Lecture 22

Strategic AI
Role of AI in Games

- **Autonomous Characters** (NPCs)
  - Mimics the “personality” of the character
  - May be opponent or support character

- **Strategic Opponents**
  - AI at the “player level”
  - Closest to classical AI

- **Character Dialog**
  - Intelligent commentary
  - Narrative management (e.g. Façade)
Rule-Based AI

If $X$ is true, Then do $Y$

Three-Step Process

- **Match**
  - For each rule, check **if**
  - Return all matches

- **Resolve**
  - Can only use one rule
  - Use metarule to pick one

- **Act**
  - Do *then*-part
Example: Tic-Tac-Toe

- Next move for player O?
  - If have a winning move, make it
  - If opponent can win, block it
  - Take the center if available
  - Corners are better than edges

- Very easy to program
  - Just check the board state
  - Tricky part is prioritization
Example: Microsoft’s Age of Kings

; The AI will attack once at 1100 seconds and then again every 1400 sec, provided it has enough defense soldiers.

(defrule
  (game-time > 1100)
  =>
  (attack-now)
  (enable-timer 7 1100))
)
(defrule
  (timer-triggered 7) (defend-soldier-count >= 12)
  =>
  (attack-now)
  (disable-timer 7)
  (enable-timer 7 1400)
)
The Problems with Rules

- Rules only do one step
  - May not be best move
  - Could lose long term
- Next move for player O?
  - If can win, then do it
  - If X can win, then block it
  - Take the center if possible
  - Corners > edges
- Need to **look ahead**
The Problems with Rules

- Rules only do one step
  - May not be best move
  - Could lose long term
- Next move for player O?
  - If can win, then do it
  - If X can win, then block it
  - Take the center if possible
  - Corners > edges
- Need to **look ahead**
Multiple Steps: Planning

- **Plan**: actions necessary to reach a goal
  - Goal is a (pseudo) specific game state
  - Actions change game state (e.g. verbs)

- **Planning**: steps to generate a plan
  - **Initial State**: state the game is currently in
  - **Goal Test**: determines if state meets goal
  - **Operators**: action the NPC can perform
What Should We Do?
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Pickup?   Shoot?   Pickup?

Slide courtesy of John Laird
Simplification: No Opponent

- Identify desired goal
  - **Ex:** Kill enemy, get gold
  - Design appropriate test

- List all relevant actions
  - **Ex:** Build, send troops

- **Look-ahead Search**
  - Start with initial state
  - Try all actions (look-ahead)
  - Stop if reached goal
  - Continue if not at goal
Planning Issues

- **Exponential** choices
  - Search action *sequences*
  - How far are we searching?
  - Cannot do this in real life!

- Game state is **complex**
  - Do we look at entire state?
  - Faster to “do” than to plan

- Must **limit** search
  - Reduce actions examined
  - Simplify game state
Internal State Representation

Simplified World Model

- Includes primary resources
  - **Example**: ammo, health
- Rough notion of position
  - **Example**: in/outside room
  - Both characters and items
- Game mechanic details
  - **Example**: respawn rate
  - Allows tactical decisions

Uses of Internal State

- Notice changes
  - Health is dropping
  - Enemy must be nearby
- Remember recent events
  - Enemy has left the room
  - Chase after fleeing enemy
- Remember older events
  - Picked up health 30 sec ago
### Simplified World Model
- Includes primary resources
  - **Example**: ammo, health
- Rough notion of position
  - **Example**: in/outside room
- Both characters and items
- Game mechanic details
  - **Example**: respawn rate
- Allows tactical decisions

### Uses of Internal State
- Notice changes
- Health is dropping
- Enemy has left the room
- Remember recent events
  - Enemy has left the room
  - Chase after fleeing enemy
- Remember older events
  - Picked up health 30 sec ago

**Similar to Non-Digital Prototype**
Internal State and Memory

- Each NPC has own state
  - Represents NPC memory
  - Might not be consistent
- Useful for character AI
  - Models sensory data
  - Models communication
- Isolates planning
  - Each NPC plans separately
  - Coordinate planning with a strategic manager
Strategy versus Tactics
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Internal State for Quake II

- **Self**
  - Current-health
  - Last-health
  - Current-weapon
    - Ammo-left
  - Current-room
    - Last-room
  - Current-armor
    - Last-armor
  - Available-weapons
- **Enemy**
  - Current-weapon
  - Current-room
  - Last-seen-time
  - Estimated-health
- **Current-time**
- **Random-number**
- **Powerup**
  - Type
  - Room
  - Available
  - Estimated-spawn-time
- **Map**
  - Rooms
  - Halls
  - Paths
- **Parameters**
  - Full-health
  - Health-powerup-amount
  - Ammo-powerup-amount
  - Respawn-rate
Simplified Action Model

