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PHASE 1 (1945 – 1975)

COMPUTERS EXPENSIVE, HUMANS CHEAP
Early Era (1945 – 1955):

- First computer: ENIAC
  - UPenn, 30 tons
  - Vacuum tubes
  - Card reader/puncher
  - 100 word memory added in 1953
- Single User Systems
  - One app, then reboot
- “O.S” = loader + libraries
- Problem: Low utilization

• First Operating System: GM-NAA-I/O
  – General Motors research division
  – North American Aviation
  – Input/Output

• Written for IBM 704 computer
  – 10 tons
  – Transistors
  – 4K word memory (about 18 Kbyte)
Batch Processing

- O.S = loader + libraries + sequencer
- Problem: CPU unused during I/O
Time-Sharing (1960 –):

• Multiplex CPU
• CTSS first time-sharing O.S.
  – Compatible Time Sharing System
  – MIT Computation Center
  – predecessor of all modern O.S.’s
• IBM 7090 computer
• 32K word memory
Time-Sharing + Security (1965 –):

• Multics (MIT)
  – security rings
• GE-645 computer
  – hw-protected virtual memory
• Multics predecessor of
  – Unix (1970)
  – Linux (1990)
  – Android (2008)
PHASE 2 (1975 – TODAY)

COMPUTERS CHEAP, HUMANS EXPENSIVE
Personal Computers (1975 –):

• 1975: IBM 5100 first “portable” computer
  – 55 pounds...
  – ICs

• 1977: RadioShack/Tandy TRS-80
  – first “home” desktop

• 1981: Osborne 1 first “laptop”
  – 24.5 pounds, 5” display
Modern Era (1990 –)

• Ubiquitous Computing / Internet-of-Things
  – Mark Weiser, 1988-ish

• Personal Computing
  – PDA ("PalmPilot") introduced in 1992
  – #computers / human >> 1

• Cloud Computing
  – Amazon EC2, 2006
Today’s “winners” (by market share)

- Google Android (2006, based on Linux)
  - Android phones
- Microsoft Windows NT (1993)
  - PC desktops, laptops, and servers
- Apple iOS (2007)
  - iPhones, iPads, ...
- Apple Mac OS X (2001)
  - Apple Mac desktops and laptops
- Linux (1990)
  - Servers, laptops, IoT
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SUPPORT FOR PROCESSES
Hardware Support for Processes: 
*supervisor mode*

- One primary objective of an O.S. kernel is to manage and isolate multiple processes
  - Kernel runs in *supervisor mode (aka kernel mode)*
    - unrestricted access to all hardware
  - Processes run in *user mode*
    - restricted access to memory, devices, certain machine instructions, ...
    - Note: “process” and “user” often equated
  - Kernel maintains a *Process Control Block (PCB)* for each process
    - holds page table and more
Two architectures of O.S. kernels

“kernel is a special process”

“process is bipolar” or “kernel is a library”

most modern O.S.’s (Linux, Windows, Mac OS X, ...)

kernel
## Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kernel is a process</th>
<th>Kernel is a library</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kernel has one interrupt stack. Each process has a user stack</td>
<td>Each process has a user stack and an interrupt stack (part of Process Control Block)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kernel implemented using “event-based” programming (programmer saves/restores context explicitly)</td>
<td>Kernel implemented using “thread-based programming” (context handled by language run-time through “blocking”)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kernel has to translate between virtual and physical addresses when accessing user memory</td>
<td>Kernel can access user memory directly (through page table)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which architecture do you like better? Why do you think most modern O.S.’s use the “kernel is a library” architecture?
How does the kernel get control?

• Boot (reset, power cycle, ...)
  – kernel initializes devices, etc.

