Probability

Life is full of uncertainty.
Probability is the best way we currently have to quantify it.

Applications of probability arise everywhere:
▶ Should you guess in a multiple-choice test with five choices?
▶ What if you're not penalized for guessing?
▶ What if you're penalized $\frac{1}{4}$ for every wrong answer?
▶ What if you can eliminate two of the five possibilities?
▶ Suppose that an AIDS test guarantees 99% accuracy:
   ▶ of every 100 people who have AIDS, the test returns positive 99 times (very few false negatives);
   ▶ of every 100 people who don't have AIDS, the test returns negative 99 times (very few false positives).
Suppose you test positive. How likely are you to have AIDS?
▶ Hint: the probability is not $\frac{99}{100}$.
▶ How do you compute the average-case running time of an algorithm?
▶ Is it worth buying a $1$ lottery ticket?
▶ Probability isn't enough to answer this question (I think) everybody ought to know something about probability.
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Probability

Life is full of uncertainty.
Probability is the best way we currently have to quantify it.

Applications of probability arise everywhere:
- Should you guess in a multiple-choice test with five choices?
  - What if you’re not penalized for guessing?
  - What if you’re penalized 1/4 for every wrong answer?
  - What if you can eliminate two of the five possibilities?
- Suppose that an AIDS test guarantees 99% accuracy:
  - of every 100 people who have AIDS, the test returns positive 99 times (very few false negatives);
  - of every 100 people who don’t have AIDS, the test returns negative 99 times (very few false positives)

Suppose you test positive. How likely are you to have AIDS?
- Hint: the probability is not 0.99

- How do you compute the average-case running time of an algorithm?
- Is it worth buying a $1 lottery ticket?
  - Probability isn’t enough to answer this question

(I think) everybody ought to know something about probability.
Interpreting Probability

Probability can be a subtle.

The first (philosophical) question is “What does probability mean?”

- What does it mean to say that “The probability that the coin landed (will land) heads is 1/2”? 

Two standard interpretations:

- Probability is subjective: This is a subjective statement describing an individual's feeling about the coin landing heads. This feeling can be quantified in terms of betting behavior.
- Probability is an objective statement about frequency. Both interpretations lead to the same mathematical notion.
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Formally, we take a sample space to be a set.

- Intuitively, the sample space is the set of possible outcomes, or possible ways the world could be.

An event is a subset of a sample space.

We assign probability to events: that is, to subsets of a sample space.
Formalizing Probability

What do we assign probability to?
Intuitively, we assign them to possible events (things that might happen, outcomes of an experiment)

Formally, we take a sample space to be a set.

- Intuitively, the sample space is the set of possible outcomes, or possible ways the world could be.

An event is a subset of a sample space.

We assign probability to events: that is, to subsets of a sample space.

Sometimes the hardest thing to do in a problem is to decide what the sample space should be.

- There’s often more than one choice
- A good thing to do is to try to choose the sample space so that all outcomes (i.e., elements) are equally likely
  - This is not always possible or reasonable
Choosing the Sample Space

**Example 1:** We toss a coin. What’s the sample space?
- Most obvious choice: \{heads, tails\}
- Should we bother to model the possibility that the coin lands on edge?
- What about the possibility that somebody snatches the coin before it lands?
- What if the coin is biased?

**Example 2:** We toss a die. What’s the sample space?

**Example 3:** Two distinguishable dice are tossed together. What’s the sample space?
- \((1,1), (1,2), (1,3), \ldots, (6,1), (6,2), \ldots, (6,6)\)
- What if the dice are indistinguishable?

**Example 4:** You're a doctor examining a seriously ill patient, trying to determine the probability that he has cancer. What’s the sample space?

**Example 5:** You're an insurance company trying to insure a nuclear power plant. What’s the sample space?
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Choosing the Sample Space

Example 1: We toss a coin. What’s the sample space?
- Most obvious choice: \{heads, tails\}
- Should we bother to model the possibility that the coin lands on edge?
- What about the possibility that somebody snatches the coin before it lands?
- What if the coin is biased?

Example 2: We toss a die. What’s the sample space?

Example 3: Two distinguishable dice are tossed together. What’s the sample space?
- \((1,1), (1,2), (1,3), \ldots, (6,1), (6,2), \ldots, (6,6)\)
What if the dice are indistinguishable?

Example 4: You’re a doctor examining a seriously ill patient, trying to determine the probability that he has cancer. What’s the sample space?

Example 5: You’re an insurance company trying to insure a nuclear power plant. What’s the sample space?
A probability measure assigns a real number between 0 and 1 to every subset of (event in) a sample space.

- Intuitively, the number measures how likely that event is.
- Probability 1 says it's certain to happen; probability 0 says it's certain not to happen.
- Probability acts like a weight or measure. The probability of separate things (i.e., disjoint sets) adds up.
Formally, a probability measure \( \text{Pr} \) on \( S \) is a function mapping subsets of \( S \) to real numbers such that:

1. For all \( A \subseteq S \), we have \( 0 \leq \text{Pr}(A) \leq 1 \)
2. \( \text{Pr}(\emptyset) = 0; \text{Pr}(S) = 1 \)
3. If \( A \) and \( B \) are disjoint subsets of \( S \) (i.e., \( A \cap B = \emptyset \)), then \( \text{Pr}(A \cup B) = \text{Pr}(A) + \text{Pr}(B) \).

It follows by induction that if \( A_1, \ldots, A_k \) are pairwise disjoint, then

\[
\text{Pr}(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} A_i) = \sum_{i} \text{Pr}(A_i).
\]

This is called \textit{finite additivity}; it’s actually more standard to assume a countable version of this, called \textit{countable additivity}.

In particular, this means that if \( A = \{e_1, \ldots, e_k\} \), then

\[
\text{Pr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \text{Pr}(e_i).
\]

In finite spaces, the probability of a set is determined by the probability of its elements.
Equiprobable Measures

Suppose $S$ has $n$ elements, and we want $\Pr$ to make each element equally likely.

- Then each element gets probability $1/n$
- $\Pr(A) = |A|/n$

In this case, $\Pr$ is called an equiprobable measure.
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In this case, $Pr$ is called an *equiprobable measure*.

**Example 1:** In the coin example, if you think the coin is fair, and the only outcomes are heads and tails, then we can take $S = \{\text{heads, tails}\}$, and $Pr(\text{heads}) = Pr(\text{tails}) = 1/2$. 
Suppose $S$ has $n$ elements, and we want Pr to make each element equally likely.

- Then each element gets probability $\frac{1}{n}$
- $Pr(A) = \frac{|A|}{n}$

In this case, Pr is called an *equiprobable measure*.

**Example 1:** In the coin example, if you think the coin is fair, and the only outcomes are heads and tails, then we can take $S = \{\text{heads, tails}\}$, and $Pr(\text{heads}) = Pr(\text{tails}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

**Example 2:** In the two-dice example where the dice are distinguishable, if you think both dice are fair, then we can take $Pr((i, j)) = \frac{1}{36}$.

- Should it make a difference if the dice are indistinguishable?
EquiProbable measures on infinite sets

Defining an equiprobable measure on an infinite set can be tricky.

**Theorem:** There is no equiprobable measure on the positive integers.

**Proof:** By contradiction. Suppose $\Pr$ is an equiprobable measure on the positive integers, and $\Pr(1) = \epsilon > 0$.

There must be some $N$ such that $\epsilon > 1/N$.