- Internal Actions = *operators*
  - Just mathematical functions
  - Operators alter internal state

- Pre-conditions
  - What is required for action
  - Often resource requirement

- Effects
  - How action changes state
  - Both global and for NPC

Designing Actions

- Extrapolate from gameplay
  - Start with an internal state
  - Pick “canonical” game state
  - Apply game action to state
  - Back to internal state

- Remove any uncertainty
  - Deterministic NPC behavior
  - “Average” random results
  - Or pick worse case scenario
Internal Action Representation

Simplified Action Model

- Internal Actions = *operators*
  - Just mathematical functions
  - Operators alter internal state

- Pre-conditions
  - What is required for action
  - Often resource requirement

- Effects
  - How action changes state
  - Both global and for NPC

Designing Actions

- Extrapolate from gameplay
- Start with an internal state
- Pick “canonical” game state to start state
- Back to internal state
- Remove any uncertainty
  - Deterministic NPC behavior
  - “Average” random results
  - Or pick worse case scenario

Like Gameplay Specification, but actions, interactions combined
Example: Pick-Up Health Op

**Preconditions:**
- `Self.current-room = Powerup.current-room`
- `Self.current-health < full-health`
- `Powerup.type = health`
- `Powerup.available = yes`

**Effects:**
- `Self.last-health = self.current-health`
- `Self.current-health = current-health + health-powerup-amount`
- `Powerup.available = no`
- `Powerup.estimated-spawn-time = current-time + respawn-rate`
Building Internal Models

- Planning is only as accurate as model
  - Bad models \( \Rightarrow \) bad plans
  - But complex models \( \Rightarrow \) slow planning

- Look at your nondigital prototype!
  - Heavily simplified for playability
  - Resources determine internal state
  - Nondigital verbs are internal actions

- One of many reasons for this exercise
What Should We Do?
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- Self.current-health = 20
- Self.current-weapon = blaster

- Enemy.estimated-health = 50

- Powerup.type = health-pak
- Powerup.available = yes

- Powerup.type = Railgun
- Powerup.available = yes
One Step: Pick-up Railgun
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Self.current-health = 10
Self.current-weapon = railgun

Enemy.estimated-health = 50

Powerup.type = health-pak
Powerup.available = yes
Powerup.type = Railgun
Powerup.available = no
One Step: Shoot Enemy
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**Self.current-health = 10**
Self.current-weapon = blaster

**Enemy.estimated-health = 40**

Powerup.type = health-pak
Powerup.available = yes
Powerup.type = Railgun
Powerup.available = yes
One Step: Pick-up Health-Pak
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**Self.current-health** = 90  
Self.current-weapon = blaster

**Enemy.estimated-health** = 50

**Powerup.type** = health-pak
**Powerup.available** = no

**Powerup.type** = Railgun
**Powerup.available** = yes
State Evaluation Function

- Need to **compare** states
  - Is either state better?
  - How far away is goal?
- Might be **partial order**
  - Some states incomparable
  - If not goal, just continue
- Purpose of planning
  - Find good states
  - Avoid bad states
State Evaluation: Quake II

- **Example 1**: Prefer higher self.current-health
  - Always pick up health powerup
  - **Counter example**:
    - Self.current-health = 99%
    - Enemy.current-health = 1%

- **Example 2**: Prefer lower enemy.current-health
  - Always shoot enemy
  - **Counter example**:
    - Self.current-health = 1%
    - Enemy.current-health = 99%
State Evaluation: Quake II

- **Example 3**: Prefer higher self.health – enemy.health
  - Shoot enemy if I have health to spare
  - Otherwise pick up a health pack
  - Counter examples?

