

Computer Networks: Architecture and Protocols

Lecture 22 Reliable Transport and TCP

Spring 2018 Rachit Agarwal



Goal of Today's Lecture

- Continue our understanding of reliable transport **conceptually**
- Understanding TCP will become infinitely easier
 - TCP involves lots of detailed mechanisms
 - Knowing WHY TCP uses these mechanisms is most important

Lets start with recapping last lecture

Recap: Best Effort Service (L3)

- Packets can be lost
- Packets can be corrupted
- Packets can be reordered
- Packets can be delayed

•

• Packets can be **duplicated**

How can you possible make anything work with such a service model?

Recap: Four Goals for Reliable Transfer

- Correctness
 - To be defined
- "Fairness"
 - Every flow must get a fair share of network resources
- Flow Performance
 - Latency, jitter, etc.
- Utilization
 - Would like to maximize bandwidth utilization
 - If network has bandwidth available, flows should be able to use it!

Recap: Complete Correctness Condition

- A transport mechanism is "reliable" if and only if
- (a) It resends all dropped or corrupted packets
- (b) It attempts to make progress

Recap: Solution v1

- Send every packet as often and fast as possible...
- Not correct
 - if condition not satisfied: Transport must attempt to make progress
 - No way to check whether the packet was dropped or corrupted
 - So, must continue sending the same packet
 - Showed why we need receiver feedback

Recap: Solution v2

- Resend packet until you get an ACK
 - And receiver sends per-packet ACKs until data finally stops
- Correct
- Fair
- Good but suboptimal performance
- Suboptimal utilization
 - A specific kind of under-utilization:
 - The source is unnecessarily sending the same packet
 - Showed why we must wait for an ACK after sending a packet
 - But how long shall we wait for an ACK?
 - Indeed, the ACK may be lost as well

Recap: Solution v3

- Send packet
 - But now, set a timer
- receiver sends per-packet ACKs
- If sender receives ACK, done
- If no ACK when timer expires, resend
- Correct
- Fair
- Good but suboptimal performance
- Suboptimal utilization
 - A different kind of under-utilization
 - source is not "work conserving": could send, but is not
 - What to do while waiting?
 - Send more packets
 - How many?

Window-based Algorithms

- Very simple concept
 - Send W packets
 - When one gets ACK'ed send the next packet in line
- We want to set W such that:
 - if I am sending at rate = link bandwidth, then
 - the ACK of the first packet arrives
 - exactly when I just finish sending the last of my W packets
 - (assuming same transmission time for data and ACK packets)
- Lets me send as fast as the path can deliver...

RTT x B ~ W x Packet Size

- Recall that Bandwidth Delay Product
 - BDP = bandwidth x propagation delay



- B x RTT is merely 2x BDP
- Window sizing rule:
 - Total bits in flight is roughly the amount of data that fits into forward and reverse "pipes"
 - Here pipe is complete path, not single link...
 - This is not "detail", this is a fundamental concept...

Where Are We?

- Figured out correctness condition:
 - Always resend lost/corrupted packets
 - Always try to make progress (but can give up entirely)
- Figured out single packet case:
 - Send packet, set timer, resend if no ACK when timer expires
- Some progress towards multiple packet case:
 - Allow many packets (W) in flight at once
 - And know what the ideal window size is
 - RTT x B / Packet size
- What's left to design?

Three Design Considerations

- Nature of feedback
 - What should ACKs tell us when we have many packets in flight
- Detection of loss
- Response to loss

ACK Individual Packets

The receiver sends ACK for each individual packet that it receives

Example:

- Assume that packet 5 is lost, but no others
- Stream of ACKs will be
 - 1
 - 2
 - 3
 - 4
 - 6
 - 7
 - 8
 - •

ACK Individual Packets

- Nature of feedback: simple the receiver ACKs each packet
- Loss detection: simple ACKs tell the fate of each packet to the source
- Response to loss: moderate:
 - + Retransmit the packet for which ACK not received
 - + Reordering not a problem
 - + Simple window algorithm
 - W independent single packet algorithms
 - When one finishes grab next packet
 - - Loss of ACK packet requires a retransmission

Full Information Feedback

- List all packets that have been received
 - Give highest cumulative ACK plus any additional packets

Same Example (suppose packet 5 gets lost):

- Same story, except that the "hole" is explicit in each ACK
- Stream of ACKs will be
 - Up to 1
 - Up to 2
 - Up to 3
 - Up to 4
 - Up to 4, plus 6
 - Up to 4, plus 6,7
 - Up to 4, plus 6,7,8