Since $\Pr(1) = \cdots = \Pr(N) = \epsilon$, we have

$$\Pr(\{1, \ldots, N\}) = N\epsilon > 1$$

— a contradiction

But if $\Pr(1) = 0$, then $\Pr(S) = \Pr(1) + \Pr(2) + \cdots = 0$. 
Some basic results

How are the probability of $E$ and $\overline{E}$ related?

- How does the probability that the dice lands either 2 or 4 (i.e., $E = \{2, 4\}$) compare to the probability that the dice lands 1, 3, 5, or 6 ($\overline{E} = \{1, 3, 5, 6\}$)

**Theorem 1:** $\Pr(\overline{E}) = 1 - \Pr(E)$.

**Proof:** $E$ and $\overline{E}$ are disjoint, so that

$$\Pr(E \cup \overline{E}) = \Pr(E) + \Pr(\overline{E}).$$

But $E \cup \overline{E} = S$, so $\Pr(E \cup \overline{E}) = 1$.

Thus $\Pr(E) + \Pr(\overline{E}) = 1$, so

$$\Pr(\overline{E}) = 1 - \Pr(E).$$
Theorem 2: \( \Pr(A \cup B) = \Pr(A) + \Pr(B) - \Pr(A \cap B) \).
Theorem 2: $\text{Pr}(A \cup B) = \text{Pr}(A) + \text{Pr}(B) - \text{Pr}(A \cap B)$. 

\[
A = (A - B) \cup (A \cap B)
\]
\[
B = (B - A) \cup (A \cap B)
\]
\[
A \cup B = (A - B) \cup (B - A) \cup (A \cap B)
\]

So

\[
\text{Pr}(A) = \text{Pr}(A - B) + \text{Pr}(A \cap B)
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The result now follows.
Theorem 2: \( \Pr(A \cup B) = \Pr(A) + \Pr(B) - \Pr(A \cap B). \)

\[
A = (A - B) \cup (A \cap B) \\
B = (B - A) \cup (A \cap B) \\
A \cup B = (A - B) \cup (B - A) \cup (A \cap B)
\]

So

\[
\Pr(A) = \Pr(A - B) + \Pr(A \cap B) \\
\Pr(B) = \Pr(B - A) + \Pr(A \cap B) \\
\Pr(A \cup B) = \Pr(A - B) + \Pr(B - A) + \Pr(A \cap B)
\]

The result now follows.

Remember the Inclusion-Exclusion Rule?

\[
|A \cup B| = |A| + |B| - |A \cap B|
\]

This follows easily from Theorem 2, if we take \( \Pr \) to be an equiprobable measure. We can also generalize to arbitrary unions.
Disclaimer

- Probability is a well defined mathematical theory.
- Applications of probability theory to “real world” problems is not.
- Choosing the sample space, the events and the probability function requires a “leap of faith”.
- We cannot prove that we chose the right model but we can argue for that.
- Some examples are easy some are not:
  - Flipping a coin or rolling a die.
  - Playing a lottery game.
  - Guessing in a multiple choice test.
  - Determining whether or not the patient has AIDS based on a test.
  - Does the patient have cancer?
Conditional Probability

One of the most important features of probability is that there is a natural way to *update* it.

**Example:** Bob draws a card from a 52-card deck. Initially, Alice considers all cards equally likely, so her probability that the ace of spades was drawn is $1/52$. Her probability that the card drawn was a spade is $1/4$. Then she sees that the card is black. What should her probability now be that the card is the ace of spades? the card is a spade? A reasonable approach:

- Start with the original sample space.
- Eliminate all outcomes (elements) that you now consider impossible, based on the observation (i.e., assign them probability 0).
- Keep the relative probability of everything else the same.
- Renormalize to get the probabilities to sum to 1.
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**Example:** Bob draws a card from a 52-card deck. Initially, Alice considers all cards equally likely, so her probability that the ace of spades was drawn is 1/52. Her probability that the card drawn was a spade is 1/4.

Then she sees that the card is black. What should her probability now be that

- the card is the ace of spades?
- the card is a spade?

A reasonable approach:

- Start with the original sample space
- Eliminate all outcomes (elements) that you now consider impossible, based on the observation (i.e., assign them probability 0).
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What should the probability of $B$ be, given that you’ve observed $A$? According to this recipe, it’s

$$\Pr(B \mid A) = \frac{\Pr(A \cap B)}{\Pr(A)}$$

$\Pr(A\spadesuit \mid \text{black}) = (1/52)/(1/2) = 1/26$
$\Pr(\text{spade} \mid \text{black}) = (1/4)/(1/2) = 1/2.$
What should the probability of $B$ be, given that you’ve observed $A$? According to this recipe, it’s

$$\Pr(B \mid A) = \frac{\Pr(A \cap B)}{\Pr(A)}$$

Pr($A\spadesuit$ | black) = $\frac{1}{52}/\frac{1}{2} = 1/26$
Pr(spade | black) = $\frac{1}{4}/\frac{1}{2} = 1/2$.

A subtlety:
- What if Alice doesn’t completely trust Bob? How do you take this into account?
What should the probability of $B$ be, given that you’ve observed $A$? According to this recipe, it’s

$$Pr(B \mid A) = \frac{Pr(A \cap B)}{Pr(A)}$$

$$Pr(A\spadesuit \mid \text{black}) = (1/52)/(1/2) = 1/26$$
$$Pr(\text{spade} \mid \text{black}) = (1/4)/(1/2) = 1/2.$$  

A subtlety:

- What if Alice doesn’t completely trust Bob? How do you take this into account?

Two approaches:

1. Enlarge sample space to allow more observations.
2. Jeffrey’s rule:

$$Pr(A\spadesuit \mid \text{black}) \cdot Pr(\text{Bob telling the truth}) +$$
$$Pr(A\spadesuit \mid \text{red}) \cdot Pr(\text{Bob lying}).$$
The second-ace puzzle

Alice gets two cards from a deck with four cards: A♠, 2♠, A♥, 2♥.

A♠  A♥  A♠  2♠  A♠  2♥  A♥  2♠  A♥  2♥  2♠  2♥

The probability that Alice has both aces is 1/6.
The second-ace puzzle

Alice gets two cards from a deck with four cards: A♠, 2♠, A♥, 2♥.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A♠ & A♥ & A♠ 2♠ & A♠ 2♥ \\
A♥ 2♠ & A♥ 2♥ & 2♠ 2♥
\end{array}
\]

The probability that Alice has both aces is 1/6. Alice then tells Bob “I have an ace”.

▶ What’s the probability that Alice has both aces? 1/5
The second-ace puzzle

Alice gets two cards from a deck with four cards: A♣, 2♠, A♥, 2♥.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A♣ A♥</th>
<th>A♣ 2♠</th>
<th>A♣ 2♥</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A♥ 2♠</td>
<td>A♥ 2♥</td>
<td>2♠ 2♥</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The probability that Alice has both aces is 1/6.

Alice then tells Bob “I have an ace”.

▶ What’s the probability that Alice has both aces? 1/5
She then says “I have the ace of spades”.
▶ Now what’s the probability that Alice has both aces?
The second-ace puzzle

Alice gets two cards from a deck with four cards: 
\(A\spadesuit, 2\spadesuit, A\heartsuit, 2\heartsuit.\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A\spadesuit A\heartsuit</th>
<th>A\spadesuit 2\spadesuit</th>
<th>A\spadesuit 2\heartsuit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A\heartsuit 2\spadesuit</td>
<td>A\heartsuit 2\heartsuit</td>
<td>2\spadesuit 2\heartsuit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The probability that Alice has both aces is \(1/6.\)

Alice then tells Bob “I have an ace”.

