CS 312 1 May 2003

Lazy Evaluation, Thunks, and Streams

Evaluation

• SML as you know it (substitution semantics)

if true then e_1 else $e_2 \mapsto e_1$ if false then e_1 else $e_2 \mapsto e_2$

• "if" *eagerly* evaluates condition expression to true or false, lazily evaluates e_1, e_2

fn (x) => e is a value

- In general: subexpressions either eagerly or lazily evaluated
 - Function bodies: lazily evaluated

Factorial - right and wrong

fun factorial (n : int) : int =
 if n <= 0 then 1 else n*factorial(n-1)
When evaluating factorial 0,</pre>

when do we evaluate n*factorial (n-1)?

fun factorial2 (n : int) : int =
 my_if(n <= 0, 1, n*factorial(n-1))</pre>

When evaluating factorial2 0,

when do we evaluate n*factorial (n-1)?

Eager evaluation in ML

- Function arguments evaluated before the function is called (and values are passed)
- if condition evaluated after guard evaluated
- Function bodies not evaluated until function is applied.
- Need some laziness to make things work...

• Eager language (SML) • $e_2 \mapsto e_2(v/x)$ (fn(x) => e_2) (v) $\mapsto e_2(v/x)$

Bound value is evaluated eagerly before body e₂

- Lazy language:
 - let $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{e}_1$ in $\mathbf{e}_2 \mapsto \mathbf{e}_2\{\mathbf{e}_1/\mathbf{x}\}$ (fn(\mathbf{x}) => \mathbf{e}_2) (\mathbf{e}_1) $\mapsto \mathbf{e}_2\{\mathbf{e}_1/\mathbf{x}\}$
 - $-\mathbf{e}_1$ is not evaluated until x is used
 - Variable can stand for unevaluated expression
 - But: what if \mathbf{x} occurs 10 times in \mathbf{e}_2 ?

A funny rule

- val f = fn() =>e evaluates e every time but not until f is called.
- val f = e evaluates e once "right away".
- What if we had
 - val f = Thunk.make $(fn() \Rightarrow e)$

which evaluates e once, but not until we use f. A general mechanism for lazy evaluation

Lazy Evaluation

val f = Thunk.make $(fn() \Rightarrow e)$

which evaluates e once, but not until we use f

- Best of both worlds: no redundant evaluations, no unnecessary evaluations
- But...harder to reason about when something happens (but maybe you don't care!)
- How to make sure we evaluate e at most once?

The Thunk ADT

Lazy languages

- Implementation has to use a ref. (How else could **Thunk.apply e** act differently at different times?)
- Some languages have *special syntax* for lazy evaluation.
- Algol-60, Haskell, Miranda:
 - **val x** = **e** acts like
 - val $x = Thunk.make (fn() \Rightarrow e)$
- We *implemented* lazy evaluation using refs and functions lazy functional languages have this implementation baked in.

Streams

- A stream is an "infinite" list you can ask for the rest of it as many times as you like and you'll never get null.
- The universe is finite, so a stream must really just *act* like an infinite list.
- Idea: use a function to describe what comes next.

The Stream ADT

```
signature STREAM =
    sig
        (* An infinite sequence of 'a *)
        type 'a stream
        (* make(b,f) is the infinite sequence
        * [b,f(b),f(f(b)), ...] *)
        val make: ('a*('a->'a)) -> 'a stream
        (* next[x0,x1,x2,...] is (x0, [x1,x2,...]) *)
        val next: 'a stream -> ('a*'a stream)
    end
```



That was cool...

- We could model infinite sequences (of numbers, of circuit states, of whatever) without destroying old versions with refs.
- In fact, the stream is non-imperative! (if function is non-imperative)
- State without the destructive updates...





Streams via functions structure Stream :> STREAM = struct datatype 'a stream = Cons of unit -> ('a * 'a stream) fun make (init : 'a, f : 'a -> 'a) : 'a stream = Cons(fn () => (init, make (f init, f))) fun next (Cons(F): 'a stream): 'a * 'a stream = F() end



Summary

ADTs for lazy computation:

- Thunk one lazy expression
- Stream infinite sequence, lazily computed
- Lazy language: can make recursive data structures, streams are lists
 val lst = 1::lst
- Try it out!