Full Information Feedback

- Nature of feedback: complex feedback may have high overheads
 - If packets 1, 5, 6,, 100 received: ACK(1, 5, 6, ...,100)
- Loss detection: simple the source still knows fate of each packet
- Response to loss: simple:
 - + Retransmit the packet for which ACK not received
 - + Reordering not a problem
 - + Simple window algorithm
 - Loss of ACK does not necessarily requires a retransmission
 - The next ACK will tell that the packet was indeed received
 - Resilient form of individual ACKs

Cumulative ACK

- Individual ACKs can get lost, and require unnecessary retransmission
- Full information feedback can handle lost ACKs but has high overheads
- Cumulative ACKs: a sweet spot between the two
- Just the first part of full information feedback
- ACK the highest sequence number for all previously received packets

Cumulative ACKs (same example; say packet 5 lost)

Full information feedback:

- Stream of ACKs will be
 - Up to 1
 - Up to 2
 - Up to 3
 - Up to 4
 - Up to 4, plus 6
 - Up to 4, plus 6,7
 - Up to 4, plus 6,7,8
 - ...

Tells "which" packet arrived, and which packet did not

Cumulative ACKs:

- Stream of ACKs will be
 - Up to 1
 - Up to 2
 - Up to 3
 - Up to 4
 - Up to 4
 - Up to 4
 - Up to 4

• ...

Tells "some" packet arrived, and which packet did not

Loss With Cumulative ACKs (cont'd)

- Duplicate ACKs are a sign of loss
 - The lack of ACK progress means 5 hasn't been delivered
 - Stream of duplicate ACKs means some packets are being delivered (one for each subsequent packet)
- Response to loss is trickier... When shall the source retransmit packet 5?
 - Packet may be delayed (so, source should wait)
 - Packet may be reordered (so, source should wait)
 - Or, packet may be dropped (source should immediately retransmit)
 - Impossible to know which one is the case
 - Life lesson: **be optimistic**!
 - Until optimism starts hurting
 - Solution: retransmit after k duplicate ACKs
 - for some value of k, depending on how optimistic you feel!

Cumulative ACKs (how is reordering handled; large k)

Receiver events:

- Packet 1 received
- Packet 2 received
- Packet 3 received
- Packet 4 received
- Packet 6 received
- Packet 7 received
- Packet 5 received
- Packet 8 received

•

Cumulative ACKs:

- Up to 1
- Up to 2
- Up to 3
- Up to 4
- Up to 4
- Up to 4
- Up to 7
- Up to 8

Cumulative ACKs naturally handle packet reordering (Packet delays are similar to reordering)

•

Cumulative ACKs (confusion with duplication)

- Produce duplicate ACKs
 - Could be confused for loss with cumulative ACKs
 - But duplication is rare...

Source events:

Receiver events:

- Packet 1 sent
- Packet 2 sent
- Packet 3 sent
- Packet 4 sent
- Packet 5 sent
- Packet 6 sent
- Packet 3 resent
- Packet 7 sent

- Packet 1 received
- Packet 2 received
- Packet 4 received
- Packet 5 received
- Packet 6 received
- Packet 3 received
- Packet 3 received
- Packet 7 received

22

Cumulative ACKs:

- Up to 1
- Up to 2
- Up to 2
- Up to 2
- Up to 2
- Up to 6
- Up to 6
- Up to 7

Possible Design For Reliable Transport

- Cumulative ACKs
- Window based, with retransmissions after
 - Timeout
 - K subsequent ACKs
- This is correct, high-performant and high-utilization
 - At least as much as we can efficiently
- How about fairness?

Fairness? (Come back to later)

- Adjust W based on losses...
- In a way that flows receive same shares
- Short version:
 - Loss: cut W by 2
 - Successful receipt of window: W increased by 1

Overview of Reliable Transport

- Window based self control separate concerns
 - Size of W
 - Nature of feedback
 - Response to loss
- Can design each aspect relatively independently
- Can be correct, fair, high-performant and high-utilization
- All of these are important concerns
 - But correctness is most fundamental
- Design must start with correctness
 - Can then "engineer" its performance with various hacks
 - These hacks can be "fun", but don't let them distract you

What Have We Done so far?