▶ What’s the probability that Alice has both aces? \(1/5\)

She then says “I have the ace of spades”.

▶ Now what’s the probability that Alice has both aces? \(1/3\)

What if Alice had said “I have the ace of hearts” instead?

▶ Bob knows she has an ace. Whichever ace she has, the probability that she has both aces is \(1/3.\)

▶ So he knows it’s \(1/3\) even without Alice saying anything??!!
The second-ace puzzle

Alice gets two cards from a deck with four cards: A♠, 2♠, A♥, 2♥.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A♠ A♥</th>
<th>A♠ 2♠</th>
<th>A♠ 2♥</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A♥ 2♠</td>
<td>A♥ 2♥</td>
<td>2♠ 2♥</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The probability that Alice has both aces is 1/6.

Alice then tells Bob “I have an ace”.

▶ What’s the probability that Alice has both aces? 1/5

She then says “I have the ace of spades”.

▶ Now what’s the probability that Alice has both aces? 1/3

What if Alice had said “I have the ace of hearts” instead?

▶ Also 1/3

But then why did Bob need Alice?

▶ Bob knows she has an ace. Whichever ace she has, the probability that she has both aces is 1/3.

▶ So he knows it’s 1/3 even without Alice saying anything??!!
The Monty Hall Puzzle

- You’re on a game show and given a choice of three doors.
  - Behind one is a car; behind the others are goats.
- You pick door 1.
- Monty Hall opens door 2, which has a goat.
- He then asks you if you still want to take what’s behind door 1, or to take what’s behind door 3 instead.

Should you switch?
The Monty Hall Puzzle: Two Arguments

Here’s the argument for not switching:

- The car is equally likely to be behind each door. After you learn it’s not behind door 2, you condition on that fact. Now it’s still equally likely to be behind door 1 and door 3. There’s no point in switching.
The Monty Hall Puzzle: Two Arguments

Here’s the argument for not switching:

- The car is equally likely to be behind each door. After you learn it’s not behind door 2, you condition on that fact. Now it’s still equally likely to be behind door 1 and door 3. There’s no point in switching.

Here’s the argument for switching:

- With probability $1/3$ you picked the door with a car; with probability $2/3$ you picked a door with a goat.
  
  - If you picked the door with a car, you lose by switching: you definitely get a goat.
  
  - If you picked a door with with a goat, you win by switching; the car is behind door 3 (the goats are behind door 1 and 2).

So it seems that switching gains with probability $2/3$. 

If you think it’s the second one, what’s wrong with conditioning?

Do we condition only in some cases and not in others?

If so, when?
The Monty Hall Puzzle: Two Arguments

Here’s the argument for not switching:

▶ The car is equally likely to be behind each door. After you learn it’s not behind door 2, you condition on that fact. Now it’s still equally likely to be behind door 1 and door 3. There’s no point in switching.

Here’s the argument for switching:

▶ With probability 1/3 you picked the door with a car; with probability 2/3 you picked a door with a goat.
  ▶ If you picked the door with a car, you lose by switching: you definitely get a goat.
  ▶ If you picked a door with with a goat, you win by switching; the car is behind door 3 (the goats are behind door 1 and 2).

So it seems that switching gains with probability 2/3.

At most one of these arguments is right. Which one?

▶ If you think it’s the second one, what’s wrong with conditioning?
  ▶ Do we condition only in some cases and not in others?
  ▶ If so, when?
The Protocol Matters

Conditioning is always the right thing to do, but you have to use the right sample space to get the result.

- The right sample space includes the protocol!

For the second-ace puzzle, suppose Alice’s protocol says that at the first step, she’ll tell Bob whether she has an ace. At the second step, she’ll tell Bob which ace she has.

- But what does she do if she both aces? Which ace does she tell Bob about?
  - Protocol #1: she says “ace of hearts” whenever she has a choice.
    - In that case, the probability that she has both aces if she says “ace of spades is 0, not 1/3!
    - the probability that she has both aces if she says “ace of hearts” is 1/3.
Possibility #2: she randomizes when she has a choice (says “Ace of hearts” with probability $1/2$ and “ace of spades” with probability $1/2$).

Now the sample space has to include how Alice’s coin that determines what she says in this case landed.

There are 7 elements in the sample space, not 6!

An easy calculation (done in class) shows that the probability that she has both aces if she says “ace of spades” is $1/5$, not $1/3$. 
Back to Monty Hall

Again, what Monty does is determined if the there’s a goat behind door 1

- He opens the other door that has a goat behind it
- *Assuming that he necessarily opens a door—see below.*

But which door does Monty open if door 1 has a car?

- if he definitely opens door 2, then switching doesn’t help.

The probability space has four elements: \((C_1, D_2)\) (the car is behind door 1 and he opens door 2), \((C_1, D_3)\), \((C_2, D_3)\), and \((C_3, D_2)\). The first two each have probability \(1/6\); the last two each have probability \(1/3\).

An easy calculation shows that \(\Pr(C_1 | D_2) = 1/3\) and \(\Pr(C_3 | D_2) = 2/3\), so you gain by switching.

But what if Monty’s protocol is to open door 2 only if door 1 has the car behind it?

Then switching is a terrible idea!
Back to Monty Hall

Again, what Monty does is determined if the there’s a goat behind door 1

- He opens the other door that has a goat behind it
- *Assuming that he necessarily opens a door*—see below.

But which door does Monty open if door 1 has a car?

- If he definitely opens door 2, then switching doesn’t help.
- If he randomizes between door 2 and door 3, then you gain by switching. Here’s the calculation:
  - The probability space has four elements: \((C1, D2)\) (the car is behind door 1 and he opens door 2), \((C1, D3)\), \((C2, D3)\), and \((C3, D2)\).
    - The first two each have probability \(1/6\); the last two each have probability \(1/3\).
    - An easy calculation shows that \(Pr(C1 \mid D2) = 1/3\) and \(Pr(C3 \mid D2) = 2/3\), so you gain by switching
Back to Monty Hall

Again, what Monty does is determined if the there’s a goat behind door 1

- He opens the other door that has a goat behind it
- *Assuming that he necessarily opens a door—see below.*

But which door does Monty open if door 1 has a car?

- if he definitely opens door 2, then switching doesn’t help.
- if he randomizes between door 2 and door 3, then you gain by switching. Here’s the calculation:
  - The probability space has four elements: $(C_1, D_2)$ (the car is behind door 1 and he opens door 2), $(C_1, D_3)$, $(C_2, D_3)$, and $(C_3, D_2)$.
    - The first two each have probability $1/6$; the last two each have probability $1/3$.
    - An easy calculation shows that $\Pr(C_1 \mid D_2) = 1/3$ and $\Pr(C_3 \mid D_2) = 2/3$, so you gain by switching

But what if Monty’s protocol is to open door 2 only if door 1 has the car behind it?

- Then switching is a terrible idea!
The Second-Child Problem

Suppose that any child is equally likely to be male or female, and that the sex of any one child is independent of the sex of the other. You have an acquaintance and you know he has two children, but you don’t know their sexes. Consider the following four cases:

1. You visit the acquaintance, and a boy walks into the room. The acquaintance says “That’s my older child.”
2. You visit the acquaintance, and a boy walks into the room. The acquaintance says “That’s one of my children.”
3. The acquaintance lives in a culture, where male children are always introduced first, in descending order of age, and then females are introduced. You visit the acquaintance, who says “Let me introduce you to my children.” Then he calls “John [a boy], come here!”
4. You go to a parent-teacher meeting. The principal asks everyone who has at least one son to raise their hands. Your acquaintance does so.