- Examples of more complex evaluations
  - If self.health > 50% prefer lower enemy.health
    - Otherwise, want higher self.health
  - If self.health > low-health prefer lower enemy.health
    - Otherwise, want higher self.health
Two Step Look-Ahead
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Self.current-health = 80  Enemy.estimated-health = 40
Self.current-weapon = blaster
Powerup.type = health-pak
Powerup.available = no
Powerup.type = Railgun
Powerup.available = yes
Three Step Look-Ahead
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```
Self.current-health = 100
Enemy.estimated-health = 0
Self.current-weapon = railgun
Powerup.type = health-pak
Powerup.available = no
Powerup.type = Railgun
Powerup.available = no
```

Shoot → Pickup → Shoot

Pickup
Look-Ahead Search

### One-Step Lookahead

```plaintext
op pickBest(state) {
    foreach op satisfying precond {
        newstate = op(state)
        evaluate newstate
    }
    return op with best evaluation
}
```

### Multistep Tree Search

```plaintext
[op] bestPath(&state,depth) {
    if depth == 0 { return [] }
    foreach op satisfying precond {
        newstate = op(state)
        [nop]=bestPath(newstate,depth-1)
        evaluate newstate
    }
    pick op+[nop] with best state
    modify state to reflect op+[nop]
    return op+[nop]
}
```
Look-Ahead Search

- Are more steps better?
  - Longer, more elaborate plans
  - More time & space consuming
  - Opponent or environment can mess up plan
  - Simplicity of internal model causes problems

- In this class, limit three or four steps
  - Anything more, and AI is too complicated
  - Purpose is to be challenging, not to win
Recall: LibGDX Behavior Trees

- **Selector** rules
  - Tests each subtask for success
  - Tasks are tried independently
  - Chooses first one to succeed

- **Sequence** rules
  - Tests each subtask for success
  - Tasks are tried in order
  - Does all if succeeds; else none

- **Parallel** rules
  - Tests each subtask for success
  - Tasks are tried simultaneously
  - Does all if succeeds; else none
Recall: LibGDX Behavior Trees

- **Parallel** rules
  - Tests each subtask for success
  - Tasks are tried simultaneously
  - Does all if succeeds; else none

- **Sequence** rules
  - Tests each subtask for success
  - Tasks are tried in order
  - Does all if succeeds; else none

Lookahead search, but only checks if plan is acceptable
Opponent: New Problems
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- Pickup? -

Pickup?

Enemy.estimated-health = 50
Self.current-health = 20
Self.current-weapon = blaster

Shoot?

- Pickup? →

Pickup?

Powerup.type = health-pak
Powerup.available = yes
Powerup.type = Railgun
Powerup.available = yes
Opponent Model

- **Solution 1**: Assume the worst
  - Opponent does what would be worst for you
  - Full game tree search; exponential

- **Solution 2**: What would I do?
  - Opponent does what you would in same situation

- **Solution 3**: Internal opponent model
  - Remember what did last time
  - Or remember what they like to do
Opponent Interference

- Opponent actions may prevent yours
  - **Example**: Opponent grabs railgun first
  - Need to take into account in your plan

- **Solution**: Iteration
  - Plan once with no interference
  - Run again, assuming best plans of the opponent
  - Keep iterating until happy (or run out of time)

- Planning is very *expensive*!
Asynchronous AI

Game Thread

- Update
- Draw

Second Thread

- AI Manager

- Check for request
- Compute answer
- Store in buffer

Request Plan

Check

Buffer

Answer
Alternative: Iterative AI
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Looks like asset management
Using Asynchronous AI

- **Give AI a time budget**
  - If planning takes too long, abort it
  - Use counter in update loop to track time

- **Beware of stale plans**
  - Actual game state has probably changed
  - When find a plan, make sure it is still good
  - Evaluate (quickly) with new internal state
  - Make sure result is “close” to what thought
Planning: Optimization

- **Backwards Planning**
  - **Idea**: few operators achieve goal conditions
  - **Implementation**:
    - For each operator, reverse the effect
    - Check reversed effect satisfies pre-conditions

- Possible to use backwards and forwards
  - Start on each end, and check for meets
  - Does not work well with numerical resources
To Plan or Not to Plan

- **Advantages**
  - Less predictable behavior
  - Can handle unexpected situations
  - More accurate than rule-based AI

- **Disadvantages**
  - Less predictable behavior (harder to debug)
  - Planning takes a lot of processor time
  - Planning takes memory
  - Need simple but accurate internal representations
Other Possibilities

- There are many more options available
  - Neural nets
  - Decision trees
  - General machine learning
  - Take CS 4700 if want to learn more

- Quality is a matter of heated debate
  - Better to spend time on internal state design
  - Most AI is focused on perception modeling
Summary

- Rule-based AI is simplest form of strategic AI
  - Only limited to one-step at a time
  - Can easily make decisions that lose in long term

- More complicated behavior requires planning
  - Simplify the game to turn-based format
  - Use classic AI search techniques

- Planning has advantages and disadvantages
  - Remember, the desire is to challenge, not to win