# CS 4110

# Programming Languages & Logics

Lecture 3
Inductive Definitions and Proofs

27 August 2012

## **Announcements**

#### **Teaching Assistants**

- Brittany Office Hours: Thursdays at 1:30-2:30pm
- Raghu Office Hours: Mondays at 5pm-6pm

#### Piazza

Please sign up for CS 4110, not CS 5110!

### Monday is Labor Day!

- Homework #1 deadline ⇒ Tuesday, September 4th
- My office hours next week ⇒ Tuesday at 1:30-2:30pm
- Raghu's office hours next week ⇒ Tuesday at 5-6pm

# Arithmetic Expressions

Last time we defined a simple language of arithmetic expressions:

$$e ::= x \mid n \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 * e_2 \mid x := e_1 ; e_2$$

# Arithmetic Expressions

Last time we defined a simple language of arithmetic expressions:

$$e ::= x \mid n \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 * e_2 \mid x := e_1 ; e_2$$

#### Example

Assuming  $\sigma$  is a store that maps *foo* to 4...

$$\frac{\sigma(foo) = 4}{\frac{\langle \sigma, foo \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, 4 \rangle}{\langle \sigma, foo + 2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, 4 + 2 \rangle}} \text{Var} \frac{}{\langle \sigma, foo + 2 \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, 4 + 2 \rangle} \text{ LAdd}}{\langle \sigma, (foo + 2) * (bar + 1) \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma, (4 + 2) * (bar + 1) \rangle} \text{ LMu}$$

# Properties

Today we'll prove some useful program properties by induction.

# **Properties**

Today we'll prove some useful program properties by induction.

Determinism: every configuration has at most one successor

$$\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \forall e', e'' \in \mathbf{Exp}.$$
 if  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$  then  $e' = e''$  and  $\sigma' = \sigma''$ .

# Properties

Today we'll prove some useful program properties by induction.

Determinism: every configuration has at most one successor

$$\forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma', \sigma'' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \forall e', e'' \in \mathbf{Exp}.$$
 if  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle$  then  $e' = e''$  and  $\sigma' = \sigma''$ .

• Termination: evaluation of every expression terminates,

$$\forall e \in \text{Exp. } \forall \sigma \in \text{Store. } \exists \sigma' \in \text{Store. } \exists e' \in \text{Exp.}$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \text{ and } \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \not\longrightarrow,$ 

where 
$$\langle \sigma', e' \rangle \not\longrightarrow$$
 is shorthand for  $\neg (\exists \sigma'' \in \textbf{Store}. \exists e'' \in \textbf{Exp}. \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle).$ 

## Soundness

It is tempting to try to prove the following property.

• Soundness: evaluation of every expression yields an integer,

$$\forall e \in \text{Exp. } \forall \sigma \in \text{Store. } \exists \sigma' \in \text{store. } \exists n' \in \text{Int.}$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n' \rangle,$ 

But unfortunately it is not true!

E

## Soundness

It is tempting to try to prove the following property.

• Soundness: evaluation of every expression yields an integer,

$$\forall e \in \text{Exp. } \forall \sigma \in \text{Store. } \exists \sigma' \in \text{store. } \exists n' \in \text{Int.}$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n' \rangle,$ 

But unfortunately it is not true!

#### Counterexample

If  $\sigma$  is the undefined function, then  $\langle \sigma, x \rangle \not\longrightarrow$ .

## Soundness

It is tempting to try to prove the following property.

• Soundness: evaluation of every expression yields an integer,

$$\forall e \in \text{Exp. } \forall \sigma \in \text{Store. } \exists \sigma' \in \text{store. } \exists n' \in \text{Int.}$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \sigma', n' \rangle,$ 

But unfortunately it is not true!

#### Counterexample

If  $\sigma$  is the undefined function, then  $\langle \sigma, x \rangle \not\longrightarrow$ .

In generally, evaluation of an expression can "get stuck"...

E

Idea: restrict our attention to *well-formed* configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where  $\sigma$  is defined on (at least) the *free variables* in e.

Idea: restrict our attention to *well-formed* configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where  $\sigma$  is defined on (at least) the *free variables* in e.

#### Free Variables

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$

Idea: restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where  $\sigma$  is defined on (at least) the free variables in e.

#### Free Variables

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$
$$fvs(n) \triangleq \{\}$$

Idea: restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where  $\sigma$  is defined on (at least) the free variables in e.

#### Free Variables

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$

$$fvs(n) \triangleq \{\}$$

$$fvs(e_1 + e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

Idea: restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where  $\sigma$  is defined on (at least) the free variables in e.

#### Free Variables

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$

$$fvs(n) \triangleq \{\}$$

$$fvs(e_1 + e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

$$fvs(e_1 * e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

Idea: restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where  $\sigma$  is defined on (at least) the free variables in e.

#### Free Variables

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$

$$fvs(n) \triangleq \{\}$$

$$fvs(e_1 + e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

$$fvs(e_1 * e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

$$fvs(x := e_1 ; e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup (fvs(e_2) \setminus \{x\})$$

Idea: restrict our attention to well-formed configurations  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$ , where  $\sigma$  is defined on (at least) the free variables in e.

#### Free Variables

$$fvs(x) \triangleq \{x\}$$

$$fvs(n) \triangleq \{\}$$

$$fvs(e_1 + e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

$$fvs(e_1 * e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup fvs(e_2)$$

$$fvs(x := e_1 ; e_2) \triangleq fvs(e_1) \cup (fvs(e_2) \setminus \{x\})$$

#### Well-Formedness

A configuration  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$  is well-formed if and only if  $fvs(e) \subseteq dom(\sigma)$ .

