

Functions

Prof. Clarkson Fall 2018

Today's music: *Expression* by Salt-N-Pepa Rather than repeat *Function* by E-40 (Clean remix)



Attendance Question

What does ACSU stand for?

- A. Association of Computer Science Undergraduates
- B. Add-Compare-Select Unit
- C. Advanced Camel Support Usergroup
- D. All Cool Students Unify

First question of day worth the most points. Participation counts, not correctness.

let expressions [Corrected]

let x = e1 in e2

Type-checking: If e1:t1 and x:t1 and e2:t2 then (let x = e1 in e2) : t2

Review

Previously in 3110:

- Syntax and semantics
- Expressions: if, let
- **Definitions:** let

Today:

• Functions



ANONYMOUS FUNCTION EXPRESSIONS & FUNCTION APPLICATION EXPRESSIONS

Anonymous function expression

Syntax: fun x1 ... xn -> e
fun is a keyword :)



Evaluation:

- A function is a value: no further computation to do
- In particular, body e is not evaluated until function is applied

Lambda



- Anonymous functions a.k.a. *lambda expressions*
- Math notation: λx . e
- The lambda means "what follows is an anonymous function"

Lambda

- <u>Python</u>
- <u>Java 8</u>
- A popular <u>PL blog</u>
- Lambda style

Functions are values

Can use them **anywhere** we use values:

- Functions can **take** functions as arguments
- Functions can **return** functions as results

This is an incredibly powerful language feature!

Function application

Syntax: e0 e1 ... en

No parentheses required! (unless you need to force particular order of evaluation)

Function application

- Evaluation of e0 e1 ... en:
- 1. Evaluate **e0...en** to values **v0...vn**
- 2. Type checking will ensure that **v0** is a function fun x1 ... xn -> e
- 3. Substitute **vi** for **xi** in **e** yielding new expression **e**'
- 4. Evaluate e' to a value v, which is result

Let vs. function

These two expressions are **syntactically different** but **semantically equivalent**:

let x = 2 in x+1(fun $x \to x+1$) 2

FUNCTION DEFINITIONS



Two syntaxes to define functions

These definitions are **syntactically different** but **semantically equivalent**:

let inc = fun $x \rightarrow x+1$

let inc x = x + 1

Fundamentally no difference from **let** definitions we saw before

Recursive function definition

Must explicitly state that function is recursive:

let rec f ...



Reverse application

- Instead of **f e** can write **e** |> **f**
- Use: pipeline a value through several functions
 5 |> inc |> square (* ==> 36*)

assuming let inc x = x + 1let square x = x * x

FUNCTIONS AND TYPES

Function types

Type $t \rightarrow u$ is the type of a function that takes input of type t and returns output of type u

Type $t1 \rightarrow t2 \rightarrow u$ is the type of a function that takes input of type t1 and another input of type t2 and returns output of type u

etc.

Note dual purpose for -> syntax:

- Function types
- Function values

Function application

Type checking:

If e0 : t1 -> ... -> tn -> u
And e1 : t1,
 ...,
 en : tn

Then e0 e1 ... en : u

Anonymous function expression

Type checking:

If $x1:t1, \ldots, xn:tn$

And **e:u**

Then $(fun x1 \dots xn \rightarrow e)$:

t1 -> ... -> tn -> u

PARTIAL APPLICATION



More syntactic sugar

Multi-argument functions do not exist

fun x y -> e

is syntactic sugar for

fun x \rightarrow (fun y \rightarrow e)

More syntactic sugar

Multi-argument functions do not exist

fun x y $z \rightarrow e$

is syntactic sugar for

fun x \rightarrow (fun y \rightarrow (fun z \rightarrow e))

More syntactic sugar

Multi-argument functions do not exist

let add
$$x y = x + y$$

is syntactic sugar for

Again: Functions are values

Can use them **anywhere** we use values:

- Functions can **take** functions as arguments
- Functions can **return** functions as results

This is an incredibly powerful language feature!

Upcoming events

- [today] A0 released by end of day
- [Mon] Labor Day:
 - No discussion sections Monday
 - Therefore Tuesday sections (but not lecture) also canceled
 - No consulting hours on Monday

This is fun! THIS IS 3110