



Storing Data: Disks and Files



Storing and Retrieving Data

- ❖ Database Management Systems need to:
 - Store large volumes of data
 - Store data reliably (so that data is not lost!)
 - Retrieve data efficiently
- ❖ Alternatives for storage
 - Main memory
 - Disks
 - Tape



Why Not Store Everything in Main Memory?

- ❖ *Costs too much.* \$500 will buy you either 512MB of RAM or 100GB of disk today.
- ❖ *Main memory is volatile.* We want data to be saved between runs. (Obviously!)



Why Not Store Everything in Tapes?

- ❖ *No random access.* Data has to be accessed sequentially
 - Not a great idea when accessing a small portion of a terabyte of data
- ❖ *Slow!* Data access times are larger than for disks



Disks

- ❖ Secondary storage device of choice
 - Cheap
 - Stable storage medium
 - Random access to data
- ❖ Main problem
 - Data read/write times much larger than for main memory



Solution 1: Techniques for making disks faster

- ❖ Intelligent data layout on disk
 - Put related data items together
- ❖ Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks (RAID)
 - Achieve parallelism by using many disks

Solution 2: Buffer Management


- ❖ Keep “currently used” data in main memory
 - How do we do this efficiently?
- ❖ Typical storage hierarchy:
 - Main memory (RAM) for currently used data
 - Disks for the main database (secondary storage)
 - Tapes for archiving older versions of the data (tertiary storage)

Outline

- ❖ Disk technology and how to make disk read/writes faster
- ❖ Buffer management
- ❖ Storing “database files” on disk

Components of a Disk

- ❖ The platters spin (say, 100rps).
- ❖ The arm assembly is moved in or out to position a head on a desired track. Tracks under heads make a *cylinder* (imaginary!).
- ❖ Only one head reads/writes at any one time.
- ❖ *Block size* is a multiple of *sector size* (which is fixed).



Accessing a Disk Page

- ❖ Time to access (read/write) a disk block:
 - *seek time* (moving arms to position disk head on track)
 - *rotational delay* (waiting for block to rotate under head)
 - *transfer time* (actually moving data to/from disk surface)
- ❖ Seek time and rotational delay dominate.
 - Seek time varies from about 1 to 20msec
 - Rotational delay varies from 0 to 10msec
 - Transfer rate is about 0.5msec per 4KB page
- ❖ Key to lower I/O cost: reduce seek/rotation delays! Hardware vs. software solutions?

Arranging Pages on Disk

- ❖ ‘Next’ block concept:
 - blocks on same track, followed by
 - blocks on same cylinder, followed by
 - blocks on adjacent cylinder
- ❖ Blocks in a file should be arranged sequentially on disk (by ‘next’), to minimize seek and rotational delay.
- ❖ For a sequential scan, *pre-fetching* several pages at a time is a big win!

RAID

- ❖ Disk Array: Arrangement of several disks that gives abstraction of a single, large disk.
- ❖ Goals: Increase performance and reliability.
- ❖ Two main techniques:
 - Data striping: Data is partitioned; size of a partition is called the striping unit. Partitions are distributed over several disks.
 - Redundancy: More disks -> more failures. Redundant information allows reconstruction of data if a disk fails.

RAID Levels

- ❖ Level 0: No redundancy
- ❖ Level 1: Mirrored (two identical copies)
 - Each disk has a mirror image (check disk)
 - Parallel reads, a write involves two disks.
 - Maximum transfer rate = transfer rate of one disk
- ❖ Level 0+1: Striping and Mirroring
 - Parallel reads, a write involves two disks.
 - Maximum transfer rate = aggregate bandwidth

RAID Levels (Contd.)

- ❖ Level 3: Bit-Interleaved Parity
 - Striping Unit: One bit. One check disk.
 - Each read and write request involves all disks; disk array can process one request at a time.
- ❖ Level 4: Block-Interleaved Parity
 - Striping Unit: One disk block. One check disk.
 - Parallel reads possible for small requests, large requests can utilize full bandwidth
 - Writes involve modified block and check disk
- ❖ Level 5: Block-Interleaved Distributed Parity
 - Similar to RAID Level 4, but parity blocks are distributed over all disks


Disk Space Management

- ❖ Lowest layer of DBMS software manages space on disk.
- ❖ Higher levels call upon this layer to:
 - allocate/de-allocate a page
 - read/write a page
- ❖ Request for a *sequence* of pages must be satisfied by allocating the pages sequentially on disk! Higher levels don't need to know how this is done, or how free space is managed.

Outline

- ❖ Disk technology and how to make disk read/writes faster
- ❖ Buffer management
- ❖ Storing "database files" on disk

Buffer Management in a DBMS



- ❖ Data must be in RAM for DBMS to operate on it!
- ❖ Table of <frame#, pageid> pairs is maintained.

When a Page is Requested ...

