

Reading: Rosen Sections 2.5. recall our first prelim time: Tuesday, March 8, 7:30-9pm. More on the prelim, and practice questions will be available on Wednesday, March 2nd.

(1) A standard way to generate pseudo-random numbers in computers is by a linear congruence generator. (Pseudo-random means that the numbers should behave randomly enough, but we can still generate them somehow without tossing coins.) Here is how linear congruence generators work. You select a prime p , and two natural numbers a and b , and start by any natural number x_0 . The sequence generated will be x_1, x_2, \dots . Where we define $x_{n+1} = (ax_n + b) \bmod p$ for all $n \geq 0$. For example, the prime $p = 2^{31} - 1$ is widely used in generators. The good news advertised by the manufacturers is that with good choice of a and b there are $p - 1$ different numbers generated before repetition begins. You do not have to prove this.

However, ideally good random numbers should be unpredictable. What this means is this: even if we know what x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k is for some value k , it should be hard or impossible to tell what x_{k+1} will be. Show that this generator does not have this property if the prime p is known.

More precisely, assume a prime p is given, but we do not know either b or a . How many entries in the sequence do we need to know to be able to determine the next entry? Prove that your answer is correct.

(2) We define $\phi(n)$ for a natural number n as the number of integers $1 \leq m < n$ that are relative prime to n . For example $\phi(5) = 4$, and $\phi(6) = 2$ (as only 1 and 5 are relatively prime). Note that $\phi(p) = p - 1$ for all primes p . You do not have to prove this (do you see why?)

- (a) Let p be a prime, and k a natural number. What is $\phi(p^k)$? Prove your answer.
- (b) Let p and q be two different primes. What is $\phi(pq)$? Prove your answer.

(3) In class I mentioned that we need a fast method for exponentiation modulo n . The method `Exponentiate(a, x, n)` computes $a^x \bmod n$, and works as follow.

```
Exponentiate( $a, x, n$ )
If  $x = 1$  output  $a$  modulo  $n$ 
Else if  $x$  odd then
  Let  $b = \text{Exponentiate}(a, x - 1, n)$ 
  compute  $a \cdot b$  modulo  $n$ 
  Output the result
Else if  $x$  even
  Compute  $b = \text{Exponentiate}(a, x/2, n)$ 
  Output  $b^2$  modulo  $n$ 
```

Let $f(x)$ be the number of multiplications performed by this code for with input x . So $f(1) = 0$ as with $x = 0$ we perform no multiplications, $f(2) = 1$ as with $x = 2$ the code involves a single squaring operations. Prove that $f(x) \leq 2 \log_2 x$ for all $x \geq 1$.

Introdcution to questions 4-5. Recall that the security of the RSA code depends on the hardness of factoring numbers. So the RSA code uses a modulus $n = pq$ precursive ublishing n and the encryption exponent e , and keeping as secret p, q and the decryption exponent d . If you somehow figure out how to factor n as a product of primes $n = pq$, then given e , we can get the decryption exponent d by solving the modulo equation

$$ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$$

We have seen how to do this using $O(\log n)$ divisions by Euclid's algorithm if $(p-1)(q-1)$ is given, however we claimed that it is hard to do just knowing n . Of course, we can always factor by brute force (say trying all numbers below \sqrt{n} to see which of them divides n), but this will involve $O(\sqrt{n})$ divisions. We call an algorithm *polynomial* if the number of basic operations it performs (such as divisions, multiplications, etc), is a polynomial in the binary size of the number, that is, it is bounded by $O(\log^c n)$ for some constant c . Now the question is if there exists such a polynomial time algorithm to decode messages, given the encoded message C and the public information n , and e . However, to make sure it is hard to decode, we need to be careful with how we select primes. The next two questions explore this problem.

(4) Suppose your company needs k separate public key systems. They decide to use RSA, and do now they need to generate $2k$ primes p_i and q_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and use modulus $n_i = p_i q_i$ as the modulus for the encryption system i .

However, they it takes a while finding so many primes, so they propose that maybe it would be enough to use $\approx \sqrt{k}$ of them. Here is how they are thinking. Suppose you find ℓ primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_ℓ . We could take each pair of these primes, and produce their product $n_{ij} = p_i p_j$. From ℓ primes, this way we create $\ell(\ell-1)/2$ pairs. Now $\ell \approx \sqrt{k}$, so for example, if $\ell = 10$ then all possible pairs would give us $k = 45$ numbers that are products of pairs of primes. Quite a bit of saving from the $2k = 90$ that we would have to generate by the original method. Now the select an ecription, descryption pairs (e, d) for each modulus b , and when they send an encrypted message, they need to know which modulus n was used.

Show that this method of generating RSA encryption schemes is insecure, by giving a polynomial time algorithm that given the public information of the $k = \ell(\ell-1)/2$ different modulus, and the k encryption keys, finds the descryption keys.

(5) Your friend wants advice for generating a good modulus for RSA coding. So he noticed that if one of the two two primes is small, like $n = pq$ where $p = 2, 3, 5, etc$ then finding the prime factorization gets pretty easy: using bruce force to find the factorization

of n one finds the small prime p pretty fast, and then we get $q = n/p$, and we know that q must be prime, as assumed by this system. To make things better, your friend decided to use twin primes, $q = p + 2$ for defining the modulus.

Show that this is a bad idea by giving a polynomial time algorithm that n that is known to be a product of two twin primes, recovers the primes p and q .

(6) After solving problems 4 and 5 you are worried that the RSA system may not be secure enough, and want to invent a crypto system that uses a product of 3 primes. So assume $n = pqr$ where p, q and r are distinct primes. Your system will be analogous to the regular RSA system. The messages m will be integers $0 \leq m < n$, will use an exponent e to encrypt messages, so the encrypted form of message m will be $c \equiv m^e \pmod{n}$. Show that if you select e such that $\gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)(r-1)) = 1$, and you know the primes p, q and r , then you can find a decryption key d such that $c^d \equiv m \pmod{n}$, for all messages m . Show how to find this decryption exponent, and prove that your method works.

(7 optional) To find the decryption key for RSA, we may want to factor $n = pq$ as the product of two primes to get $(p-1)(q-1)$, which we can then use to find the decryption key d . Show that factoring n as pq is equivalent to finding $(p-1)(q-1)$. More formally, show that given a two integers n and m such that n is the product of two primes pq and $m = (p-1)(q-1)$ you can recover p and q in polynomial time.