# Priors, Total Probability, Expectation, Multiple Trials

CS 2800: Discrete Structures, Fall 2014

Sid Chaudhuri

# Bayes' Theorem

- Given: prior probabilities of hypotheses, and the probability that each hypothesis produces the observed evidence
- Produces: probability of a particular hypothesis, given the observed evidence.

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

# Estimating P(B)

• Total Probability Theorem: If  $A_1, A_2, A_3, ...$  is a (finite or countably infinite) partition of *S* (they are pairwise disjoint subsets and their union is *S*), and *B* is any event in *S*, then

$$P(B) = P(\bigcup_{i} (B \cap A_{i}))$$
 (Set theory)  
$$= \sum_{i} P(B \cap A_{i})$$
 (Axiom 3)  
$$= \sum_{i} P(B \mid A_{i}) P(A_{i})$$
 (Definition)





# Recall: Medical Diagnosis

- Suppose:
  - 1 in 1000 people carry a disease, for which there is a pretty reliable test
  - Probability of a false negative (carrier tests negative) is
     1% (so probability of carrier testing positive is 99%)
  - Probability of a false positive (non-carrier tests positive) is 5%
- A person just tested positive. What are the chances (s)he is a carrier of the disease?

### A counter-intuitive result

- The reliable test gives a positive result, but the chance the patient has the disease is only about 2%.
- Informally: the rarity of the disease outweighs the small chance of error.



• No.

- No.
- The consequences of being wrong are pretty severe.

- No.
- The consequences of being wrong are pretty severe.
- How can we better interpret this result?

• You live

• You live

• You die (let's assume the disease is always fatal)

• You live

• Improvement to your current state: +1

(if we agree living longer can enrich our life experience)

#### • You die

(let's assume the disease is always fatal)

• You live

• Improvement to your current state: +1

(if we agree living longer can enrich our life experience) • You die

(let's assume the disease is always fatal)

 Improvement to your current state: -1000000

• You live

• Improvement to your current state: +1

(if we agree living longer can enrich our life experience) • You die

(let's assume the disease is always fatal)

 Improvement to your current state: -1000000

(Yes, I'm pulling these numbers out of a (nonprobabilistic) posterior, but they're illustrative)

 $P(Living | Positive) \times Utility of living$  $+ P(Dying | Positive) \times Utility of dying$ 

P(*Living* | *Positive*) × Utility of living + P(*Dying* | *Positive*) × Utility of dying

 $= 0.986 \times 1 + 0.0194 \times -1000000$ 

- P(*Living* | *Positive*) × Utility of living + P(*Dying* | *Positive*) × Utility of dying
- $= 0.986 \times 1 + 0.0194 \times -1000000$
- $\approx$  -19399

 $P(Living | Positive) \times Utility of living$  $+ P(Dying | Positive) \times Utility of dying$  $= 0.986 \times 1 + 0.0194 \times -1000000$  $\approx -19399$ 

The exact value isn't meaningful in this example. Just note that despite a small probability of a bad outcome, the highly negative weighted average suggests we might have reason to be worried.

 The expectation (or expected outcome, or expected value...) of an experiment with possible outcomes {x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, x<sub>3</sub>, ...} is

$$\mathbf{E}(x) = \sum_{i} \mathbf{P}(x_{i}) x_{i}$$

• The expectation (or expected outcome, or expected value...) of an experiment with possible outcomes {*x*<sub>1</sub>, *x*<sub>2</sub>, *x*<sub>3</sub>, ...} is

$$\mathbf{E}(x) = \sum_{i} \mathbf{P}(x_{i}) x_{i}$$

• If the outcomes are not numbers (or more generally, not elements of a *vector space*) which can be meaningfully added/scaled, we can assign them numeric "utility" values u(x), and inspect the "expected utility"  $\sum_i P(x_i) u(x_i)$ 

#### Expected Weather in Ithaca



#### Expected Weather in SoCal



• Think of it as a center of mass, with the probabilities being the masses and the outcomes/utilities their (point) positions



• A useful statistic, but only a guide, not a complete picture of human decision-making























- First test:  $T_1 = \{ Positive, Negative \}$
- Second test:  $T_2 = \{ Positive, Negative \}$

Ignoring Patient Status for the moment

- First test:  $T_1 = \{ Positive, Negative \}$
- Second test:  $T_2 = \{ \text{Positive}, \text{Negative} \}$

Ignoring Patient status for the moment

T = T<sub>1</sub> × T<sub>2</sub>
 = { (Positive, Positive), (Positive, Negative), (Negative, Positive), (Negative, Negative) }

- First test:  $T_1 = \{ Positive, Negative \}$
- Second test:  $T_2 = \{ \text{Positive}, \text{Negative} \}$

Ignoring Patient status for the moment

- T = T<sub>1</sub> × T<sub>2</sub>
   = { (Positive, Positive), (Positive, Negative), (Negative, Positive), (Negative, Negative) }
- Positive in first test =  $A_1$ = ?

- First test:  $T_1 = \{ Positive, Negative \}$
- Second test:  $T_2 = \{ Positive, Negative \}$

Ignoring Patient status for the moment

- T = T<sub>1</sub> × T<sub>2</sub>
   = { (Positive, Positive), (Positive, Negative), (Negative, Positive), (Negative, Negative) }
- Positive in first test =  $A_1$

= { (*Positive*, *Positive*), (*Positive*, *Negative*) }

- First test:  $T_1 = \{ Positive, Negative \}$
- Second test:  $T_2 = \{ \text{Positive}, \text{Negative} \}$

Ignoring Patient status for the moment

- T = T<sub>1</sub> × T<sub>2</sub>
   = { (Positive, Positive), (Positive, Negative), (Negative, Positive), (Negative, Negative) }
- Positive in first test = A<sub>1</sub>
   = { (*Positive*, *Positive*), (*Positive*, *Negative*) }
- Positive in second test = A<sub>2</sub>
   = ?