In each case, what is the probability that the acquaintance’s second child is a boy?
Independence

Intuitively, events $A$ and $B$ are independent if they have no effect on each other.

This means that observing $A$ should have no effect on the likelihood we ascribe to $B$, and similarly, observing $B$ should have no effect on the likelihood we ascribe to $A$.

Thus, if $\Pr(A) \neq 0$ and $\Pr(B) \neq 0$ and $A$ is independent of $B$, we would expect

$$\Pr(B|A) = \Pr(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pr(A|B) = \Pr(A).$$

Interestingly, one implies the other.
Independence

Intuitively, events $A$ and $B$ are independent if they have no effect on each other.

This means that observing $A$ should have no effect on the likelihood we ascribe to $B$, and similarly, observing $B$ should have no effect on the likelihood we ascribe to $A$.

Thus, if $\Pr(A) \neq 0$ and $\Pr(B) \neq 0$ and $A$ is independent of $B$, we would expect

$$\Pr(B|A) = \Pr(B) \text{ and } \Pr(A|B) = \Pr(A).$$

Interestingly, one implies the other.

$$\Pr(B|A) = \Pr(B) \iff \frac{\Pr(A \cap B)}{\Pr(A)} = \Pr(B) \iff \Pr(A \cap B) = \Pr(A) \times \Pr(B).$$

Formally, we say $A$ and $B$ are (probabilistically) independent if

$$\Pr(A \cap B) = \Pr(A) \times \Pr(B).$$

This definition makes sense even if $\Pr(A) = 0$ or $\Pr(B) = 0$. 
Probability Trees

Suppose that the probability of rain tomorrow is .7. If it rains, then the probability that the game will be cancelled is .8; if it doesn’t rain, then the probability that it will be cancelled is .1. What is the probability that the game will be played?

The situation can be described by a tree:
Bayes’ Theorem

**Bayes Theorem:** Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ be mutually exclusive and exhaustive events in a sample space $S$.

- That means $A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_n = S$, and the $A_i$’s are pairwise disjoint: $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$.

Let $B$ be any other event in $S$. Then

$$
\Pr(A_i|B) = \frac{\Pr(A_i) \Pr(B|A_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^n \Pr(A_j) \Pr(B|A_j)}.
$$
Bayes’ Theorem

**Bayes Theorem:** Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ be mutually exclusive and exhaustive events in a sample space $S$.

- That means $A_1 \cup \ldots \cup A_n = S$, and the $A_i$’s are pairwise disjoint: $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$.

Let $B$ be any other event in $S$. Then

\[
\Pr(A_i|B) = \frac{\Pr(A_i) \Pr(B|A_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Pr(A_j) \Pr(B|A_j)}.
\]

**Proof:**

\[
B = B \cap S = B \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_j) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (B \cap A_j).
\]

Therefore, \( \Pr(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Pr(B \cap A_j) \).

Next, observe that \( \Pr(B|A_i) = \Pr(A_i \cap B) / \Pr(A_i) \). Thus,

\[
\Pr(A_i \cap B) = \Pr(B|A_i) \Pr(A_i).
\]

Therefore,

\[
\Pr(A_i|B) = \frac{\Pr(A_i \cap B)}{\Pr(B)} = \frac{\Pr(B|A_i) \Pr(A_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Pr(B \cap A_j)} = \frac{\Pr(B|A_i) \Pr(A_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Pr(B|A_j) \Pr(A_j)}.
\]
Example

In a certain county, 60% of registered voters are Republicans, 30% are Democrats, and 10% are Independents. 40% of Republicans oppose increased military spending, while 65% of the Democrats and 55% of the Independents oppose it. A registered voter writes a letter to the county paper, arguing against increased military spending. What is the probability that this voter is a Democrat?

\[ S = \{ \text{registered voters} \} \]
\[ A_1 = \{ \text{registered Republicans} \} \]
\[ A_2 = \{ \text{registered Democrats} \} \]
\[ A_3 = \{ \text{registered independents} \} \]
\[ B = \{ \text{voters who oppose increased military spending} \} \]

We want to know \( \Pr(A_2|B) \).

We have

\[
\Pr(A_1) = .6 \quad \Pr(A_2) = .3 \quad \Pr(A_3) = .1 \\
\Pr(B|A_1) = .4 \quad \Pr(B|A_2) = .65 \quad \Pr(B|A_3) = .55
\]
Using Bayes’ Theorem, we have:

\[ \Pr(A_2|B) = \frac{\Pr(B|A_2) \times \Pr(A_2)}{\Pr(B|A_1) \times \Pr(A_1) + \Pr(B|A_2) \times \Pr(A_2) + \Pr(B|A_3) \times \Pr(A_3)} \]

\[ = \frac{.65 \times .3}{(.4 \times .6) + (.65 \times .3) + (.55 \times .1)} \]

\[ = \frac{.195}{.49} \approx .398 \]
Suppose we have a test that is 99% effective against AIDS. Suppose we also know that .3% of the population has AIDS. What is the probability that you have AIDS if you test positive?

\[ S = \{\text{all people}\} \text{ (in North America??)} \]
\[ A_1 = \{\text{people with AIDS}\} \]
\[ A_2 = \{\text{people who don't have AIDS}\} \text{ (} A_2 = \overline{A_1} \text{)} \]
\[ B = \{\text{people who test positive}\} \]

\[
\Pr(A_1) = .003 \quad \Pr(A_2) = .997
\]

Since the test is 99% effective:

\[
\Pr(B|A_1) = .99 \quad \Pr(B|A_2) = .01
\]

Using Bayes’ Theorem again:

\[
\Pr(A_1|B) = \frac{.99 \times .003}{(.99 \times .003) + (.01 \times .997)}
\approx \frac{.003}{.003 + .01}
\approx .23
\]
Averaging and Expectation

Suppose you toss a coin that’s biased towards heads (Pr(hearts) = 2/3) twice. How many heads do you expect to get?

▶ In mathematics-speak:

What’s the expected number of heads?

What about if you toss the coin \( k \) times?

What’s the average weight of the people in this classroom?

▶ That’s easy: add the weights and divide by the number of people in the class.

But what about if I tell you I’m going to toss a coin to determine which person in the class I’m going to choose; if it lands heads, I’ll choose someone at random from the first aisle, and otherwise I’ll choose someone at random from the last aisle.

▶ What’s the expected weight?

Averaging makes sense if you use an equiprobable distribution; in general, we need to talk about expectation.
Random Variables

To deal with expectation, we formally associate with every element of a sample space a real number.

