the gamedesigninitiative at cornell university

Lecture 10

2110-Level Apps are Event Driven



at cornell university

Limitations of the Event Model

- Program only reacts to user input
 - Nothing changes if user does nothing
 - Desired behavior for productivity apps
- Games continue without input
 - Character animation
 - Clock timers
 - Enemy AI
 - Physics Simulations





















Few Words on Drawing

- Drawing needs to be **fast**!
 - Do as little computation as possible
 - But draw as few objects as possible
- Is this a contradiction?
 - Need to compute what to draw
 - So drawing *less* has extra overhead
- Rule: do not modify game state in draw
 - Any extra computation is local-only







Player Input

- Traditional input is event-driven
 - Events capture state of controller
 - OS/VM generates events for you
 - Listeners react to events
- Game loop uses **polling** for input
 - Ask for controller state at start of loop
 - **Example**: What is joystick position?
 - If no change, do no actions that loop



Problem with Polling

- Only one event per update loop
 - Multiple events are lost
 - **Example**: Fast typing
- Captures state at beginning
 - Short events are lost
 - **Example**: Fast clicks



- Event-driven does not have these problems
 - Captures all events as they happen
 - But capture still has a frame-rate **resolution**

Combining Input Approaches

- LibGDX input is extremely flexible
 - Every input type supports events OR polling
- Polling: Input interface
 - Access it through the static class GDX.Input
 - Allows you to read the input state right now
- Events: InputProcessor interface
 - Register it with the appropriate input device
 - Works exactly like Swing listeners

Problem: Timing

public class MyProcessor implements
InputProcessor {





Problem: Timing

























Buffering Input

- If overwriting an issue, need an event queue
 - Input processor writes at end of the queue
 - Game loop reads from the front of queue



- Generally requires multiple threads
 - Event handler is (usually) OS/VM provided thread
 - Game loop itself is an additional thread

Event Handlers: Really Necessary?

- Most of the time: **No**
 - Frame rate is short: 16.7 ms
 - Most events are > 16.7 ms
 - Event loss not catastrophic
- Buffering is sometimes undesirable
 - Remembers every action ever done
 - But may take a longer time to process
 - If takes too long, just want to abort





Picking the Right Input

Polling

- When game loop is explicit
 - Actively animating screen
 - Must time input correctly
- **Example**: playing the game



Event Driven

- When game loop is implicit
 - Art assets are largely static
 - Nothing to do if no input
- **Example**: a menu screen







Player Actions

- Actions alter the game state
 - Can alter player state: movement
 - Can alter opponent state: damage
- Player actions correspond to user input
 - Choice is determined by input controller
 - Else action is performed by computer
- These are your game **verbs**!



Abstract Actions from Input

- Actions: functions that modify game state
 - move(dx,dy) modifies x, y by dx, dy
 - attack(o) attacks opponent o
- Input controller **maps** input to actions
 - Read input state from controller
 - Pick an action and call that function
- Input handler should never alter state directly!



Abstract Actions from Input

- Actions: functions that modify game state
 - move(dx,dy) modifies x, y by dx, dy
 - attack(0) attacks opponent 0



- Input controller maps input to actions
 - Read input state from controller
 - Converts to an action, returning the result
- Input handler should never alter state directly!
 - Input handler only identifies the action







NPC: Non-Player Character

- NPC is an intelligent computer-controlled entity
 - Unlike a physics object, it can act, not just interact
 - Sometimes called an *agent*
- NPCs have their own actions/verbs
 - But no input controller to choose
- Work on sense-think-act cycle
 - Sense: perceive the world around it
 - Think: choose an action to perform
 - Act: update the game state





Act versus Sense-Think

- Act should be *very* fast!
 - Function to update state
 - **Example**: apply velocity
 - Exactly like the player
- Sense-think unique to NPC
 - The *hard* computation
 - Focus of AI lectures
- Multiplayer: Replace sensethink with human decision





Problem with Sensing

- Sensing may be slow!
 - Consider *all* objects
- Example: morale
 - *n* knights, *n* skeletons
 - Knights fear skeletons
 - Proportional to # seen
- Count skeletons in view
 - O(*n*) to count skeletons
 - $O(n^2)$ for all units



Processing NPCs

- Naïve solution: sequentially
- **Problem**: NPCs react too fast!
 - Each reads the actions of previous
 - Even before drawn on screen!





Processing NPCs

- Naïve solution: sequentially
- **Problem**: NPCs react too fast!
 - Each reads the actions of previous
 - Even before drawn on screen!
- Idea: only react to what can see
 - *Choose* actions, but don't perform
 - Once all chosen, then perform
 - Another reason to abstract actions



Processing Actions in Lab 3



- Decides whether to shoot
- Stores intent in the object
- But DOES NOT shoot

- Waits until objects commit
- Checks intent in Ship object
- Performs action for intent



Problem: Pathfinding

- Focus of Game Lab 2
 - Crucial if top view
 - Major area of research
- Potentially very slow
 - *n* NPCs, *g* grid squares
 - Dijkstra: $O(g^2)$
 - For each NPC: $O(ng^2)$

• Moving obstacles?

7	6	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		19	20	21	22
6	5	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		18	19	20	21
5	4	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		17	18	19	20
4	3	2	3	4	5	6	7	8		16	17	18	19
3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7		15	16	17	18
2	1	0	1	2	3	4	5	6		14	15	16	17
2 3	1 2	0 1	1 2	2 3	3 4	4 5	5 6	6 7		14 13	15 14	16 15	17 16
2 3 4	1 2 3	0 1 2	1 2 3	2 3 4	3 4 5	4 5 6	5 6 7	6 7 8		14 13 12	15 <mark>14</mark> 13	16 15 14	17 16 15
2 3 4 5	1 2 3 4	0 1 2 3	1 2 3 4	2 3 4 5	3 4 5 6	4 5 6 7	5 6 7 8	6 7 8 9	10	14 13 <mark>12</mark> 11	15 14 13 12	16 15 14 13	17 16 15 14



Problem: Pathfinding

Focus of Game Lab 2 • Crucial if top view 10 11 19 20 21 Major area of research 9 10 Often more than 16.7ms • Potentially vom 13 14 |15 10 11 12 13 • For each NPC: $O(ng^2)$ 11 12

• Moving obstacles?

Asynchronous Pathfinding



Looks like input buffering!



Asynchronous Pathfinding

- NPCs do not get answer right away
 - Check every loop until answered
 - Remember request; do not ask again
- What to do until then?
 - Act, but don't think!
 - If nothing, **fake** something
 - "Stomping Feet" in RTSs









Purpose of a Physics Engine

- Moving objects about the screen
 - **Kinematics**: Without regard to external forces
 - **Dynamics**: The effect of forces on the screen
- Collisions between objects
 - **Collision detection**: Did a collision occur?
 - **Collision resolution**: What do we do?
- More on this issue later (~Spring Break)



Physics Engines: Two Levels

- White Box: Engine corrects movement errors
 - Update object state ignoring physics
 - Physics engine nudges object until okay
- Black Box: Engine handles everything
 - Do not move objects or update state



- Give forces, mass, velocities, etc. to engine
- Engine updates to state that is *close enough*





- How do we organize this loop?
 - Do not want spaghetti code
 - Distribute over programmers



Architecture: Organizing Your Code





Architecture: Organizing Your Code





Where Did This Come From?



the gamedesigninitiative at cornell university