- Started from first principles
 - Correctness condition for reliable transport
- ... to understanding why feedback from receiver is necessary (sol-v1)
- ... to understanding why timers may be needed (sol-v2)
- ... to understanding why window-based design may be needed (sol-v3)
- ... to understanding why cumulative ACKs may be a good idea
 - Very close to modern TCP
- You are now ready to learn TCP

Lets learn TCP

Transport layer

- Transport layer offer a "pipe" abstraction to applications
- Data goes in one end of the pipe and emerges from other
- Pipes are between processes, not hosts
- There are two basic pipe abstractions

Two Pipe Abstractions

- Unreliable packet delivery (UDP)
 - Unreliable (application responsible for resending)
 - Messages limited to single packet
- Reliable byte stream delivery
 - Bytes inserted into pipe by sender
 - They emerge, in order at receiver (to the app)
- What features must transport protocol implement to support these abstractions?

UDP (Datagram Messaging Service)

- Sources send packets
- **Destinations do nothing**, but receive packets
- If packets delayed/reordered/lost:
 - Meh!
 - Let application handle packet loss (or be oblivious to drops)
 - If application needs reliable delivery, it must use reliable transport
- Discarding corrupted packets (optional)
- Nothing else!
- A minimal extension of IP

TCP (Reliable, In Order Delivery)

- Source send **segments**
- Destinations send ACKs
- Source retransmits lost and/or corrupted segments
- Sources perform **Flow control** (to not overflow receiver)
- Sources perform **Congestion control** (to not overload network)
- Source and destination participate in "Connection" set-up and tear-down

Connections (Or Sessions)

- Reliability requires keeping state
 - Sender: packets sent but not yet ACKed, and related timers
 - Receiver: packets that arrived out-of-order
- Each byte stream is called a **connection** or **session**
 - Each with their own connection state
 - State is in hosts, not network

Ports

- Separate 16-bit port address space for UDP, TCP
- "Well known" ports (0-1023)
 - Agreement on which services run on these ports
 - e.g., ssh:22, http:80
 - Client (app) knows appropriate port on sender
 - Services can listen on well-known ports

Multiplexing and Demultiplexing

- Host receives IP datagrams
 - Each datagram has source and destination IP address
 - Each segment has source and destination port number
- Host uses IP address and port numbers to direct the segment to appropriate socket

| Source Port # | Dest Port # | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Other Header Fields | | | | | | | |
| Application Data (Message) | | | | | | | |

IP Packet Structure

| 4-bit Version | 4-bit He Lenç | əader gth | 8-bit Type of Service (TOS) | 16-bit Total Length (Bytes) | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 16-bit Identification | | | | 3-bit Flags | 3s 13-bit Fragment Offset | | | | |
| 8-bit Time to Live (TTL) | | oit Protocol | 16-bit Header Checksum | | | | | | |
| 32-bit Source IP Address | | | | | | | | | |
| 32-bit Destination IP Address | | | | | | | | | |
| Options (if any) | | | | | | | | | |
| Payload | | | | | | | | | |

IP Packet Structure

| | 4-bit Version | 4-bit He Lene | eader gth | 8-bit Type of Service (TOS) | 16-bit Total Length (Bytes) | | | | |
|--|--|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 1(| 6-bit Ide | ntificat | ion | 3-bit Flags | gs 13-bit Fragment Offset | | | |
| | 8-bit Time to Live (TTL) 6 = TCP 7 = UDP | | | i = TCP = UDP | 16-bit Header Checksum | | | | |
| | 32-bit Source IP Address | | | | | | | | |
| | 32-bit Destination IP Address | | | | | | | | |
| | 16-bit Source Port | | | | 16-bit Dest Port | | | | |
| | More Transport Header Fields Payload | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
Any Questions?

Transmission Control Protocol (TCP)

- Reliable, in-order delivery
 - Ensures byte stream (eventually) arrives intact
 - In the presence of corruption, delays, reordering, loss
- Connection oriented
 - Explicit set-up and tear-down of TCP session
- Full duplex stream of byte service
 - Sends and receives stream of bytes, not messages
- Flow control
 - Ensures the sender does not overwhelm the receiver
- Congestion control
 - Dynamic adaptation to network path's capacity

From design to implementation: major notation change

- Previously we focused on packets
 - Packets had numbers
 - ACKs referred to those numbers
 - Window sizes expressed in terms of # of packets
- TCP focuses on bytes, thus
 - Packets identified by the bytes they carry
 - ACKs refer to the bytes received
 - Window size expressed in terms of # of bytes

