

1. [5 points] Recall that $[X \rightarrow Y]$ denotes the set of all (total) functions from X to Y , and Y^n denotes $Y \times Y \times \cdots \times Y$ (n times).

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite set with cardinality n . Define a bijection from $[X \rightarrow Y]$ to Y^n .

Solution Let $F : [X \rightarrow Y] \rightarrow Y^n$ be given by $F(f) = (f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n))$. This function is injective: if $F(f) = F(g)$ then $f(x_1) = g(x_1)$, $f(x_2) = g(x_2)$, and in fact $f(x_i) = g(x_i)$ for all $i \leq n$. Thus $f = g$.

This function is surjective: given a tuple $t = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be given by $f(x_i) = y_i$. Then clearly $F(f) = t$. Thus F is bijective.

A few comments on the proof: some people observed that $|X \rightarrow Y| = |Y^n| = |Y|^n$, and thus there must be a bijection. This is true (and typically got 2/5 if there were no other problems) but the question asked you to *define* a bijection. If you just say that there has to be a bijection, that isn't good enough; you have to say what it is.

2. [5 points] Let $\{1, 2, 3\}^\omega$ be the set of infinite sequences containing only the numbers 1, 2, and 3. For example, some sequences of this kind are:

$(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$

$(2, 2, 2, 2, 2, \dots)$

$(3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, \dots)$

Prove that $\{1, 2, 3\}^\omega$ is uncountable.

Solution Suppose (for the sake of contradiction) that $X = \{1, 2, 3\}^\omega$ is countable. Then there exists a surjection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$. We will show that f is *not* a surjection by constructing a sequence s_D that is not in the image of f .

Form the i th element of the sequence s_D by adding one to the i th element of $f(i)$ (wrapping around to 1 if $f(i)_i = 3$). The $s_D \neq f(i)$ for any i , because it differs in the i th place. Therefore s_D is not in the image of f , contradicting the assumption that f is surjective. Thus X is not countable.

3. [4 points] Prove by induction that

$$1 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 4 + \cdots + n(n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{3}$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

Solution Let $P(n)$ be the statement

$$1 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 4 + \cdots + n(n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{3}$$

Base case: $P(1)$ is true, because $1 \cdot 2 = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3/3$.

Inductive step: Assume $P(n)$. We wish to show $P(n+1)$, i.e.

$$1 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 4 + \cdots + (n+1)(n+2) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{3}$$

Well,

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 4 + \cdots + (n+1)(n+2) &= (1 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 4 + \cdots + n(n+1)) + (n+1)(n+2) \\
 &= \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{3} + (n+1)(n+2) && \text{by } P(n) \\
 &= \frac{n(n+1)(n+2) + 3(n+1)(n+2)}{3} && \text{common denominator} \\
 &= \frac{(n+3)(n+1)(n+2)}{3} && \text{grouping } (n+1)(n+2)
 \end{aligned}$$

as required.

To get full credit, you needed to have a clearly-stated induction hypothesis $P(n)$. You lost 1 point for the standard errors that we also deducted one point for on the homework: e.g., including the “for all n ” inside the $P(n)$ or treating $P(n)$ as a number. ($P(n)$ is *not* a number! It’s an English statement that has an n in it. You can’t write, for example, “ $P(n) = n(n+1)$ ”. That doesn’t make sense.)

4. [6 points: 2+4] (a) Explain carefully what the flaw is with the following “proof” that every postage of three cents or more can be formed using just three-cent and four-cent stamps.

We use regular induction to prove that $P(n)$ holds for all $n \geq 3$, where $P(n)$ says that postage of n cents can be formed using just three-cent and four-cent stamps.

Base Case: We can form postage of three cents with a single three-cent stamp and we can form postage of four cents using a single four-cent stamp.

Inductive Step: Assume that we can form postage of j cents for all nonnegative integers j with $j \leq k$ using just three-cent and four-cent stamps. We can then form postage of $k+1$ cents by replacing one three-cent stamp with a four-cent stamp or by replacing two four-cent stamps by three three-cent stamps.

(b) Show that every postage of six cents or more can be formed using just three-cent and four-cent stamps.

Solution (a) In doing the inductive step, you don’t know that a three-cent stamp or two four-cent stamps were used in paying for k cents. In particular, if $k = 4$, only one four-cent stamp is used. So the argument going from 4 to 5 fails. (We also reluctantly accepted as an error in the proof that the inductive step should have said “Assume that we can form postage of j cents for all nonnegative integers j with $3 \leq j \leq k$ ”; that is, we must start at 3 (because that’s the base case), not 0 (which is what we’re doing if we just say “for all nonnegative integers j with $j \leq k$ ”).)

(b) Use strong induction to prove $P(n)$ (where $P(n)$ is as above) for $n \geq 6$.

Base Case: We can form postage of six cents using two three-cent stamps.

Inductive Step: Suppose that $P(j)$ holds for all $j \leq n$. We want to prove $P(n+1)$.

If $n+1 = 7$, we can use a three- and a four-cent stamp; if $n+1 = 8$, we can use two four-cent stamps. (It’s OK to make 7 and 8 part of the base case.) If $n+1 \geq 9$, then $n \geq 8$, so $n+1-3 = n-2 \geq 6$. That means that, using the induction hypothesis, we can pay for $n-2$ cents of postage using three- and four-cent stamps. Add one more three-cent stamp. That will pay for $n+1$ cents of postage.

As in question 3, we deducted points for including “for all n ” in the statement $P(n)$ or somehow treating $P(n)$ as a number.

5. [7 points: 4+3] (a) Six women and nine men are on the faculty of a school’s CS department. The individuals are distinguishable. How many ways are there to select a committee of 5 members if at least 1 woman must be on the committee?

(b) How many ways can Mr. and Mrs. Sweettooth distribute 13 identical pieces of candy to their three children so that each child gets at least one piece of candy?