# Progress and Preservation

Now we can formulate two properties that imply soundness:

Progress

```
 \forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \\ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed} \implies \\ e \in \mathbf{Int} \ \text{or} \ \big( \exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \big)
```

# Progress and Preservation

Now we can formulate two properties that imply soundness:

Progress

```
\begin{array}{l} \forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \\ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed} \implies \\ e \in \mathbf{Int} \ \text{or} \ \left( \exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \right) \end{array}
```

Preservation

$$\forall e, e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}.$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$  well-formed and  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \implies \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$  well-formed.

# Progress and Preservation

Now we can formulate two properties that imply soundness:

Progress

```
\forall e \in \text{Exp. } \forall \sigma \in \text{Store.}
\langle \sigma, e \rangle well-formed \Longrightarrow
e \in \text{Int or } (\exists e' \in \text{Exp. } \exists \sigma' \in \text{Store. } \langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle)
```

Preservation

$$\forall e, e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma, \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}.$$
  
 $\langle \sigma, e \rangle$  well-formed and  $\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \implies \langle \sigma', e' \rangle$  well-formed.

How are we going to prove these properties? Induction!

Inductive Sets

## Inductive Sets

An *inductively-defined set A* is one that can be described using a finite collection of inference rules:

$$\frac{a_1 \in A \qquad \dots \qquad a_n \in A}{a \in A}$$

This rules states that if  $a_1$  through  $a_n$  are elements of A, then a is also an element of A.

An inference rule with no premises is often called an axiom.

The set A is the smallest set "closed" under these axioms and rules.

The natural numbers are an inductive set.

$$\frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{0 \in \mathbb{N}} \qquad \frac{n \in \mathbb{N}}{succ(n) \in \mathbb{N}}$$

Every BNF grammar defines an inductive set.

$$e ::= x \mid n \mid e_1 + e_2 \mid e_1 * e_2 \mid x := e_1 ; e_2$$

can be equivalently defined as:

$$\frac{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}}{e_1 + e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}} \qquad \frac{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}}{e_1 + e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}} \qquad \frac{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}}{e_1 * e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}} \\
\frac{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}}{e_1 * e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}} \qquad \frac{e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}}{e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}}$$

The small-step evaluation relation  $\longrightarrow$  is an inductive set.

$$\frac{n = \sigma(x)}{\langle \sigma, x \rangle \to \langle \sigma, n \rangle} \text{ Var}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 + e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' + e_2 \rangle} \text{ LAdd} \qquad \frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n + e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', n + e_2' \rangle} \text{ RAdd}$$

$$\frac{p = m + n}{\langle \sigma, n + m \rangle \to \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \text{ Add} \qquad \frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e_1 * e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' * e_2 \rangle} \text{ LMul}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, n * e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', n * e_2' \rangle} \text{ RMul} \qquad \frac{p = m \times n}{\langle \sigma, m * n \rangle \to \langle \sigma, p \rangle} \text{ Mul}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e_1 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, x := e_1; e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_1' \rangle} \text{ Assgn}$$

$$\frac{\sigma' = \sigma[x \mapsto n]}{\langle \sigma, x := n; e_2 \rangle \to \langle \sigma', e_2 \rangle} \text{ Assgn}$$

The multi-step evaluation relation is an inductive set.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \sigma, e \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle} \text{ Step}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle} \xrightarrow{} \text{Trans}$$

$$\frac{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \sigma', e' \rangle}{\langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \sigma'', e'' \rangle} \text{ Trans}$$

The set of free variables of an expression is an inductive set.

$$\frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(y)} \qquad \frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(e_1 + e_2)} \qquad \frac{y \in fvs(e_2)}{y \in fvs(e_1 + e_2)}$$

$$\frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(e_1)} \qquad \frac{y \in fvs(e_2)}{y \in fvs(e_1 * e_2)} \qquad \frac{y \in fvs(e_1)}{y \in fvs(x := e_1 ; e_2)}$$

$$\frac{y \neq x \qquad y \in fvs(e_2)}{y \in fvs(x := e_1 ; e_2)}$$

# Induction Principle

Recall the principle of mathematical induction.

To prove  $\forall n. P(n)$ , we must establish several cases.

- Base case: *P*(0)
- Inductive case:  $P(m) \Rightarrow P(m+1)$

# Induction Principle

Every inductive set has an analogous principle.

To prove  $\forall a. P(a)$  we must establish several cases.

• Base cases: P(a) holds for each axiom

$$\overline{a \in A}$$

• Inductive cases: For each inference rule

$$\frac{a_1 \in A \quad \dots \quad a_n \in A}{a \in A}$$

if  $P(a_1)$  and ... and  $P(a_n)$  then P(a)

# Example: Progress

Recall the progress property.

$$\begin{array}{l} \forall e \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \forall \sigma \in \mathbf{Store}. \\ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \ \text{well-formed} \implies \\ e \in \mathbf{Int} \ \text{or} \ \left( \exists e' \in \mathbf{Exp}. \ \exists \sigma' \in \mathbf{Store}. \ \langle \sigma, e \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \sigma', e' \rangle \right) \end{array}$$

We'll prove this by structural induction on e.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\hline
 x \in \mathbf{Exp} & \hline
 n \in \mathbf{Exp} \\
\underline{e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp}} & e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp} \\
\hline
 e_1 + e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp} & e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp} \\
\hline
 e_1 * e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp} \\
\hline
 e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp} & e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp} \\
\hline
 e_1 \in \mathbf{Exp} & e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp} \\
\hline
 x := e_1 ; e_2 \in \mathbf{Exp}
\end{array}$$