- First test:  $T_1 = \{ Positive, Negative \}$
- Second test:  $T_2 = \{ Positive, Negative \}$

Ignoring Patient status for the moment

- T = T<sub>1</sub> × T<sub>2</sub>
   = { (Positive, Positive), (Positive, Negative), (Negative, Positive), (Negative, Negative) }
- Positive in first test = A<sub>1</sub>
   = { (*Positive*, *Positive*), (*Positive*, *Negative*) }
- Positive in second test = A<sub>2</sub>
  = { (*Positive*, *Positive*), (*Negative*, *Positive*) }

- *D* = { *Carrier*, *NotCarrier* }
- Sample space of first test  $S_1 = T_1 \times D$
- Sample space of second test  $S_2 = T_2 \times D$
- Full sample space  $S = T_1 \times T_2 \times D$
- E.g. event that first test is positive, second is unknown, and person is a carrier:

{ (Positive, Positive, Carrier),
 (Positive, Negative, Carrier) }

• E.g. event that person is a carrier:

{ (Positive, Positive, Carrier), (Positive, Negative, Carrier), (Negative, Positive, Carrier), (Negative, Negative, Carrier) }

• E.g. event that first test is positive:

{ (Positive, Positive, Carrier), (Positive, Negative, Carrier), (Positive, Positive, NotCarrier), (Positive, Negative, NotCarrier) }

- We often make the assumption that the test results are independent of each other (but not, of course, of the patient's carrier status). In other words:
  - P(*Test 1 positive* and *Test 2 positive* | *Carrier*) = P(*Test 1 positive* | *Carrier*) P(*Test 2 positive* | *Carrier*)

and similarly for all other combinations.

#### Thought for the Day #1

When might this assumption be unjustified?

 $P\left(C|Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}\right)$ 



$$P(C|Y_1 \cap Y_2) = \frac{P(Y_1 \cap Y_2|C)P(C)}{P(Y_1 \cap Y_2)}$$

(Bayes' Theorem)

$$\begin{split} P\left(C|Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}\right) &= \frac{P\left(Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}|C\right)P\left(C\right)}{P\left(Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}\right)} & \text{(Bayes' Theorem)} \\ &= \frac{P\left(Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}|C\right)P\left(C\right)}{P\left(Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}|C\right)P\left(C\right) + P\left(Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}|C'\right)P\left(C'\right)} \end{split}$$

(Total Probability Theorem)

$$\begin{split} P\left(C|Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}\right) &= \frac{P\left(Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}|C\right)P\left(C\right)}{P\left(Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}\right)} & \text{(Bayes' Theorem)} \\ &= \frac{P\left(Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}|C\right)P\left(C\right)}{P\left(Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}|C\right)P\left(C\right)+P\left(Y_{1}\cap Y_{2}|C\right)P\left(C\right)} & \text{(Total Probability Theorem)} \\ &= \frac{P\left(Y_{1}|C\right)P\left(Y_{2}|C\right)P\left(C\right)}{P\left(Y_{1}|C\right)P\left(Y_{2}|C\right)P\left(C\right)+P\left(Y_{1}|C\right)P\left(Y_{2}|C\right)P\left(C\right)} \end{split}$$

(Independence assumption)

$$P(C|Y_1 \cap Y_2) = \frac{P(Y_1 \cap Y_2|C) P(C)}{P(Y_1 \cap Y_2)}$$
(Bayes' Theorem)

$$= \frac{P(Y_{1} \cap Y_{2}|C) P(C)}{P(Y_{1} \cap Y_{2}|C) P(C) + P(Y_{1} \cap Y_{2}|C') P(C')}$$

(Total Probability Theorem)

$$= \frac{P(Y_{1}|C) P(Y_{2}|C) P(C)}{P(Y_{1}|C) P(Y_{2}|C) P(C) + P(Y_{1}|C') P(Y_{2}|C') P(C')}$$

(Independence assumption)

 $0.99 \times 0.99 \times 0.001$ 

=

 $0.99 \times 0.99 \times 0.001 + 0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.999$ 

(From problem statement. The modeling assumption is that these values also apply to the product space)

$$P(C|Y_1 \cap Y_2) = \frac{P(Y_1 \cap Y_2|C)P(C)}{P(Y_1 \cap Y_2)}$$
(Bayes' Theorem)
$$P(Y_1 \cap Y_2|C)P(C)$$

\_\_\_\_

=

=

0.2818

$$\frac{P(Y_{1} \cap Y_{2}|C) P(C) + P(Y_{1} \cap Y_{2}|C') P(C')}{P(Y_{1} \cap Y_{2}|C) P(C) + P(Y_{1} \cap Y_{2}|C') P(C')}$$

(Total Probability Theorem)

$$= \frac{P(Y_{1}|C) P(Y_{2}|C) P(C)}{P(Y_{1}|C) P(Y_{2}|C) P(C) + P(Y_{1}|C') P(Y_{2}|C') P(C')}$$

(Independence assumption)

 $0.99 \times 0.99 \times 0.001$ 

 $0.99 \times 0.99 \times 0.001 + 0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.999$ 

(From problem statement. The modeling assumption is that these values also apply to the product space)

#### Thought for the Day #2

Can you write down, in full, all the outcomes in each event involved in the previous slide? Note that these events are all subsets of  $S = T_1 \times T_2 \times D$ 

#### Thought for the Day #3

Can you work out the probability of the patient being a carrier, for every other combination of test results?