• Interrupts
  – user mode $\rightarrow$ supervisor mode

there is no “main loop”
(again: kernel more like a library than a process)
Types of interrupts

**Exceptions (aka Faults)**
- Synchronous / Non-maskable
- Process missteps (e.g., div-by-zero)
- Privileged instructions

**System Calls**
- Synchronous / Non-maskable
- User program requests OS service

**(Device) Interrupts**
- Asynchronous / Maskable
- HW device requires OS service
  - timer, I/O device, inter-processor, …
• A CPU has only one device interrupt input
• An Interrupt controller manages interrupts from multiple devices:
  – Interrupts have descriptor of interrupting device
  – Priority selector circuit examines all interrupting devices, reports highest level to the CPU
Interrupt Handling

• Two objectives:
  1. handle the interrupt and remove its cause
  2. restore what was running before the interrupt
     • state may have been modified on purpose

• Two “actors” in handling the interrupt:
  1. the hardware goes first
  2. the kernel code takes control in interrupt handler
Interrupt Handling (conceptually)

- There is a supervisor SP and a user SP
  - both called SP
  - determined by “supervisor mode bit”

- On interrupt, hardware:
  1. disables (“masks”) interrupts
     • at least interrupts of the same type
  2. sets supervisor mode (if not set already)
  3. pushes PC (IP), SP, and PSW from before interrupt
  4. sets PC to “interrupt handler”
     • depends on interrupt type
     • interrupt handlers specified in “interrupt vector” initialized during boot:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interrupt Vector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I/O interrupt handler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system call handler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>page fault handler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reasons for separating user SP / supervisor SP

• user SP may be illegal
  – badly aligned or pointing to writable memory
• user stack may be not be large enough and cause important data to be overwritten
  – remember: stack grows down, heap grows up
• user may use SP for other things than stack
• security risks if only one SP:
  – kernel could push sensitive data on user stack and unwittingly leave it there (pop does not erase memory)
  – process could corrupt kernel code or data by pointing SP to kernel address
Interrupt Handling, cont’d

PSW (Processor Status Word):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>supervisor mode bit</th>
<th>interrupts enabled bit</th>
<th>condition codes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

“return from interrupt” instruction:
- hardware pops PC, SP, and PSW
- depending on contents of PSW
  - switch to user mode
  - enable interrupts
- partly privileged: process cannot switch to supervisor mode or disable interrupts this way
  - WHY??
  - How can a process intentionally switch to supervisor mode?
Interrupt Handling: software

- Interrupt handler first pushes the registers onto the interrupt stack (part of PCB)
  - Why does it save the registers?
  - Why doesn’t the hardware do that?
  
answers on next page
Saving Registers

• On interrupt, the kernel needs to save the registers as the kernel code needs to use the registers to handle the interrupt

• Saving/restoring registers is expensive. Not all registers need be saved: the kernel uses only a subset, and most functions will already save and restore the registers that it needs
Typical Interrupt Handler Code

HandleInterruptX:
  PUSH %Rn
...
  PUSH %R1
  CALL __handleX  // call C function handleX()
  POP %R1
...
  POP %Rn
RETURN_FROM_INTERRUPT
Example Clock Interrupt Handler in C

```c
#define CLK_DEV_REG 0xFFFFE0300

void handleClockInterrupt()
{
    int *cdr = (int *) CLK_DEV_REG;
    *cdr = 1;     // turn off clock interrupt
    scheduler()   // run another process?
}
```
Example System Call Handler in C

```c
struct pcb *current_process;

int handle_syscall(int type){
    switch (type) {
    case GETPID: return current_process->pid;
    ...
    }
}
```
How Kernel Starts a New Process

1. allocate and initialize a PCB
2. set up initial page table
3. push process arguments onto user stack
4. *simulate an interrupt*
   - push initial PC, user SP
   - push PSW
     - with supervisor mode off and interrupts enabled
5. clear all other registers
6. return-from-interrupt
Interrupt Safety

• Kernel should disable device interrupts as little as possible
  – for fast response to interrupts

• Device interrupts are often disabled selectively
  – e.g., clock interrupts enabled during disk interrupt handling

• This leads to potential “race conditions”

Pay close attention: interrupt-safety is tricky and you’re likely going to need this knowledge even if you’ll never write kernel code
Interrupt Race Example

- Disk interrupt handler enqueues a task to be executed after a particular time – while clock interrupts are enabled
- Clock interrupt handler checks queue for tasks that have to be executed – and may remove tasks from the queue
- Clock interrupt may happen during enqueue

Concurrent access to queue data structure

How to prevent corruption of the data structure?
How to make code interrupt-safe?

