

- **Announcements:**
 - Section 3, 4 will meet in 211 Upson, MW at 11:15A or 7:30P
 - PS1 due next Tuesday 9/6 11:59PM
 - PS versioning system
 - Office hours are up
 - All problem sets returned in section on Monday
 - Everyone should be in CMS now

 - Quiz #1 on Thursday 9/8, first 10 minutes of class
-

- Main difference between function and imperative programming:
 - Imperative programs: statements that do things
 - Formally, C assignments have an LHS and RHS
 - Functional programs: expressions have values
 - A bit like RHS, but closer to math (equational reasoning)
- You can see this even in simple examples like computing the sum of squares through n
 - See slides from lecture 1:

```
int sumsq(int n) {
    y = 0;
    for (x = 1; x <= n; x++) {
        y += x*x;
    }
    return n;
}
```

```
let rec sumsq (n:int):int =
    if n=0 then 0
    else n*n + sumsq(n-1)
```

- What's the difference? Lots of things
- Mental model for C involves doing things, one at a time
- ML (= SML/OCaml) is more like math: eternal truths
 - Can always substitute equals for equals
 - Example: $\cos^2 + \sin^2 = 1$
- You will hear me say many times that in ML, an **expression** has a **value**
- Instead of asking "what does this program print" we ask "what is the value of this expression"
 - Very different question, different way of thinking

- What is an expression? There is a simple definition
 - Recursive (first of many!)

identifier x, f (aka variable, name) *ex:* frob, num
 constant c *ex:* 0, "hello", 3.14
 binary operator b *ex:* +, *, +.
 unary operator i *ex:* -, not

term e x | c | $u\ e$ | $e_1\ b\ e_2$
 | if e_1 then e_2 else e_3
 | $e_0(e_1, \dots, e_n)$
 | let {rec} d in e
 | let {rec} d_1 and d_2 ... and d_n in e
 declaration d $x = e$
 | $f(x_0, \dots, x_n):t = e$
 type t int | $bool$ | $char$ | $string$
 | $t_1 * t_2 \dots t_n$
 | $t_1 * t_2 \dots t_n \rightarrow t$

- Important notes:
 - tuple types: what is the type of (1,2)? (1.0, 2)?
 - function types, plus terms in body
- Writing all the types down is a pain. So ML does type inference
- Example: type of `let f(x,y) = (x = String.length(y))`
- Different kinds of errors
 - Lexical syntax error: `2.0$`
 - Grammatical syntax error: `let 0 x`
 - Run-time error: `2/0`
 - Type error: `1 + "a"`, `1 + 2.0`

- Huge win of ML: catch errors early!
 - Why is this so important?
 - The finicky ML compiler is very much your friend
 - Once it compiles it tends to run
- Functions are first-class objects (unlike, e.g. Java, C)
- They can be
 - Bound to a variable
 - Passed to a function as an argument
 - Returned as the result of a function
- Related point: not everything needs a name. Consider $1 + (2 * 3)$ in any random programming language. What's the name of that 6?
 - Having to give everything a name is a pain
- You can have anonymous functions via fun
 - Lots of fun in this course...
- This is surprisingly useful!

```
let square x = x * x (* is the same as: *)
let square = fun x -> x * x (* anon function! *)
```

```
(* higher order functions and values *)
```

```
let twice f = fun x -> f (f x)
```

```
let twice f x = f (f x)
```

```
let fourth = twice square
```

```
let fourth = twice (fun x -> x * x)
```

```
(* binding *)
```

```
let z = 3 in z
```

```
let z = 3 in z*z
```

```
(* parallel binding *)
```

```
let z = z +1 and a = z in z*a
```

```
(* uncurried *)
```

```
let longEnough (str, len) = String.length str >= len
```

```
(* curried *)
```

```
let longEnough str len = String.length str >= len
```

```

(* let rec and embedded lets *)

let isPrime (n : int) : bool =
  (* Returns true if n has no divisors between m and sqrt(n)
  inclusive. *)
  let rec noDivisors (m : int) : bool =
    m * m > n || (n mod m != 0 && noDivisors (m + 1))
  in
    n >= 2 && noDivisors 2

(* Computes the square root of x using Heron of Alexandria's
* algorithm (circa 100 AD). We start with an initial (poor)
* approximate answer that the square root is 1.0 and then
* continue improving the guess until we're within delta of the
* real answer. The improvement is achieved by averaging the
* current guess with x/guess. The answer is accurate to within
* delta = 0.0001. *)
let squareRoot (x : float) : float =
  (* numerical accuracy *)
  let delta = 0.0001
  in

  (* returns true iff the guess is good enough *)
  let goodEnough (guess : float) : bool =
    abs_float (guess *. guess -. x) < delta
  in

  (* return a better guess by averaging it with x/guess *)
  let improve (guess : float) : float =
    (guess +. x /. guess) /. 2.0
  in

  (* Return the square root of x, starting from an initial guess.
  *)
  let rec tryGuess (guess : float) : float =
    if goodEnough guess then guess
    else tryGuess (improve guess)
  in

  (* start with a guess of 1.0 *)
  tryGuess 1.0

```