- ❖ If requested page is not in pool:
 - Choose a frame for *replacement*
 - If frame is dirty, write it to disk
 - Read requested page into chosen frame
 - ❖ *Pin* the page and return its address.
- ☒ If requests can be predicted (e.g., sequential scans) pages can be pre-fetched several pages at a time!

More on Buffer Management

- ❖ Requestor of page must unpin it, and indicate whether page has been modified:
 - *dirty* bit is used for this.
- ❖ Page in pool may be requested many times,
 - a *pin count* is used. A page is a candidate for replacement iff *pin count* = 0.
- ❖ CC & recovery may entail additional I/O when a frame is chosen for replacement. (*Write-Ahead Log* protocol; more later.)

Buffer Replacement Policy

- ❖ Frame is chosen for replacement by a *replacement policy*:
 - Least-recently-used (LRU), Clock, MRU etc.
- ❖ Policy can have big impact on # of I/O's; depends on the *access pattern*.
- ❖ *Sequential flooding*: Nasty situation caused by LRU + repeated sequential scans.
 - # buffer frames < # pages in file means each page request causes an I/O. MRU much better in this situation (but not in all situations, of course).

DBMS vs. OS File System

OS does disk space & buffer mgmt: why not let OS manage these tasks?

- ❖ Differences in OS support: portability issues
- ❖ Some limitations, e.g., files can't span disks.
- ❖ Buffer management in DBMS requires ability to:
 - pin a page in buffer pool, force a page to disk (important for implementing CC & recovery),
 - adjust *replacement policy*, and pre-fetch pages based on access patterns in typical DB operations.


Outline

- ❖ Disk technology and how to make disk read/writes faster
- ❖ Buffer management
- ❖ Storing "database files" on disk

Files of Records

- ❖ Page or block is OK when doing I/O, but higher levels of DBMS operate on *records*, and *files of records*.
- ❖ **FILE**: A collection of pages, each containing a collection of records. Must support:
 - insert/delete/modify record
 - read a particular record (specified using *record id*)
 - scan all records (possibly with some conditions on the records to be retrieved)


Record Formats: Fixed Length



- ❖ Information about field types same for all records in a file; stored in *system catalogs*.
- ❖ Finding *i*'th field requires scan of record.


Record Formats: Variable Length

❖ Two alternative formats (# fields is fixed):




☒ Second offers direct access to i 'th field, efficient storage of nulls (special *don't know* value); small directory overhead.


Page Formats: Fixed Length Records



Page Formats: Fixed Length Records



Page Formats: Variable Length Records




☒ Can move records on page without changing rid; so, attractive for fixed-length records too.

Unordered (Heap) Files


- ❖ Simplest file structure contains records in no particular order.
- ❖ As file grows and shrinks, disk pages are allocated and de-allocated.
- ❖ To support record level operations, we must:
 - keep track of the *pages* in a file
 - keep track of *free space* on pages
 - keep track of the *records* on a page
- ❖ There are many alternatives for keeping track of this.

Heap File Implemented as a List



- ❖ The header page id and Heap file name must be stored someplace.
- ❖ Each page contains 2 `pointers' plus data.

Heap File Using a Page Directory



- ❖ The entry for a page can include the number of free bytes on the page.
- ❖ The directory is a collection of pages; linked list implementation is just one alternative.
 - Much smaller than linked list of all HF pages!

Indexes

- ❖ A Heap file allows us to retrieve records:
 - by specifying the *rid*, or
 - by scanning all records sequentially
- ❖ Sometimes, we want to retrieve records by specifying the *values in one or more fields*, e.g.,
 - Find all students in the "CS" department
 - Find all students with a $\text{gpa} > 3$
- ❖ Indexes are file structures that enable us to answer such value-based queries efficiently.

System Catalogs

- ❖ For each index:
 - structure (e.g., B+ tree) and search key fields
- ❖ For each relation:
 - name, file name, file structure (e.g., Heap file)
 - attribute name and type, for each attribute
 - index name, for each index
 - integrity constraints
- ❖ For each view:
 - view name and definition
- ❖ Plus statistics, authorization, buffer pool size, etc.
 - ☒ *Catalogs are themselves stored as relations!*

Attr_Cat(attr_name, rel_name, type, position)

attr_name	rel_name	type	position
attr_name	Attribute_Cat	string	1
rel_name	Attribute_Cat	string	2
type	Attribute_Cat	string	3
position	Attribute_Cat	integer	4
sid	Students	string	1
name	Students	string	2
login	Students	string	3
age	Students	integer	4
gpa	Students	real	5
fid	Faculty	string	1
fname	Faculty	string	2
sal	Faculty	real	3

Summary

- ❖ Disks provide cheap, non-volatile storage
- ❖ Buffer manager brings pages into RAM
- ❖ DBMS vs. OS File Support
- ❖ Fixed and Variable length records
- ❖ Slotted page organization