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1. True/False [14 pts]
   (parts a–g; 2 points off for each wrong answer, 1 point off for each blank answer)
   
   a. ___ The SML case expression can bind new variables.
   b. ___ Polymorphism allows a function to work on values of many different types.
   c. ___ Anonymous functions in SML programs cannot be recursive.
   d. ___ Glass-box test cases can be written using just the specification of the code that is being tested.
   e. ___ If $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$, then $g(n)$ is also necessarily $O(f(n))$.
   f. ___ If function specification $A$ has a weaker precondition than function $B$, then $A$ may refine $B$.
   g. ___ If an ADT implementation has no representation invariant, to be correct it must be able to handle any possible value of the declared representation type.
2. Substitution model [20 pts]

For each of the following examples, give a correct type to fill in the box with. Then use the substitution model of evaluation to show the evaluation of the code. Show every step of evaluation. You may leave out type annotations when you show evaluation. You may use abbreviations as long as it is completely clear what is meant.

(a) [6 pts]

```
let val x = (4, fn x:int => x+1)
    val (y:int, z:_) = x
  in
    z(y) + y
  end
```

Type of `z`:
Evaluation:
```
let val x = (4, fn x => x+1)
    val (y, z) = x
  in
    z(y) + y
  end
  →
  let val (y, z) = (4, fn x => x+1)
    in
      z(y) + y
  end
  →
  (fn x => x+1)(4) + 4 → (4+1) + 4 → 5 + 4
```
(b) [6 pts]

let val r: □ = {name = "Bo", age = 24}
    fun name(s: string): char list =
        String.explode("x" ^ s)
    in
        name (#name r)
    end

(Hint: recall that String.explode returns a list containing all the characters in a string)

---

type of r:
(c) [8 pts]

```ml
let fun f(n: _) : int = case n of
  0 => 0
  | _ => n + f(n-1)
in
  f(1)
end
```

(Hint: define an abbreviation \( F \) that you can use to make writing out the evaluation much shorter)

---

type of \( n \):
3. Zardoz [24 pts] (parts a–c)
For each box, provide a value that will cause the entire expression to evaluate to the correct answer: 42. (A list of values \([v_1, \ldots, v_n]\) is considered to be a value.)

(a) [8 pts]

```
let val lst: string list = []
in case List.map (fn(x) =>
    case Int.fromString(x) of
        SOME n => n
    | _ => 0)
  lst
of
  x::y::z::[] => x*x + y*y + z*z
  | _ => 41
e
```

Your answer: 

(b) [8 pts]

```
let val f = []
  val r: Random.rand = Random.rand(1,1)
  val n = Random.randInt(r) mod 10
in
  f(n) + n
end
```

Your answer: 

(Hint: Given a seed value of type Random.rand, Random.randInt returns a pseudo-random (i.e., unpredictable) integer.)

(c) [8 pts]

```
let val zaphod = []
  val {a,b,c} = zaphod
in
  (a*10 + (List.length b)) div (case c of SOME x => 0 | _ => 2)
end
```

Your answer: 

4. Data abstraction [42 pts] (parts a–g)

Consider the following signature describing a priority queue ADT:

```plaintext
signature PQUEUE = sig
  (* An 'a pqueue is a priority queue, in which elements are ordered,
   * and elements are removed in order. Elements are of some type 'a.
   * The queue has an ordering function built in. Elements "equal" according
   * to this ordering have the same priority. *)
  type 'a pqueue
  (* create(l, ord) creates a priority queue containing all the
   * elements of l, ordered by ord.
   * Requires: ord is an ordering on 'a. *)
  val create: 'a list * ('a*'a->order) -> 'a pqueue
  (* first(q) is the lowest element in the queue according to its element
   * ordering. *)
  val first: 'a pqueue -> 'a
  (* push(q, x) is the priority queue containing all the elements of q,
   * and also x. *)
  val push: 'a pqueue * 'a -> 'a pqueue
  (* pop(q) is a pair (fst, q') where fst is the lowest element in q and
   * q' contains all the elements of q except fst. *)
  val pop: 'a pqueue -> 'a * 'a pqueue
end
```

Recall that the type order is a datatype defined as follows:

```plaintext
datatype order = LESS | EQUAL | GREATER
```

(a) [5 pts] Suggest an additional ADT operation that seems likely to be important to clients of this abstraction. Briefly justify adding this operation, and write the declaration and specification.

