{F}\mathbf{p}_k + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}'_k,$$

where we will denote

$$\mathbf{p}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \mathbf{p}'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{p}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \mathbf{p}'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{p}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \mathbf{p}'_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

There are numerous ways to solve for \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{v} , with the least easy being setting it up as a large 6x6 system of equations. Another way is to solve for \mathbf{F} first (then compute the translation \mathbf{v}) by subtracting one equation from the other two

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{p}_k - \mathbf{p}_j) + \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{p}'_k - \mathbf{p}'_j),$$

to obtain the matrix form,

$$\mathbf{F} [(\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1) \quad (\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}_1)] = [(\mathbf{p}'_2 - \mathbf{p}'_1) \quad (\mathbf{p}'_3 - \mathbf{p}'_1)] \iff \mathbf{F}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}',$$

and then solve the 2x2 linear system for \mathbf{F} ,

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{P}'\mathbf{P}^{-1}.$$

Finally you get the translation from any equation

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}'_k - \mathbf{F}\mathbf{p}_k.$$

The simplest way is to observe that the data makes this problem easy: the first two points (which differ by the y coordinate) map to the same place, $\mathbf{p}'_1 = \mathbf{p}'_2$, so the transformation \mathbf{F} must have a zero “y column.” For

example, in a column-oriented form you can let $\mathbf{F} = [\mathbf{t} \ \mathbf{u}]$ where $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and let $\mathbf{p}_k = (x_k, y_k)^T$, so that we need to solve for the 3 vectors \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} :

$$x_k \mathbf{t} + y_k \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}'_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3.$$

This leads to the system of equations

$$\mathbf{t} + \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

$$-\mathbf{t} - \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Subtracting the first two equations yields

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

afterwhich adding the last two equations yields

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and so

$$\mathbf{t} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the transformation matrix is

$$\mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{v} \\ 0^T & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(ii) What kind of transformation does this matrix represent?

- **Answer:** It applies a nonuniform scale of $(s_x, s_y) = (1, 0)$ (which projects away all y components) then translates by $(1, 1)$.

Problem 2: Affine Transformations (10 pts)

Show that affine transformations preserve parallel lines.

(Hint: Recall the explicit parameterization of a line.)

- **Answer:** Consider two parallel lines whose points can be explicitly represented as

$$\mathbf{p}_k + t\mathbf{u}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

where each is parameterized by some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and both point in the same \mathbf{u} direction (by virtue of being parallel) but have different base points \mathbf{p}_k . Applying an affine transformation $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{v} \\ 0^T & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ to such points produces new line points

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{p}_k + t\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{v}, = (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{p}_k + \mathbf{v}) + t\mathbf{F}\mathbf{u}, = \mathbf{p}'_k + t\mathbf{u}'.$$

However, since both transformed lines point in the same direction $\mathbf{u}' = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{u}$, they are still parallel.

Problem 3: Quaternions (15 pts)

Rotate the point $\mathbf{p} = (1, 1, 1)$ using the rotation specified by the quaternion $q = \langle d; \mathbf{u} \rangle = \langle 1; 1, 1, 1 \rangle$.

- **Answer:** In short, the point is unchanged since it lies on the rotation axis. You can show this by using the definition of a unit quaternion. First, you need to make a unit quaternion and apply the definition

$$\hat{q} = \frac{q}{\|q\|} = \frac{q}{\sqrt{4}} = \langle \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \rangle = \langle \cos \frac{\theta}{2}; \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \mathbf{v} \rangle$$

so that the unit axis of rotation, \mathbf{v} , is

$$\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1) \implies \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(1, 1, 1).$$

Clearly since $\mathbf{p} = (1, 1, 1)$ lies on the rotation axis, the rotated version will not change under rotation, $\mathbf{p}' = \mathbf{p}$. Arguing thus was sufficient to receive full credit.

Alternately many people proceeded directly to apply the quaternion multiplication formulas, which would also work. We can represent the point using a quaternion vector, which before and after rotation is

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = \langle 0; \mathbf{p} \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{p}}' = \langle 0; \mathbf{p}' \rangle.$$

Then the quaternion rotation formula is (using the unit quaternion version for convenience)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}' = \hat{q} \tilde{\mathbf{p}} \hat{q}^* \tag{7}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle 1; 1, 1, 1 \rangle \right) \langle 0; \mathbf{p} \rangle \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle 1; -1, -1, -1 \rangle \right) \tag{8}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \langle 1; 1, 1, 1 \rangle \langle 0; 1, 1, 1 \rangle \langle 1; -1, -1, -1 \rangle \tag{9}$$

then using the formula for quaternion multiplication

$$\langle d; \mathbf{u} \rangle \langle d'; \mathbf{u}' \rangle = \langle dd' - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}'; d\mathbf{u}' + d'\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{u}' \rangle$$

we obtain

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}' = \frac{1}{4} \langle 1; 1, 1, 1 \rangle \langle 0; 1, 1, 1 \rangle \langle 1; -1, -1, -1 \rangle \tag{10}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \langle -3; 1, 1, 1 \rangle \langle 1; -1, -1, -1 \rangle \tag{11}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \langle 0; 4, 4, 4 \rangle \tag{12}$$

$$= \langle 0; 1, 1, 1 \rangle \tag{13}$$

$$= \langle 0; \mathbf{p} \rangle \tag{14}$$

Problem 4: SLERP (10 pts)

When interpolating with SLERP between two unit quaternions, \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , we use:

$$\text{SLERP}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \alpha), \text{ if } \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} > 0, \text{ and } \text{SLERP}(\mathbf{x}, -\mathbf{y}, \alpha) \text{ otherwise.}$$

- (i) Why is this method better than just SLERP($\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \alpha$)? What is the difference between $+\mathbf{y}$ and $-\mathbf{y}$ here?

- **Answer:** Recall that scaling a quaternion by a nonzero constant does not change the rotation it represents, and therefore $\pm \mathbf{y}$ both represent the same physical rotation. The only difference is the size of the interpolation performed, which is related to the angle Ω between \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , given by $\Omega = \cos^{-1}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})$. The two interpolation paths differ by which way they travel around the great circle, and the formula just takes the shorter path—as many students illustrated with a figure.

(ii) When interpolating unit normal vectors, \mathbf{n}_1 and \mathbf{n}_2 , for lighting calculations, should we also use $\text{SLERP}(\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2, \alpha)$, if $\mathbf{n}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}_2 > 0$, and $\text{SLERP}(\mathbf{n}_1, -\mathbf{n}_2, \alpha)$ otherwise?

- **Answer:** No, because, unlike quaternions for which $\pm q$ correspond to the same physical rotations, $\pm \mathbf{n}$ correspond to different normals for shading/lighting calculations. Using this formula would lead to interpolated normals which vary insufficiently when the two normals are at angles greater than 90° .