**Definition:** A *random variable* on sample space $S$ is a function from $S$ to the real numbers.

**Example:** Suppose we toss a biased coin ($\Pr(h) = 2/3$) twice. The sample space is:

- $\text{hh}$ - Probability $4/9$
- $\text{ht}$ - Probability $2/9$
- $\text{th}$ - Probability $2/9$
- $\text{tt}$ - Probability $1/9$

If we’re interested in the number of heads, we would consider a random variable $\#H$ that counts the number of heads in each sequence:

$$
\#H(hh) = 2; \quad \#H(ht) = \#H(th) = 1; \quad \#H(tt) = 0
$$
Example: If we’re interested in weights of people in the class, the sample space is people in the class, and we could have a random variable that associates with each person his or her weight.
Probability Distributions

If $X$ is a random variable on sample space $S$, then the probability that $X$ takes on the value $c$ is

$$\Pr(X = c) = \Pr(\{s \in S \mid X(s) = c\})$$

Similarly,

$$\Pr(X \leq c) = \Pr(\{s \in S \mid X(s) \leq c\}).$$

This makes sense since the range of $X$ is the real numbers.
Probability Distributions

If $X$ is a random variable on sample space $S$, then the probability that $X$ takes on the value $c$ is

$$\Pr(X = c) = \Pr(\{s \in S \mid X(s) = c\})$$

Similarly,

$$\Pr(X \leq c) = \Pr(\{s \in S \mid X(s) \leq c\}).$$

This makes sense since the range of $X$ is the real numbers.

**Example:** In the coin example,

$$\Pr(\#H = 2) = 4/9 \text{ and } \Pr(\#H \leq 1) = 5/9$$
Probability Distributions

If $X$ is a random variable on sample space $S$, then the probability that $X$ takes on the value $c$ is

$$\Pr(X = c) = \Pr(\{s \in S \mid X(s) = c\})$$

Similarly,

$$\Pr(X \leq c) = \Pr(\{s \in S \mid X(s) \leq c\}).$$

This makes sense since the range of $X$ is the real numbers.

**Example:** In the coin example,

$$\Pr(\#H = 2) = \frac{4}{9} \text{ and } \Pr(\#H \leq 1) = \frac{5}{9}$$

Given a probability measure $\Pr$ on a sample space $S$ and a random variable $X$, the *probability distribution* associated with $X$ is $f_X(x) = \Pr(X = x)$.

$\blacktriangleright$ $f_X$ is a probability measure on the real numbers.

The *cumulative distribution* associated with $X$ is $F_X(x) = \Pr(X \leq x)$. 
An Example With Dice

Suppose $S$ is the sample space corresponding to tossing a pair of fair dice: $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq 6\}$.

Let $X$ be the random variable that gives the sum:

$X(i, j) = i + j$

$\begin{align*}
  f_X(2) &= \Pr(X = 2) = \Pr(\{(1, 1)\}) = 1/36 \\
  f_X(3) &= \Pr(X = 3) = \Pr(\{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}) = 2/36 \\
  \vdots \\
  f_X(7) &= \Pr(X = 7) = \Pr(\{(1, 6), (2, 5), \ldots, (6, 1)\}) = 6/36 \\
  \vdots \\
  f_X(12) &= \Pr(X = 12) = \Pr(\{(6, 6)\}) = 1/36
\end{align*}$
An Example With Dice

Suppose $S$ is the sample space corresponding to tossing a pair of fair dice: $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq 6\}$.

Let $X$ be the random variable that gives the sum:

$X(i, j) = i + j$

- $f_X(2) = \Pr(X = 2) = \Pr(\{(1, 1)\}) = 1/36$
- $f_X(3) = \Pr(X = 3) = \Pr(\{(1, 2), (2, 1)\}) = 2/36$

\[
\vdots
\]

- $f_X(7) = \Pr(X = 7) = \Pr(\{(1, 6), (2, 5), \ldots, (6, 1)\}) = 6/36$

\[
\vdots
\]

- $f_X(12) = \Pr(X = 12) = \Pr(\{(6, 6)\}) = 1/36$

Can similarly compute the cumulative distribution:

$F_X(2) = f_X(2) = 1/36$

$F_X(3) = f_X(2) + f_X(3) = 3/36$

\[
\vdots
\]

$F_X(12) = 1$
The finite uniform distribution is an equiprobable distribution. If $S = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, where $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n$, then:

$$f(x_k) = \frac{1}{n}$$

$$F(x_k) = \frac{k}{n}$$
Suppose there is an experiment with probability $p$ of success and thus probability $q = 1 - p$ of failure.

▶ For example, consider tossing a biased coin, where $\Pr(h) = p$. Getting “heads” is success, and getting tails is failure.

Suppose the experiment is repeated independently $n$ times.

▶ For example, the coin is tossed $n$ times.

This is called a sequence of *Bernoulli trials*.

Key features:

▶ Only two possibilities: success or failure.
▶ Probability of success does not change from trial to trial.
▶ The trials are independent.
What is the probability of $k$ successes in $n$ trials?

Suppose $n = 5$ and $k = 3$. How many sequences of 5 coin tosses have exactly three heads?

- $hhhht$
- $hhhtt$
- $hhtth$

$C(5, 3)$ such sequences!

What is the probability of each one?

$$p^3(1 - p)^2$$

Therefore, probability is $C(5, 3)p^3(1 - p)^2$.

Let $B_{n,p}(k)$ be the probability of getting $k$ successes in $n$ Bernoulli trials with probability $p$ of success.

$$B_{n,p}(k) = C(n, k)p^k(1 - p)^{n-k}$$

Not surprisingly, $B_{n,p}$ is called the Binomial Distribution.
If $X$ and $Y$ are random variables on a sample space $S$, so is $X + Y$, $X + 2Y$, $XY$, $\sin(X)$, etc.

For example,

- $(X + Y)(s) = X(s) + Y(s)$.
- $\sin(X)(s) = \sin(X(s))$

Note $\sin(X)$ is a random variable: a function from the sample space to the reals.
Some Examples

Example 1: A fair die is rolled. Let $X$ denote the number that shows up. What is the probability distribution of $Y = X^2$?

$$\{s : Y(s) = k\} = \{s : X^2(s) = k\} = \{s : X(s) = -\sqrt{k}\} \cup \{s : X(s) = \sqrt{k}\}.$$  

Conclusion: $f_Y(k) = f_X(\sqrt{k}) + f_X(-\sqrt{k})$.
So $f_Y(1) = f_Y(4) = f_Y(9) = \cdots f_Y(36) = 1/6$.
$f_Y(k) = 0$ if $k \notin \{1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36\}$. 

Example 2: A coin is flipped. Let $X$ be 1 if the coin shows $H$ and -1 if $T$. Let $Y = X^2$.

$\Rightarrow$ In this case $Y \equiv 1$, so $\Pr(Y = 1) = 1$.

Example 3: If two dice are rolled, let $X$ be the number that comes up on the first dice, and $Y$ the number that comes up on the second.

$\Rightarrow$ Formally, $X((i, j)) = i$, $Y((i, j)) = j$.

The random variable $X + Y$ is the total number showing.
Some Examples

**Example 1:** A fair die is rolled. Let $X$ denote the number that shows up. What is the probability distribution of $Y = X^2$?

\[
\{ s : Y(s) = k \} = \{ s : X^2(s) = k \} = \{ s : X(s) = -\sqrt{k} \} \cup \{ s : X(s) = \sqrt{k} \}.
\]

Conclusion: $f_Y(k) = f_X(\sqrt{k}) + f_X(-\sqrt{k})$.

So $f_Y(1) = f_Y(4) = f_Y(9) = \cdots = f_Y(36) = 1/6$.

$f_Y(k) = 0$ if $k \notin \{1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36\}$.

**Example 2:** A coin is flipped. Let $X$ be 1 if the coin shows $H$ and -1 if $T$. Let $Y = X^2$.

- In this case $Y \equiv 1$, so $\Pr(Y = 1) = 1$. 

