

Outline

- Announcements:
 - Homework I on web, due Fri., 5PM by e-mail
 - Small error on homework
 - Wed and Fri in ACCEL, **Attendance required**
 - LAST DAY TO ADD/DROP!
- A Closer look at compilation
- What do I mean by "formal methods?"
- Specification
- Verification
- Practical formal methods

A Closer Look at Compilation

- Building an executable requires 2 steps
 - Compile--turn source code into low-level instructions (object files)
 - cc -w or "compile"
 - Link--merge object files to form executable
 - cc or "link"

A Closer Look at Compilation

- Why would you ever use -w?
 - Compilation is slow, linking is fast
 - Suppose program is split into several files: main.c, io.c, matrix.c
 - Suppose we know the code in io.c and matrix.c work, but we're unsure of main.c
 - cc -w *.c --creates main.o, io.o, matrix.o
 - If we make a change in main.c, we can just compile it:
 - cc-w main.c; cc *.o -omyapp

A Closer Look at Compilation

- What about simple programs?
 - `cc -c firsttry.c` produces `firsttry.o`
 - What's missing?
 - We called "printf" to write to the screen
 - The low-level instructions for printf are in a library somewhere on your system.
 - The linker gets those instructions and merges them with `firsttry.o`

Formal Methods

- As scientist we should be accustomed to precision
 - You must be able to describe the *exact* procedures used in your experiment/analysis
 - This is essential for reproducibility
- Reproducibility is equally important for computational work
 - Formal methods are a collection of techniques to describe *precisely* what a program should do

Importance of Formalism in Scientific Software Development

- A Scary Story:
 - You write a program to implement algorithm X (you think)
 - But, you actually implemented algorithm Y
 - It is possible that two similar algorithms can produce very different results (think chaos)
 - You publish a paper describing your results (from running algorithm Y), but in your methods you describe algorithm X
 - The results are spectacular. You get your Ph.D. and a tenure track job.
 - However, just as you're being reviewed for tenure, a grad student in Afghanistan tries to repeat your experiments. Based on your paper, she correctly implements algorithm X and gets very different results.
 - Your tenure is denied, no one will hire you, you walk around campus with a "Will code for food" sign while the Afghani student takes your position.

Formal Methods

- Formal methods can be divided into two steps:
 - Specification: a precise statement of what a program (or subroutine) should do
 - Verification: a demonstration that the actual program satisfies the specification

Specification Methods

- Math/Logic is the preferred method
 - rigorous
 - Precise
- But, English has its place
 - Some would say, English is not formal
 - My view: a good English spec. is better than nothing at all
 - You may actually write a spec in English
 - Can include in comments

Keys to Specification

- Describe the properties of the inputs and outputs
 - Data structures
 - Precision/type
 - Assumptions: sorted? symmetric? #0?
- Describe what will happen if assumptions violated
 - return error
 - return null value, NaN, -999
 - throw exception

Formal Specification

- $I \wedge P \Rightarrow O$
 - I =formal statement about input
 - O =formal statement about output
 - P =program
 - Says that if input conditions are given to program P , then the output conditions will be true
- This just says what a program should do, but says nothing about how it will get done
 - No details of P

Specification

- A specification can take many forms:
 - English: "Given a sorted array of integers, the routine will return the location of k in the array, where k is provided by the user"
 - Logic:
 $(v \in \mathbb{Z}^n \wedge \forall j : v(j) \leq v(j+1)) \wedge (\text{binarysearch}(v,k)) \Rightarrow$
 $(k \in v \wedge v(j) = k) \vee (k \notin v \wedge j = -1)$

Specification

- English: Given real-valued parameters a , b , and c , the routine returns the roots of the quadratic equation
- Math:
 $(a, b, c \in \mathbb{C} \wedge a \neq 0) \wedge (\text{roots}(a, b, c, x_0, x_1)) \Rightarrow$
$$x_0 = \frac{b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a},$$
$$x_1 = \frac{b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

Verification

- Demonstrate (prove) that your program satisfies specification
- Keep the specification in mind as you develop your code
 - Lines or sections of code will establish different parts of the specification
 - Sometimes, it is easier to refine I (strengthen assumptions) than to satisfy it

Formal Verification

- There are rigorous approaches to verification
 - Special types of logic that describe what code does
- Theoreticians have built "theorem provers" that can be used to automate this process
 - Theorem: Specification
 - Proof: Logical equivalent of specification should show that specification is true

Formal Verification

- This is a really cool idea
 - See NuPRL web sit
 - <http://www.cs.cornell.edu/Info/Projects/NuPrl/Intro/intro.html>
- Not practical for most scientific programs
- Essential for software controlling costly or important actions
 - Airplanes
 - Space probes
 - Stock trades

Free Verification

- Typing is very simple form of verification
- In a strongly-typed language (Java)
 - $X=Y$ is allowed only if X and Y are the same type
 - This is very helpful, but doesn't come close to guaranteeing that your program is correct:

```
void BadFunction(int[] big){
    int[] small=new int[5];
    for(int j=0;j<big.length;j++){
        small[j]=2*big[j];
    }
}
```

Practical Formal Methods

- Ideally we would all conduct rigorous specification/verification of our programs
- But who are we kidding?
- In the real world,
 - 1) Write a specification as formally as you can, and put an English approximation in the comments
 - 2) As you write your code, prove to yourself that you are actually solving the problem
 - You should include comments like "this line only works if X is true" and "this line makes sure X is true"

A Useful Technique

- A standard technique in formal methods is to search for **invariant properties**
 - An invariant is a property that doesn't change
 - If a line of code violates the invariant, next one should reestablish it

Specifying the Model Problem

- English: Given initial distribution of C defined on an evenly spaced grid of m points starting at 0 and ending at L (etc. for u , k and reaction), a time step dt , and an ending time T , RAD1d finds an approximate solution fo PDE at time T

Specifying RAD1d

- We know that C provided by user is an approximate solution at time 0. This suggests an invariant:
 - C is a solution for PDE a current time t
- We can use the invariant to help develop the code

```
Get C at time 0
t=0---establishes invariant at start of loop
while (t<T){
  Build A, b
  Solve AC=b--invariant violated, C is sol'n at t+dt
  t=t+dt --invariant reestablished
}
```
