Lecture 9

Gameplay Modeling
Next Week: Nondigital Prototype

- No software involved at all
  - Board game
  - Card game
  - Something different?

- Goal is to *model gameplay*
  - How? Nondigital/digital is very different
  - Model will be far removed from final result
  - What can we hope to learn from this?
Understanding Game Progression

- Level design about *progress*
  - Sense of closeness to goal
  - Choice of “paths” to goal (*dilemma challenge*)
  - Path choice can relate to play style and/or difficult
- Easier to design if *discrete*
  - Flow-chart out progression
  - Edges are mechanic(s)
- But game state values are *continuous* (sort of)
Discrete Progression

- Design is **discretization**
  - Impose flow chart on state
  - Each box is an **equivalence class** of game states

- **Spatial Discretization**
  - Contiguous zones
  - **Example**: past a doorway

- **Resource Discretization**
  - Range of resource values
  - **Example**: build threshold
Discretizing Spacial Locality
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Nature of Discretization

- State must be **unambiguous**
  - Must be an accurate, precise way to determine state
  - **Example**: string to measure distance in a wargame

- Actions must be **significant**
  - May correspond to several animation frames
  - **Example**: movement and attack in single turn

- Mechanics must have **compact interactions**
  - Avoid mechanics that depend on iterated interactions
  - **Example**: physics is *iterative* and hard to discretize
Discretization and Turns

- Discretization requires *turns*
  - Represent a unit of action
  - When done, game “at rest”

- Turns can be *multistep*
  - Multiple actions in a turn
  - Environmental interactions

- Turns can *alternate*
  - between other players
  - with a gamemaster
  - not at all (one player?)
A Single Turn in *Squad Leader*

1. **Rally Phase**
   - Damaged units heal/repair

2. **Prep Fire Phase**
   - Choose units to attack/fire
   - Cannot act in later phases

3. **Movement Phase**
   - Move units about the board

4. **Defensive Fire Phase**
   - Opponent (not you) acts
   - Fires on units that moved

5. **Advancing Fire Phase**
   - Moved units may now fire
   - Combat strength is reduced

6. **Rout Phase**
   - Damage units go for cover

7. **Advance Phase**
   - Move every unit one hex

8. **Close Combat phase**
   - Find enemies on your hexes
   - Units engage in combat
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**Gameplay Modeling**

A Single Turn in *Squad Leader* simulates (real-time) player reaction time.
Discretization and Reaction Time

- Allow opponent to **interrupt**
  - Action that reacts to yours
  - Played after you act, but before action takes an effect
  - Core mechanic in *Magic: TG*

- Make play **asynchronous**
  - Players still have turns
  - But take turns as fast as can
  - Conflicts resolved via speed
  - Often need a referee for aid
Reaction Time: *Runaway Rails*

- "Free runner" with coaster
  - Coaster can go faster/slower
  - Speed tests reaction time
- Model with hidden info
  - Cannot "process" all at once
  - Faster go, less screen to see

Gameplay Modeling
Reaction Time: *Runaway Rails*

Speed changes # of columns at each turn
What Can We Do Discretely?

- **Evaluate emergent behavior**
  - Allow player to commit simultaneous actions
  - Model interactions as “board elements”

- **Model player cost-benefit analyses**
  - Model all resources with sources and sinks
  - Focus on economic dilemma challenges

- **Test player difficulty/usability**
  - Ideal for puzzle games (or puzzle elements)
  - Can also evaluate unusual interfaces
Evaluating Emergent Behavior

- **Recall**: coupled, context-dependent interactions
  - Requires an action and interaction
  - Or (alternatively) multiple actions

- Model interactions as “board elements”
  - Rules to follow after your action
  - May follow several in succession
  - **Examples**: *Chutes & Ladders*, *Bonkers*, *RoboRally*
Interactions: *RoboRally*

- Player “programs” robot
  - Picks 5 movement cards
  - Committed to that choice
- After each card
  - Obey board elements in order
  - Check robot collisions
- Move = board elements + cards + collisions
Multiple Actions

- Necessary if have no interactions
  - Allow multiple actions in a turn
  - Typically needs complex turns

- Standard method: *action points*
  - Player has so many AP per turn
  - Actions cost AP to perform
  - Turn done when AP are all spent

- Might want other restrictions
  - Groups actions into types
  - Require types in certain order
  - **Example:** no attack after move
Cost-Benefit Analysis

- Where nondigital prototypes really shine
  - Resources are very easy to discretize
  - Economic choices easily map to turns
  - Understanding dilemma challenges is important

- Some believe this is *all* of game design
  - Claim everything can be reduced to a resource
  - Common in board game adaptations of other media
  - **Example**: balance game with instability resource
Cost-Benefit Analysis: Bounce

Jetpack expends oxygen (=health)
Tracking Oxygen as a Resource
Usability Analysis

• **Unusual user-interfaces**
  • Recall that actions correspond to inputs
  • Some inputs are not simple buttons
  • Example: touch gestures, motion controls

• **Puzzle-style games**
  • Create a game with module elements (e.g. cards)
  • Laying out levels creates a new game level
  • Allows you to quickly change and test levels
Usability Analysis: Angry Bunny

Early Design:
Bunny movement controlled by battery “attraction”
Modeling Movement Controls

- Strings attached at board corners
- Control piece by pulling strings
Usability Analysis: Reflexio

Touch zippers to open mouth

Can reflect world across various axes
Creating Puzzle Levels
**Experiential Prototypes**

- Some prototypes do not test gameplay
  - They test an experience or feeling
  - You determine if the feeling is enjoyable
  - Then go back and design gameplay for that

- *Discouraged* in this course
  - A very advanced design technique
  - Can easily end up with worthless prototype
  - Have only seen a few successes at this
Experiential Prototype: Aeronautical
The Experience of Threat
Most Important Thing: Progression

• Do not want a one-level game
  • Major problem with “flick” games
  • Endless runners also have this problem

• We want some evidence of a progression
  • What is an easy level?
  • What is a medium level?
  • What is a hard level?

• Your prototype should be reconfigurable
Easy
Medium
Hard
The Difficulty Curve

Easy

Medium

Hard
Easy: Iridescence
Medium: Iridescence
Hard: Iridescence
Reconfigurable Prototypes
Summary

- Nondigital prototypes are about **discretization**
  - Group continuous state into course groups
  - Simplify mechanics into discrete turns
  - Sometimes requires mechanics substitution

- They are ideal for **early gameplay testing**
  - Evaluate emergent behavior
  - Model player cost-benefit analyses
  - Test player difficulty or usability
  - Capture player experiences (advanced)