

CS 421 Problem Set 1 Due: Wed Sep 15/99

1. Let A and B be square matrices of order n . Six different ways to compute $C = A * B$ are obtained by considering the different orderings of a triply-nested “for-loop”, each with the single executable statement

$$C(i, j) = C(i, j) + A(i, k) * B(k, j).$$

What is this triply-nested for-loop? Which ordering(s) of the for-loops correspond to a row-by-row computation of C ? Which ordering(s) correspond to a column-by-column computation of C ? How can you describe the computation of C given by the remaining orderings? How many flops are required by the different algorithms?

2. Let x and y be 2 n -vectors and define A to be the matrix such that $A(i, j) = x(i) * y(j)$, $i, j = 1 : n$. Given that the vectors x and y are on hand, show that it is possible to compute the vector $w = Av$ in $3n$ flops where v is a given n -vector.

3. Let T be a triangular matrix of order n . Show that if $T(i, i) \neq 0$, $i = 1 : n$ then T has rank n . Show that if $T(j, j) = 0$, for some j , then $rank(T) < n$.

4. Let A be a square matrix of order n . Give a constructive argument to show that there exist a permutation matrix P , a unit lower-triangular matrix L , and an upper-triangular matrix U such that

$$PA = LU.$$

Is it true that A is nonsingular if and only if U is nonsingular? Explain.

5. A sparse matrix is a matrix with many zeros. Often a sparse matrix is represented compactly. For example, the nonzeros of a sparse matrix may be stored as a collection of 3-tuples, $(i, j, value_{ij})$, where $A(i, j) = value_{ij} \neq 0$. The zeros are not stored; the number of 3-tuples is equal to the number of nonzeros in the matrix.

Describe an algorithm for computing $A * x$, where A is a sparse matrix represented using the 3-tuple data structure and x is a given (dense) n -vector. What is the complexity of computing $A * x$ (i.e., the number of flops) in terms of n, r_i, c_j where r_i is the number of nonzeros in row i , $i = 1 : n$, and c_j is the number of nonzeros in column j , $j = 1 : n$.

6. (a) Let H be a real symmetric matrix of order n and let A be m -by- n with $\text{rank}(A) = m$. Assume $m \ll n$. Define

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} H & A^T \\ A & W \end{bmatrix}.$$

Assume W is real and symmetric and M is positive definite. If $H = LL^T$ is precomputed, i.e., on tap, how can a system $Mx = b$ be solved in $O(n^2)$ flops? Matrix L is lower triangular and nonsingular.

(b) Experiment with your technique (in MATLAB) on different sized systems. Compare flop counts, and execution times, to the counts/times obtained using $x = M \backslash b$. (Compare solutions to verify that your technique is valid.) In addition, plot observed execution time for your technique versus n^2 . Observations? Explain any results that appear to be inconsistent with the theory.

7. Suppose we wish to compute the solution to the square (nonsingular) linear system, $(H + A^T W^{-1} A)z = r$, where H is symmetric (n -by- n), W is symmetric, nonsingular, and A is m -by- n with $\text{rank}(A) = m$. Propose a direct method to solve for z that does not require the explicit formulation of $(H + A^T W^{-1} A)$, $A^T W^{-1} A$, or even W^{-1} . Suggest why your method might be advantageous.