Basic Components of Reliability

- ACKs
 - TCP uses byte sequence numbers to identify payloads
 - ACKs referred to those numbers
 - Window sizes expressed in terms of # of packets
- Timeouts and retransmissions
 - Can't be reliable without retransmitting lost/corrupted data
 - TCP retransmits based on timeouts and duplicate ACKs
 - Timeouts based on estimate of RTT

Other TCP Design Decisions

- Sliding window flow control
 - Allow W contiguous bytes to be in flight
- Cumulative Acknowledgements
 - Selective ACKs (full information) also supported (ignore)
- Set timer after each payload is ACK'ed
 - Timer is effectively for the "next expected payload"
 - When the timer goes off, resend that payload and wait
 - And double timeout period
- Various tricks related to "fast retransmit"

| Source Port | | | Destination Port | | |
|--------------------|---|-------|-------------------|--|--|
| Sequence Number | | | | | |
| Acknowledgement | | | | | |
| HdrLen | 0 | Flags | Advertised Window | | |
| Checksum | | | Urgent Pointer | | |
| Options (variable) | | | | | |
| Data | | | | | |



Segments and Sequence Numbers

TCP "Stream of Bytes" Service

Application @ Host A



Application @ Host B

TCP "Stream of Bytes" Service

Application @ Host A



Application @ Host B

TCP Segment



- IP Packet
 - No bigger than Maximum Transmission Unit (MTU)
 - E.g., up to 1500 bytes with Ethernet
- TCP Packet
 - IP packet with a TCP header and data inside
 - TCP header >= 20 bytes long
- TCP Segment
 - No more than MSS (Maximum Segment Size) bytes
 - E.g., unto 1460 consecutive bytes from the stream
 - MSS = MTU IP header TCP header

Sequence Numbers



ACKing and Sequence Numbers

- Sender sends segments (byte stream)
 - Data starts with sequence number X
 - Packet contains B bytes
 - X, X+1, X+2, ..., X+B-1
- Upon receipt of a segment, receiver sends an ACK
 - If all data prior to X already received:
 - ACK acknowledges X+B (because that is next expected byte)
 - If highest contiguous byte received is smaller value Y
 - ACK acknowledges Y+1
 - Even if this has been ACKed before



Acknowledgement gives sequence number just beyond highest sequence number received in order ("What byte is next")



| Source Po | ort | Destination Port | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Sequence Number | | | | | |
| Acknowledgement | | | | | |
| 0 | Flags | Advertised Window | | | |
| Checksun | n | Urgent Pointer | | | |
| Options (variable) | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Data | | | | | |
| | Source Po 0 Checksun | Source Port Sequend Acknow 0 Flags Checksum Options | | | |

Flow Control (Sliding Window)

- Advertised Window: W
 - Can send W bytes beyond the next expected byte
- Receiver uses W to prevent sender from overflowing buffer
- Limits number of bytes sender can have in flight

Filling the Pipe

- Simple example:
 - W (in bytes), which we assume is constant
 - RTT (in sec), which we assume is constant
 - B (in **bytes**/sec)
- How fast will data be transferred?
- If W/RTT < B, the transfer has speed W/RTT
- If W/RTT > B, the transfer has speed B

Advertised Window Limits Rate

- Sender can send no faster than W/RTT bytes/sec
- In original TCP, that was the sole protocol mechanism controlling sender's rate
- What's missing?
- Congestion control about how to adjust W to avoid network congestion (next lecture)

Any Questions?

Implementing Sliding Window

- Sender maintains a window
 - Data that has been sent out but not yet ACK'ed
- Left edge of window:
 - Beginning of unacknowledged data
 - Moves when data is ACKed
- Window size = maximum amount of data in flight
- Receiver sets this amount, based on its available buffer space
 - If it has not yet sent data up to the app, this might be small

TCP Header: What's left?

| Source Port | | | Destination Port | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | Sequence Number | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgement | | | | | |
| HdrLen | 0 | Flags | Advertised Window | | | |
| | Checksur | n | Urgent Pointer | | | |
| Options (variable) | | | | | | |
| | Data | | | | | |

TCP Connection Establishment and Initial Sequence Numbers

Initial Sequence Number (ISN)

- Sequence number for the very first byte
 - E.g., Why not just use ISN = 0?
- Practical issue
 - IP addresses and port #s uniquely identify a connection
 - Eventually, though, these port #s do get used again
 - ... small chance an old packet is still in flight
- TCP therefore requires changing ISN
 - Set from 32-bit clock that ticks every 4 microseconds
 - ... only wraps around once every 4.55 hours
- To establish a connection, hosts exchange ISNs
 - How does this help?

