## ASSIGNMENT 3

- 1. If a multithreaded process forks, a problem occurs if the child gets copies of all the parent's threads. Suppose that one of the original threads was waiting for a keyboard input. Now two threads are waiting for the keyboard input, one in each process. Does this problem ever occur in single-threaded processes? [1]
- 2. Is the register set a part of the per-thread or per-process state? Why? [1]
- 3. Let us consider the following system: An average process runs for a time T before it blocks on I/O. A context switch takes time S, which is essentially wasted. For round robin scheduling with quantum Q, give a formula for CPU efficiency, which is (time when CPU is doing something useful/total time) for each of the following cases: [2.5]

a. 
$$Q = \infty$$

 $b. \quad Q > T$ 

$$c. \quad S < Q < T$$

- $d. \quad Q = S$
- $e. \quad Q \to 0$
- 4. If P() and V() are not executed atomically, then do we still get a correct solution to the critical section problem? Are any conditions, i.e. mutual exclusion, progress and bounded waiting, violated? If yes, how? If not, then show that it holds. [2]
- 5. Given a set of processes and their job completion times, prove that the Shortest Job First algorithm gives the shortest waiting time. [1.5]
- 6. In the following program:

```
shared int N = 50, tally; /* shared across multiple processes */
void total() {
  int count:
  for(count=1; count < N; count++) {</pre>
     tally++;
  }
}
void main() {
  pid_t pid = fork();
  tally = 0;
  if (pid == 0) { /* shares the variable tally and N */
     total();
  } else if (pid > 0) {
     total(); /* shares tally and N with child process */
  }
}
```

Determine the proper upper and lower bound on the final value of the shared variable *tally*. Assume that processes can run at any speed, and a value can only be incremented after it has been loaded into a register by a separate machine instruction. (Hint: lower bound is not 50) [2]