(b) [8 pts] The specifications of first and pop have some problems. Write better specifications.
Here is a possible implementation of the PQUEUE abstraction. Notice that the rep invariant does not require that the underlying list is kept in sorted order. The first function has not yet been implemented. (There is an extra copy of this code on the last page of the exam that you may remove for your reference.)

```ml
structure PQueue :> PQUEUE = struct
  type 'a pqueue = 'a list * ('a * 'a -> order)
  (* AF: (lst, ord) represents the priority queue containing all the elements
     * in lst, ordered by the function ord.
     * RI: The first element of lst, if any, is less than or equal to
     * all other elements in lst, according to ord. *)
  fun create(lst: 'a list, ord: 'a * 'a -> order) = case lst of
    [] => ([], ord)
  | h::t =>
    let val (min: 'a, rest:'a list) =
      foldl (fn (x: 'a, (curmin: 'a, elems:'a list)) =>
        case ord(x, curmin) of
          LESS => (x, curmin::elems)
          | _ => (curmin, x::elems))
        (h, [])
      t
    in
      (min::rest, ord)
    end
  fun first(lst, ord) = raise Fail "Not implemented"
  fun push(q,x) = case q of
    ([], ord) => ([x], ord)
  | (y::t, ord) => (case ord(x,y) of
      LESS => (x::y::t, ord)
      | _ => (y::x::t, ord))
  fun pop(q) = let val (elems, ord) = q in
    (hd elems, create(tl elems, ord))
  end
end
```

(c) [5 pts] Suppose we make the call `create([15,10,33], Int.compare)` (where `Int.compare` has type `int*int->order`). The function `foldl` causes the anonymous function defined in `create` to be called twice. What are the successive values bound to the variables `x`, `curmin` and `elems` in those calls? What is the final result of the call to create?

Call 1: `x = ____`, `curmin = ____`, `elems = ____`

Call 2: `x = ____`, `curmin = ____`, `elems = ____`

Result = ____

(d) [5 pts] Explain in 2–3 sentences how it is possible that this implementation can work despite the fact that its representation of a priority queue does not keep the list in sorted order.
(e) [4 pts] Some of the implemented operations have constant asymptotic complexity, and some have linear complexity. Which is which?

(f) [5 pts] Give a very simple $O(1)$ implementation of first, and explain briefly why your implementation of first is correct with respect to the PQQUEUE signature.
(g) [10 pts] Using the abstraction function and rep invariant, argue that the implementation of push is correct. Make sure you consider all the possible cases, and argue not only that the result is correct but that it satisfies any relevant invariants.
Extra copy of PQueue code: you may remove this

structure PQueue :> PQ = struct
  type 'a pq = 'a list * ('a * 'a -> order)
  (* AF: (lst, ord) represents the priority queue containing all the elements
   * in lst, ordered by the function ord.
   * RI: The first element of lst, if any, is less than or equal to
   * all other elements in lst, according to ord. *)
  fun create(lst: 'a list, ord: 'a * 'a -> order) = case lst of
    [] => ([], ord)
  | h::t =>
    let val (min: 'a, rest:'a list) =
        foldl (fn (x: 'a, (curmin: 'a, elems:'a list)) =>
            case ord(x, curmin) of
            LESS => (x, curmin::elems)
            | _ => (curmin, x::elems))
        (h, [])
    in
    (min::rest, ord)
  end
  fun first(lst, ord) = raise Fail "Not implemented"
  fun push(x,q) = case q of
    ([], ord) => ([x], ord)
  | (y::t, ord) => (case ord(x,y) of
      LESS => (x::y::t, ord)
      | _ => (y::x::t, ord))
  fun pop(q) = let val (elems, ord) = q in
    (hd elems, create(tl elems, ord))
  end
end