**Example 3:** If two dice are rolled, let $X$ be the number that comes up on the first dice, and $Y$ the number that comes up on the second. Formally, $X((i,j)) = i$, $Y((i,j)) = j$. The random variable $X + Y$ is the total number showing.
Some Examples

**Example 1:** A fair die is rolled. Let $X$ denote the number that shows up. What is the probability distribution of $Y = X^2$?

$$\{s : Y(s) = k\} = \{s : X^2(s) = k\} = \{s : X(s) = -\sqrt{k}\} \cup \{s : X(s) = \sqrt{k}\}.$$  

Conclusion: $f_Y(k) = f_X(\sqrt{k}) + f_X(-\sqrt{k})$.

So $f_Y(1) = f_Y(4) = f_Y(9) = \cdots f_Y(36) = 1/6$.

$f_Y(k) = 0$ if $k \notin \{1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36\}$.

**Example 2:** A coin is flipped. Let $X$ be 1 if the coin shows $H$ and -1 if $T$. Let $Y = X^2$.

▶ In this case $Y \equiv 1$, so $\Pr(Y = 1) = 1$.

**Example 3:** If two dice are rolled, let $X$ be the number that comes up on the first dice, and $Y$ the number that comes up on the second.

▶ Formally, $X((i, j)) = i$, $Y((i, j)) = j$.

The random variable $X + Y$ is the total number showing.
Example 4: Suppose we toss a biased coin $n$ times (more generally, we perform $n$ Bernoulli trials). Let $X_k$ describe the outcome of the $k$th coin toss: $X_k = 1$ if the $k$th coin toss is heads, and 0 otherwise.

How do we formalize this?

▶ What’s the sample space?
Example 4: Suppose we toss a biased coin $n$ times (more generally, we perform $n$ Bernoulli trials). Let $X_k$ describe the outcome of the $k$th coin toss: $X_k = 1$ if the $k$th coin toss is heads, and 0 otherwise.

How do we formalize this?

▶ What’s the sample space?

Notice that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k$ describes the number of successes of $n$ Bernoulli trials.

▶ If the probability of a single success is $p$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k$ has distribution $B_{n,p}$

▶ The binomial distribution is the sum of Bernoullis
Independent random variables

In a roll of two dice, let $X$ and $Y$ record the numbers on the first and second die respectively.

- What can you say about the events $X = 3$, $Y = 2$?
- What about $X = i$ and $Y = j$?

**Definition:** The random variables $X$ and $Y$ are independent if for every $x$ and $y$ the events $X = x$ and $Y = y$ are independent.

**Example:** $X$ and $Y$ above are independent.
Independent random variables

In a roll of two dice, let $X$ and $Y$ record the numbers on the first and second die respectively.

- What can you say about the events $X = 3$, $Y = 2$?
- What about $X = i$ and $Y = j$?

**Definition:** The random variables $X$ and $Y$ are independent if for every $x$ and $y$ the events $X = x$ and $Y = y$ are independent.

**Example:** $X$ and $Y$ above are independent.

**Definition:** The random variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ are *mutually independent* if, for every $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$

$$
\Pr(X_1 = x_1, \ldots, X_n = x_n) = \Pr(X_1 = x_1) \ldots \Pr(X_n = x_n)
$$

**Example:** $X_k$, the success indicators in $n$ Bernoulli trials, are independent.
Expected Value

Suppose we toss a biased coin, with \( \Pr(h) = 2/3 \). If the coin lands heads, you get $1; if the coin lands tails, you get $3. What are your expected winnings?

- \( \frac{2}{3} \) of the time you get $1;
- \( \frac{1}{3} \) of the time you get $3
- \( \left( \frac{2}{3} \times 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{3} \times 3 \right) = \frac{5}{3} \)

What’s a good way to think about this? We have a random variable \( W \) (for winnings):

- \( W(h) = 1 \)
- \( W(t) = 3 \)

The expectation of \( W \) is

\[
E(W) = \Pr(h)W(h) + \Pr(t)W(t) \\
= \Pr(W = 1) \times 1 + \Pr(W = 3) \times 3
\]
Expected Value

Suppose we toss a biased coin, with Pr(h) = 2/3. If the coin lands heads, you get $1; if the coin lands tails, you get $3. What are your expected winnings?

- 2/3 of the time you get $1;
- 1/3 of the time you get $3

(2/3 × 1) + (1/3 × 3) = 5/3

What’s a good way to think about this? We have a random variable W (for winnings):

- W(h) = 1
- W(t) = 3

The expectation of W is

\[ E(W) = \Pr(h)W(h) + \Pr(t)W(t) \]
\[ = \Pr(W = 1) \times 1 + \Pr(W = 3) \times 3 \]

More generally, the expected value of random variable X on sample space \( S \) is

\[ E(X) = \sum_{x} x \Pr(X = x) \]
Example: What is the expected count when two dice are rolled?

Let $X$ be the count:

$$E(X) = \sum_{i=2}^{12} i \Pr(X = i)$$

$$= \frac{2}{36} \cdot 1 + \frac{3}{36} \cdot 2 + \frac{4}{36} \cdot 3 + \cdots + \frac{6}{36} \cdot 7 + \cdots + \frac{12}{36}$$

$$= \frac{252}{36}$$

$$= 7$$
An Alternative Definition of Expectation

We defined \( E(X) = \sum_x x \cdot \Pr(X = x) \).
Let \( E'(X) = \sum_{s \in S} X(s) \cdot \Pr(s) \).
The two definitions are equivalent:

**Theorem:** \( E(X) = E'(X) \)
An Alternative Definition of Expectation

We defined \( E(X) = \sum_x x \Pr(X = x) \).

Let \( E'(X) = \sum_{s \in S} X(s) \Pr(s) \).

The two definitions are equivalent:

**Theorem:** \( E(X) = E'(X) \)

**Proof:**

\[
E'(X) = \sum_{s \in S} X(s) \Pr(s) \\
= \sum_x \sum_{\{s \in S : X(s) = x\}} X(s) \Pr(s) \quad \text{[partition the sum by } x\text{]} \\
= \sum_x \sum_{\{s \in S : X(s) = x\}} x \Pr(s) \quad \text{[} x \text{ a constant]} \\
= \sum_x x \Pr(\{s : X(s) = x\}) \\
= \sum_x x \Pr(\{X = x\}) \quad \text{[by definition, } X = x\text{ is the event } \{s : X(s) = x\}] \\
= E(X)
\]
Expectation of Binomials

What is $E(B_{n,p})$, the expectation for the binomial distribution $B_{n,p}$?

- How many heads do you expect to get after $n$ tosses of a biased coin with $\Pr(h) = p$?

Method 1: Use the definition and crank it out:

$$E(B_{n,p}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

This looks awful, but it can be calculated...

Method 2: Use Induction; break it up into what happens on the first toss and on the later tosses.

- On the first toss you get heads with probability $p$ and tails with probability $1-p$.
- On the last $n-1$ tosses, you expect $E(B_{n-1,p})$ heads.

Thus, the expected number of heads is:

$$E(B_{n,p}) = p(1 + E(B_{n-1,p})) + (1-p)(E(B_{n-1,p}))$$

$$E(B_{1,p}) = p$$

Now an easy induction shows that $E(B_{n,p}) = np$.

There's an even easier way...
Expectation of Binomials

What is $E(B_{n,p})$, the expectation for the binomial distribution $B_{n,p}$?

How many heads do you expect to get after $n$ tosses of a biased coin with $\Pr(h) = p$?

**Method 1:** Use the definition and crank it out:

$$E(B_{n,p}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}$$

This looks awful, but it can be calculated ...
Expectation of Binomials

What is \( E(B_{n,p}) \), the expectation for the binomial distribution \( B_{n,p} \)?

