Announcements:

- Quiz #4 on 10/27 at start of class
- Prelim #2 on evening of Tue 11/15, review session the night before
- Guest lecture: “Effective OCaml” on Thu 11/3 by Yaron Minsky
- Lectures the week of 11/8 will be give by Prof. Foster
- Guest lecture on Tue 11/22 (right before Thanksgiving break)
• Academic integrity is no joke

• Getting a zero on a problem set, or an F for CS3110, is by no means the biggest penalty you can face

http://www.theuniversityfaculty.cornell.edu/AcadInteg/

iv. Recommend to the dean of the student's college that the student be placed on probation (or the college's equivalent)

v. Recommend to the dean of the student's college that the student be suspended from the University for a period of time

vi. Recommend to the dean of the student's college that the words "declared guilty of violation of the Code of Academic Integrity" be recorded on the student's transcript. The Hearing Board may set a date after which the student may petition the Board to have these words deleted from the transcript

vii. Recommend to the dean of the student's college that the student be expelled from the University
• I’m personally somewhat disappointed with the students who chose to do this (not with the class as a whole)

• Ultimately, in any career path your reputation for personal integrity is of enormous importance

• “Evil overlord” school of management aside, an organization that cannot trust its members does not function

• Young people make mistakes
  o Even serious mistakes can be recovered from, eventually
  o In 1951, an Ivy League freshman cheated on a science exam and a Spanish exam, but eventually recovered.

• Lessons:
  o Don’t cheat. It’s a terrible idea, and you are likely to get caught.
    ▪ Program design is like building a house
    ▪ Two students who don’t cheat will never have same layout
  o If you cheated, go through the formal Cornell process
    ▪ You will have a chance to present your side of the story
    ▪ Even if you don’t dispute the evidence, we need to have a hearing and impose a penalty, including a letter to the Academic Integrity Hearing Board
  o If you cheated and have not yet been caught, we will catch you
    ▪ All problem sets are under review
  o Don’t consider doing something really stupid
    ▪ At one University a student forged the instructor’s signature on a drop petition. The consequences were quite serious.
• Mutual exclusion

• A basic principle of concurrent programming is that reading and writing of mutable shared variables must be synchronized
  o so that shared data is used and modified in a predictable sequential manner by a single process,
  o rather than in an unpredictable interleaved manner by multiple processes at once.
• The term critical section is commonly used to refer to code which accesses a shared variable or data structure that must be protected against simultaneous access.

• The simplest means of protecting a critical section is to block any other process from running until the current process has finished with the critical section of code.
• This is commonly done using a mutual exclusion lock or mutex.
• There actually needs to be hardware support for this
  o Atomic (uninterruptable) operation to test and set a memory location.
  o Intel: XCHG operation, swap memory with register. Store 1 in register, then XCHG. If the register has a 0 you have the lock.
• Nice metaphor: Ithaca one-lane bridges (over Fall creek)
• A mutex is a program object which only one party at a time can have control over. In OCaml mutexes are provided by the Mutex module. The signature for this module is:

```ocaml
module type Mutex = sig
  type t
  val create : unit -> t
  val lock : t -> unit
  val try_lock : t -> bool
  val unlock : t -> unit
end
```

• Mutex.create creates a new mutex and returns a handle to it.
• Mutex.lock m returns once the specified mutex has been successfully locked by the calling thread.
• If the mutex is already locked by some other thread then the current thread is suspended until the mutex becomes available.
• Mutex.try_lock m returns true if the specified mutex has been successfully locked by the current thread, and false if it is already locked by some other thread.
• Mutex.unlock m unlocks the specified mutex, which in turn causes other threads suspended trying to lock m to restart (and only one of those threads will successfully get the lock).
• Mutex.unlock throws an exception if the current thread does not have the specified mutex locked.
- If all the code that access some shared data structure acquires a given mutex before such access, and releases it after the access, then this guarantees access by only one process at a time.

```c
Mutex.lock m;
foo(d); /* Critical section operating on some shared data structure */
Mutex.unlock m
```

- We commonly refer to the mutex \( m \) as protecting the data structure \( d \).
  - Note that this protection is only guaranteed if all code that access \( d \) correctly obtains and releases the mutex.
- Now we can rewrite the function `prog1` above to use a mutex to protect the critical section that reads and modifies the shared variable `result`: 
let prog2 (n) =
  let result = ref 0 in
  let m = Mutex.create() in
  let f (i) =
    for j = 1 to n do
      Mutex.lock m;
      let v = !result in Thread.delay(Random.float 1.0); result := v+i;
      print_string("Value 
      flush stdout;
      Mutex.unlock m;
      Thread.delay(Random.float 1.0)
    done
  in
  ignore (Thread.create f 1);
  ignore (Thread.create f 2)

• This function has the expected behavior of always incrementing the value of result.
• Too much locking with mutexes results in code not being concurrent.
• In fact use of excessive locking can result in code that is slower than a single-threaded version.
• That said, however, sharing variables across threads without proper synchronization will yield unpredictable behavior!

• There is closely related behavior in the design of operating systems
  o Perform an operation “without interrupts”
    ▪ I.e. lock the CPU for yourself
  o See CS4110

• Sometimes that behavior will only occur very rarely.
• Concurrent programming is hard.
  o Often a good approach is to write code in as functional a style as possible as this minimizes the need for synchronization of threads.
• Another hazard of concurrent programming is the potential for deadlocks, where multiple threads have permanently prevented each another from running because they are waiting for conditions that cannot become true given that other threads are also waiting.

• A simple example of a deadlock can occur with two mutexes, call them \( m \) and \( n \).

• Say one thread tries to lock \( m \) and then \( n \), whereas another thread tries to lock \( n \) and then \( m \).

• If the first thread has succeeded in locking \( m \) and the second thread has succeeded in locking \( n \), then no forward progress can ever be made because each is waiting on the other (this is sometimes referred to as deadly embrace).
Condition variables are used when one thread wants to wait until another thread has finished doing something: the former thread "waits" on the condition variable, the latter thread "signals" the condition when it is done.

```ocaml
module Condition

val wait : t -> Mutex.t -> unit

wait c m

Summary: unlock m, wait for someone to signal c, then lock m
atomically unlocks the mutex m and suspends the calling process on the condition variable c. The process will restart after the condition variable c has been signalled. The mutex m is locked again before wait returns.

val signal : t -> unit
signal c restarts one of the processes waiting on the condition variable c.

Condition variables are used when one thread wants to wait until another thread has finished doing something: the former thread "waits" on the condition variable, the latter thread "signals" the condition when it is done. Condition variables should always be protected by a mutex. The typical use is (if D is a shared data structure, m its mutex, and c is a condition variable):

```ocaml
Mutex.lock m;
while (* some predicate P over D is not satisfied *) do
  Condition.wait c m
done;
(* Modify D *)
if (* the predicate P over D is now satisfied *) then Condition.signal c;
Mutex.unlock m
```
(* Reader/writer; a classic concurrency pattern (concurrent readers and
* one exclusive writer, CRXW). There is mutual exclusion between a
* single writer and any of many readers, but readers can operate at
* the same time (because they do not change any shared state).
*
* This is accomplished with a shared variable n which counts the
* number of readers currently active. Each reader momentarily acquires
* the mutex to increment the count, then does their work, then
* momentarily acquires the mutex to decrement the count.
*
* The writer needs to wait until there are no readers. This is
* achieved using a condition variable to signal when no are readers
* active. The writer waits for the condition to be true. The readers
* signal the condition if when they finish there are no readers
* active.
*
* Such waiting on a condition before taking a mutex is known as a
* semaphore.*