Establishing a TCP Connection



Each host tells its ISN to the other host.

- Three-way handshake to establish connection
 - Host A sends a SYN (open; "synchronize sequence numbers") to host B
 - Host B returns a SYN acknowledgement (SYN ACK)
 - Host sends an ACK to acknowledge the SYN ACK



See /usr/include/netinet/tcp.h on Unix Systems

Step 1: A's Initial SYN Packet



A tells B it wants to open a connection...

Step 2: B's SYN-ACK Packet



B tells A it accepts and is ready to hear the next byte... ... upon receiving this packet, A can start sending data

Step 3: A's ACK of the SYN-ACK



A tells B it's likewise okay to start sending ... upon receiving this packet, B can start sending data

Timing Diagram: 3-Way Handshaking



Note: TCP is Duplex

- A TCP connection between A and B can carry data in both directions
- Packets can both carry data and ACK data
- If the ACK flag is set, thrn it is ACKing data
- (details to follow ...)

Any Questions?

Done for today

Next lecture: Congestion control

Back up slides on UDP (not needed for exams)

UDP: User Datagram Protocol

- Lightweight communication between processes
 - Avoid overhead and delays of ordered, reliable delivery
 - Send messages to and receive from a socket
- UDP described in RFC 768 (1980)
 - IP plus port numbers to support (de)multiplexing
 - Optional error checking on the packet contents
 - Checksum field = 0 means "don't verify checksum"
 - (local port, local IP, remote port, remote IP) <--> socket

| Source Port # | Dest Port # | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Checksum | Length | | | |
| Application Data (Message) | | | | |

Question

• Why do UDP packets carry sender's port?
Popular Applications That Use UDP

- Some interactive streaming apps
 - Retransmitting lost/corrupted packets is often pointless by the time the packet is transmitted, it's too late
 - E.g., telephone calls, video conferencing, gaming
 - Modern streaming protocols using TCP (and HTTP)
- Simple query protocols like Domain Name System
 - Connection establishment overhead would double cost
 - Easier to have application retransmit if needed



Back up slides on TCP (not needed for exams)

What if the SYN Packet Gets Lost?

- Suppose the SYN packet gets lost
 - Packet is lost inside the network, or
 - Server discards the packet (e.g., listen queue is full)
- Eventually, no SYN-ACK arrives
 - Sender sets a timer and waits for the SYN-ACK
 - ... and retransmits the SYN if needed
- How should the TCP sender set the timer?
 - Sender has **no idea** how far away the receiver is
 - Hard to guess a reasonable length of time to wait
 - Should (RFCs 1122 and 2988) use default of 3 seconds
 - Other implementations instead use 6 seconds

SYN Loss and Web Downloads

- User clicks on a hypertext link
 - Browser creates a socket and does a "connect"
 - The "connect" triggers the OS to transmit a SYN
- If the SYN is lost...
 - 3-4 seconds of delay: can be very long
 - User may become impatient
 - ... and click the hyperlink again, or click "reload"
- User triggers an "abort" of the "connect"
 - Browser creates a new socket and another "connect"
 - Essentially, forces a faster send of a new SYN packet!
 - Sometimes very effective, and the page comes quickly

Tearing Down the Connection

Normal Termination



• Which A then acks

Normal Termination, Both Together

• Same as before, but B sets FIN with their ack of A's FIN



Abrupt Termination



- A sends a RESET (RST) to B
 - E.g., because app. Process on A crashed
- That's it
 - B does not ack the RST
 - This, RST is not delivered reliably
 - And, any data in flight is lost
 - But, if B sends anything more, will elicit another RST

TCP State Transitions



A Simpler View of the Client Side



TCP Header

| Source Po | ort | Destination Port |
|--------------------|----------------------------|---|
| Sequence Number | | |
| Acknowledgement | | |
| 0 | Flags | Advertised Window |
| Checksun | n | Urgent Pointer |
| Options (variable) | | |
| | | |
| Data | | |
| | Source Po 0 Checksun | Source Port Sequend Acknow 0 Flags Checksum Options |

TCP Header: What's left?



TCP Header: What's left?



Used with URG flag to indicate urgent data (not discussed further)