- Prevent interrupt races by making sure interrupts are disabled while accessing mutable data
- *Can’t use locks for interrupt-safety*
  – WHY NOT??
Locks vs Disabling Interrupts

• Locks are for mutable shared data; with interrupts it’s the same CPU accessing the data
  – To make code interrupt-safe, disable interrupts
  – To make code thread-safe, grab a lock

• Problem: often kernel code needs to be both interrupt-safe and thread-safe

• Solution: First disable interrupts, then grab lock

Why not the other way around??
A warning about term “re-entrant”

- *Re-entrant code* is code in which multiple invocations can safely run concurrently
- Unfortunately, the term is not used consistently
- Different meanings:
  - code that is interrupt-safe, distinct from thread-safe
  - code that is both interrupt- *and* thread-safe
  - code that is recursive
  - ...
Example Interrupt-Safe Code

```c
void enqueue(struct task *task){
    int level = interrupts_disable();
    ... // update queue data structure
    interrupts_restore(level);
}
```

• Why doesn’t this code simply enable interrupts when done?
Warning: very few standard C functions are interrupt-safe!!

• pure system calls are interrupt-safe  
  – e.g. read(), write(), etc.
• functions that do not use global data are interrupt-safe  
  – e.g. strlen(), strcpy(), etc.
• malloc() and free() are not interrupt-safe
• printf() is not interrupt-safe  
  – don’t call printf() from an interrupt handler unless you disable interrupts for every other call to the standard I/O library (stdio)
• However, all these functions are thread-safe
SUPPORT FOR DEVICES
Device Management

• Another primary objective of an O.S. kernel is to manage and multiplex devices

• Example devices:
  - screen
  - keyboard
  - mouse
  - camera
  - microphone
  - printer
  - clock
  - disk
  - USB
  - Ethernet
  - WiFi
  - Bluetooth
Device Registers

• A device presents itself to the CPU as (pseudo)memory

• Simple example:
  – each pixel on the screen is a word in memory that can be written

• Devices define a range of device registers
  – accessible through LOAD and STORE operations
Example: Disk Device (simplified)

- can only read and write blocks, not words
- registers:
  1. block number: which block to read or write
     • actually, specified through head, cylinder, sector
  2. memory address: where to copy block from/to
  3. command register: to start read/write operations
     • device interrupts CPU upon completion
  4. interrupt ack register: to tell device interrupt received
  5. status register: to examine status of operations
Example: Network Device (simplified)

- **registers:**
  1. receive memory address: for incoming packets
  2. send memory address: for outgoing packets
  3. command register: to send/receive packet
     - device interrupts CPU upon completion
  4. interrupt ack register: to tell device interrupt received
  5. status register: to examine status of operations
Device Drivers

- **Device Driver**: a code module that deals with a particular brand/model of hardware device
  - initialization
  - starting operations
  - interrupt handling
  - error handling
- An O.S. has many disk drivers, many network drivers, etc.
  - >90% of an O.S. code base
  - huge security issue... **WHY??**
- But all disk drivers have a common API
  - `disk_init()`, `read_block()`, `write_block()`, etc.
- So do all network drivers
  - `net_init()`, `receive_packet()`, `send_packet()`
O.S. support for device drivers

- kernels provide many functions for drivers:
  - interrupt management
  - memory allocation
  - queues
  - copying between user space/kernel space
  - error logging
  - ...

BOOTING AN O.S.
Booting an O.S.

- “pull oneself over a fence by one's bootstraps”

Steps in booting an O.S.:

1. CPU starts at fixed address
   - in supervisor mode with interrupts disabled
2. BIOS (in ROM) loads “boot loader” code from specified storage or network device into memory and runs it
3. boot loader loads O.S. kernel code into memory and runs it
O.S. initialization

1. determine location/size of physical memory
2. set up initial MMU / page tables
3. initialize the interrupt vector
4. determine which devices the computer has
   - invoke device driver initialization code for each
5. initialize file system code
6. load first process from file system
7. start first process
O.S. Code Architecture

O.S Process

Application Process

“user space”

System Calls

Process Management

User Management

File Systems

Network Protocols

Memory Management

Device Management

hardware-dependent code

Boot/Init

Device Driver