- How many heads do you expect to get after \( n \) tosses of a biased coin with \( \Pr(h) = p \)?

**Method 1:** Use the definition and crank it out:

\[
E(B_{n,p}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} k \binom{n}{k} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}
\]

This looks awful, but it can be calculated ...

**Method 2:** Use Induction; break it up into what happens on the first toss and on the later tosses.

- On the first toss you get heads with probability \( p \) and tails with probability \( 1 - p \). On the last \( n - 1 \) tosses, you expect \( E(B_{n-1,p}) \) heads. Thus, the expected number of heads is:

\[
E(B_{n,p}) = p(1 + E(B_{n-1,p})) + (1 - p)E(B_{n-1,p})
\]

\[
= p + E(B_{n-1,p})
\]

\[
E(B_{1,p}) = p
\]

Now an easy induction shows that \( E(B_{n,p}) = np \).
Expectation is Linear

**Theorem:** $E(X + Y) = E(X) + E(Y)$

**Proof:** Recall that

$$E(X) = \sum_{s \in S} \Pr(s)X(s)$$

Thus,

$$E(X + Y) = \sum_{s \in S} \Pr(s)(X + Y)(s)$$

$$= \sum_{s \in S} \Pr(s)X(s) + \sum_{s \in S} \Pr(s)Y(s)$$

$$= E(X) + E(Y).$$
Expectation is Linear

**Theorem:** $E(X + Y) = E(X) + E(Y)$

**Proof:** Recall that

$$E(X) = \sum_{s \in S} \Pr(s)X(s)$$

Thus,

$$E(X + Y) = \sum_{s \in S} \Pr(s)(X + Y)(s) = \sum_{s \in S} \Pr(s)X(s) + \sum_{s \in S} \Pr(s)Y(s) = E(X) + E(Y).$$

**Theorem:** $E(aX) = aE(X)$

**Proof:**

$$E(aX) = \sum_{s \in S} \Pr(s)(aX)(s) = a \sum_{s \in S} X(s) = aE(X).$$
Example 1: Back to the expected value of tossing two dice:
Let $X_1$ be the count on the first die, $X_2$ the count on the second die, and let $X$ be the total count.

Notice that

\[ E(X_1) = E(X_2) = (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6)/6 = 3.5 \]

\[ E(X) = E(X_1 + X_2) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) = 3.5 + 3.5 = 7 \]
Example 1: Back to the expected value of tossing two dice:
Let $X_1$ be the count on the first die, $X_2$ the count on the second die, and let $X$ be the total count.

Notice that

$$E(X_1) = E(X_2) = (1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + 6)/6 = 3.5$$

$$E(X) = E(X_1 + X_2) = E(X_1) + E(X_2) = 3.5 + 3.5 = 7$$

Example 2: Back to the expected value of $B_{n,p}$.
Let $X$ be the total number of successes and let $X_k$ be the outcome of the $k$th experiment, $k = 1, \ldots, n$:

$$E(X_k) = p \cdot 1 + (1 - p) \cdot 0 = p$$

$$X = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$$

Therefore

$$E(X) = E(X_1) + \cdots + E(X_n) = np.$$
Conditional Expectation

$E(X \mid A)$ is the conditional expectation of $X$ given $A$.

\[
E(X \mid A) = \sum_x x \cdot \Pr(X = x \mid A) \\
= \sum_x x \cdot \Pr(X = x \cap A) / \Pr(A)
\]

**Theorem:** For all events $A$ such that $\Pr(A), \Pr(\overline{A}) > 0$:

\[
E(X) = E(X \mid A) \Pr(A) + E(X \mid \overline{A}) \Pr(\overline{A})
\]
Conditional Expectation

\( E(X \mid A) \) is the conditional expectation of \( X \) given \( A \).

\[
E(X \mid A) = \sum_x x \Pr(X = x \mid A) \\
= \sum_x x \Pr(X = x \cap A) / \Pr(A)
\]

**Theorem:** For all events \( A \) such that \( \Pr(A), \Pr(\bar{A}) > 0 \):

\[
E(X) = E(X \mid A) \Pr(A) + E(X \mid \bar{A}) \Pr(\bar{A})
\]

**Proof:**

\[
E(X)
= \sum_x x \Pr(X = x)
= \sum_x x(\Pr((X = x) \cap A) + \Pr((X = x) \cap \bar{A}))
= \sum_x x(\Pr(X = x \mid A) \Pr(A) + \Pr(X = x \mid \bar{A}) \Pr(\bar{A}))
= \sum_x (x \Pr(X = x \mid A) \Pr(A)) + (x \Pr(X = x \mid \bar{A}) \Pr(\bar{A}))
= E(X \mid A) \Pr(A) + E(X \mid \bar{A}) \Pr(\bar{A})
\]
Example: I toss a fair die. If it lands with 3 or more, I toss a coin with bias $p_1$ (towards heads). If it lands with less than 3, I toss a coin with bias $p_2$. What is the expected number of heads?

Let $A$ be the event that the die lands with 3 or more.

$$\Pr(A) = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$E(\#H) = E(\#H \mid A) \Pr(A) + E(\#H \mid \bar{A}) \Pr(\bar{A})$$

$$= p_1 \frac{2}{3} + p_2 \frac{1}{3}$$
Variance

Expectation summarizes a lot of information about a random variable as a single number. But no single number can tell it all. Compare these two distributions:

▶ Distribution 1:

\[ \Pr(49) = \Pr(51) = \frac{1}{4}; \quad \Pr(50) = \frac{1}{2}. \]

▶ Distribution 2: \( \Pr(0) = \Pr(50) = \Pr(100) = \frac{1}{3}. \)

Both have the same expectation: 50. But the first is much less “dispersed” than the second. We want a measure of dispersion.

▶ One measure of dispersion is how far things are from the mean, on average.

Given a random variable \( X \), \( (X(s) - E(X))^2 \) measures how far the value of \( s \) is from the mean value (the expectation) of \( X \). Define the \textit{variance} of \( X \) to be

\[
\text{Var}(X) = E((X - E(X))^2) = \sum_{s \in S} \Pr(s)(X(s) - E(X))^2
\]
The standard deviation of $X$ is

$$
\sigma_X = \sqrt{\text{Var}(X)} = \sqrt{\sum_{s \in S} \Pr(s)(X(s) - E(X))^2}
$$
Why not use $|X(s) - E(X)|$ as the measure of distance instead of variance?

- $(X(s) - E(X))^2$ turns out to have nicer mathematical properties.
- In $\mathbb{R}^n$, the distance between $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ is
  \[ \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n - y_n)^2} \]
Why not use $|X(s) - E(X)|$ as the measure of distance instead of variance?

- $(X(s) - E(X))^2$ turns out to have nicer mathematical properties.
- In $\mathbb{R}^n$, the distance between $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ is
  \[\sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \cdots + (x_n - y_n)^2}\]

**Example:**

- The variance of distribution 1 is
  \[
  \frac{1}{4}(51 - 50)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(50 - 50)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(49 - 50)^2 = \frac{1}{2}
  \]

- The variance of distribution 2 is
  \[
  \frac{1}{3}(100 - 50)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(50 - 50)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(0 - 50)^2 = \frac{5000}{3}
  \]

Expectation and variance are two ways of compactly describing a distribution.

- They don’t completely describe the distribution
- But they’re still useful!
Variance: Examples

Let $X$ be Bernoulli, with probability $p$ of success. $E(X) = p$, so

$$
\text{Var}(X) = (0 - p)^2 \cdot (1 - p) + (1 - p)^2 \cdot p
$$

$$
= p(1 - p)[p + (1 - p)]
$$

$$
= p(1 - p)
$$

**Theorem:** $\text{Var}(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2$.

**Proof:**

$$
E((X - E(X))^2) = E(X^2 - 2E(X)X + E(X)^2)
$$

$$
= E(X^2) - 2E(X)E(X) + E(E(X)^2)
$$

$$
= E(X^2) - 2E(X)^2 + E(X)^2
$$

$$
= E(X^2) - E(X)^2
$$
Variance: Examples

Let $X$ be Bernoulli, with probability $p$ of success. $E(X) = p$, so

$$
\text{Var}(X) = (0 - p)^2 \cdot (1 - p) + (1 - p)^2 \cdot p
= p(1 - p)[p + (1 - p)]
= p(1 - p)
$$

Theorem: $\text{Var}(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2$.

Proof:

$$
E((X - E(X))^2) = E(X^2 - 2E(X)X + E(X)^2)
= E(X^2) - 2E(X)E(X) + E(E(X)^2)
= E(X^2) - 2E(X)^2 + E(X)^2
= E(X^2) - E(X)^2
$$

Example: Suppose $X$ is the outcome of a roll of a fair die.

- Recall $E(X) = 7/2$.
- $E(X^2) = 1^2 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 2^2 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + \cdots + 6^2 \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \frac{91}{6}$
- So $\text{Var}(X) = \frac{91}{6} - \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{35}{12}$. 

Markov’s Inequality

**Theorem:** Suppose that $X$ is a nonnegative random variable and $\alpha > 0$. Then $\Pr(X \geq \alpha) \leq \frac{E(X)}{\alpha}$.

**Proof:**

\[
E(X) = \sum_x x \cdot \Pr(X = x) \\
\geq \sum_{x \geq \alpha} x \cdot \Pr(X = x) \quad [X \text{ is nonnegative}] \\
\geq \sum_{x \geq \alpha} \alpha \cdot \Pr(X = x) \\
= \alpha \sum_{x \geq \alpha} \Pr(X = x) \\
= \alpha \cdot \Pr(X \geq \alpha)
\]
Markov’s Inequality

**Theorem:** Suppose that $X$ is a nonnegative random variable and $\alpha > 0$. Then $\Pr(X \geq \alpha) \leq \frac{E(X)}{\alpha}$.

**Proof:**

\[
E(X) = \sum_x x \cdot \Pr(X = x) \\
\geq \sum_{x \geq \alpha} x \cdot \Pr(X = x) \quad [X \text{ is nonnegative}] \\
\geq \sum_{x \geq \alpha} \alpha \cdot \Pr(X = x) \\
= \alpha \sum_{x \geq \alpha} \Pr(X = x) \\
= \alpha \cdot \Pr(X \geq \alpha)
\]

**Example:** If $X$ is $B_{100,1/2}$, then

\[
\Pr(X \geq 100) \leq \frac{50}{100} = 1/2.
\]

This is not a particularly useful estimate. In fact,

\[
Pr(X \geq 100) = 2^{-100} \sim 10^{-30}.
\]
Chebyshev’s Inequality

**Theorem:** If $X$ is a random variable and $\beta > 0$, then

$$\Pr(|X - E(X)| \geq \beta) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{\beta^2}.$$ 

**Proof:** Let $Y = (X - E(X))^2$. Then

$$|X - E(X)| \geq \beta \text{ iff } Y \geq \beta^2.$$ 

That is, \( \{s : |X(s) - E(X)| \geq \beta\} = \{s : Y(s) \geq \beta^2\} \).

Thus

$$\Pr(|X - E(X)| \geq \beta) = \Pr(Y \geq \beta^2).$$

Since $Y \geq 0$, by Markov’s inequality,

$$\Pr(Y \geq \beta^2) \leq \frac{E(Y)}{\beta^2}.$$ 

Finally, note that $E(Y) = E[(X - E(X))^2] = \text{Var}(X)$. 


Statement equivalent to Chebyshev’s inequality:

$$\Pr(|X - E(X)| \geq \beta \sigma_X) \leq \frac{1}{\beta^2}.$$ 

Intuitively, the probability of a random variable being $k$ standard deviations from the mean is $\leq 1/k^2$. 
Chebyshev’s Inequality: Example

Chebyshev’s inequality gives a lower bound on how well \( X \) is concentrated about its mean.

- Suppose that \( X \) is \( B_{100,1/2} \) and we want a lower bound on \( \Pr(40 < X < 60) \).
- \( E(X) = 50 \) and \( 40 < X < 60 \) iff \( |X - 50| < 10 \) so

\[
\Pr(40 < X < 60) = \Pr(|X - 50| < 10)
= 1 - \Pr(|X - 50| \geq 10).
\]

Now

\[
\Pr(|X - 50| \geq 10) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(X)}{10^2} = \frac{100 \cdot (1/2)^2}{100} = \frac{1}{4}.
\]

So \( \Pr(40 < X < 60) \geq 1 - 1/4 = 3/4. \)

This is not too bad: the correct answer is \( \sim 0.9611 \).
Key number theory result: There is an easily computable (deterministic) test $T(b, n)$ such that

- $T(b, n) = 1$ (for all $b$) if $n$ is prime.
- There are lots of $b$s for which $T(b, n) = 0$ if $n$ is not prime.
  - In fact, for at least $1/3$ of the the $b$s between 1 and $n$, $T(b, n) = 0$ if $n$ is composite.

So here's a primality-testing algorithm:

**Input** $n$  [the number you want to test for primality]

**For** $k$ from 1 to 100 **do**

  Choose $b$ at random between 1 and $n$

  **If** $T(b, n) = 0$ **return** “$n$ is not prime”

**EndFor**

**return** “$n$ is prime”
Probabilistic Primality Testing: Analysis

If $n$ is composite, what is the probability that algorithm returns “$n$ is prime”:
Probabilistic Primality Testing: Analysis

If $n$ is composite, what is the probability that algorithm returns “$n$ is prime”:

$$(2/3)^{100} < (.2)^{25} = 10^{-70}$$

▶ I wouldn't lose sleep over mistakes!

If $10^{-70}$ is unacceptable, try 200 random choices.
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- I wouldn’t lose sleep over mistakes!
If \( 10^{-70} \) is unacceptable, try 200 random choices.

How long will it take until we find a witness?
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How long will it take until we find a witness?

▶ Expected number of steps is \( \leq 3 \)

What is the probability that it takes \( k \) steps to find a witness?
Probabilistic Primality Testing: Analysis

If $n$ is composite, what is the probability that algorithm returns “$n$ is prime”:

$$\frac{2}{3}^{100} < (0.2)^{25} = 10^{-70}$$

- I wouldn’t lose sleep over mistakes!

If $10^{-70}$ is unacceptable, try 200 random choices.

How long will it take until we find a witness?

- Expected number of steps is $\leq 3$

What is the probability that it takes $k$ steps to find a witness?

- $\frac{2}{3}^{k-1} \frac{1}{3}$

- That’s the probability of not finding a witness for the first $k - 1$ steps ($\frac{2}{3}^{k-1}$) then finding a witness the $k$th step ($\frac{1}{3}$)

Bottom line: the algorithm is extremely fast and almost certainly gives the right results