## Questions/Complaints About Homework?

Here's the procedure for homework questions/complaints:

1. Read the solutions first.
2. Talk to the person who graded it (check initials)
3. If (1) and (2) don't work, talk to me.

## Further comments:

- There's no statute of limitations on grade changes - although asking questions right away is a good strategy
- Remember that $10 / 12$ homeworks count. Each one is roughly worth 50 points, and homework is $35 \%$ of your final grade.
- 16 homework points $=1 \%$ on your final grade
- Remember we're grading about 80 homeworks and graders are not expected to be mind readers. It's your problem to write clearly.
- Don't forget to staple your homework pages together, add the cover sheet, and put your name on clearly.
- I'll deduct 2 points if that's not the case


## More examples

Come up with a simple formula for the sequence

$$
1,5,13,41,121,365,1093,3281,9841,29525
$$

Compute limit of $r_{n+1} / r_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 / 1=5, \quad 13 / 5 \approx 2.6, \quad 41 / 13 \approx 3.2, \quad 121 / 41 \approx 2.95 \\
& \ldots, 29525 / 9841 \approx 3.000
\end{aligned}
$$

Guess: limit is $3\left(\Rightarrow r_{n}=A 3^{n}+\cdot\right)$
Compute limit of $r_{n} / 3^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 / 3 \approx .33, \quad 5 / 9 \approx .56, \quad 13 / 27 \approx .5,41 / 81 \approx .5 \\
& \ldots .29525 / 3^{10} \approx .5000
\end{aligned}
$$

Guess: limit is $1 / 2\left(\Rightarrow r_{n}=\frac{1}{2} 3^{n}+\cdots\right)+$
Compute $r_{n}-3^{n} / 2$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-3 / 2),(5-9 / 2),(13-27 / 2),(41-81 / 2), \ldots \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Guess: general term is $3^{n} / 2+(-1)^{n} / 2$
Verify (by induction ...)

Back to guessing inductive hypotheses ...
In general, there is no rule for guessing the right inductive hypothesis. However, if you have a sequence of numbers

$$
r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, \ldots
$$

and want to guess a general expression, here are some guidelines for trying to find the type of the expression (exponential, polynomial):

- Compute $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n+1} / r_{n}$
- if it looks like $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n+1} / r_{n}=b \notin\{0,1\}$, then $r_{n}$ probably has the form $A b^{n}+\cdots$.
- You can compute $A$ by computing $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n} / b^{n}$
- Try to compute the form of $\cdots$ by considering the sequence $r_{n}-A b^{n}$; that is,

$$
r_{1}-A b, r_{2}-A b^{2}, r_{3}-A b^{3}, \ldots
$$

- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n+1} / r_{n}=1$, then $r_{n}$ is most likely a polynomial.
- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n+1} / r_{n}=0$, then $r_{n}$ may have the form $A / b^{f(n)}$, where $f(n) / n \rightarrow \infty$
- $f(n)$ could be $n \log n$ or $n^{2}$, for example

Once you have guessed the form of $r_{n}$, prove that your guess is right by induction.
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## One more example

Find a formula for

$$
\frac{1}{1 \cdot 4}+\frac{1}{4 \cdot 7}+\frac{1}{7 \cdot 10}+\cdots+\frac{1}{(3 n-2)(3 n+1)}
$$

Some values:

- $r_{1}=1 / 4$
- $r_{2}=1 / 4+1 / 28=8 / 28=2 / 7$
- $r_{3}=1 / 4+1 / 28+1 / 70=(70+10+4) / 280=$ $84 / 280=3 / 10$
Conjecture: $r_{n}=n /(3 n+1)$. Let this be $P(n)$.
Basis: $P(1)$ says that $r_{1}=1 / 4$.
Inductive step:

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{n+1} & =r_{n}+\frac{1}{(3 n+1)(3 n+4)} \\
& =\frac{n}{3 n+1}+\frac{1}{(3 n+1)(3 n+4)} \\
& =\frac{n(3 n+4)+1}{(3 n+1)(3 n+4)} \\
& =\frac{3 n^{2}+4 n+1}{(3 n+1)(3 n+4)} \\
& =\frac{(n+1)(3 n+1)}{(3 n+1)(3 n+4)} \\
& =\frac{n+1}{3 n+4}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Faulty Inductions

Part of why I want you to write out your assumptions carefully is so that you don't get led into some standard errors.
Theorem: All women are blondes.
Proof by induction: Let $P(n)$ be the statement: For any set of $n$ women, if at least one of them is a blonde, then all of them are.

Basis: Clearly OK.
Inductive step: Assume $P(n)$. Let's prove $P(n+1)$.
Given a set $W$ of $n+1$ women, one of which is blonde. Let $A$ and $B$ be two subsets of $W$, each of which contains the known blonde, whose union is $W$.

By the induction hypothesis, each of $A$ and $B$ consists of all blondes. Thus, so does $W$. This proves $P(n) \Rightarrow$ $P(n+1)$.

Theorem: Every integer $>1$ has a unique prime factorization.
[The result is true, but the following proof is not:]
Proof: By strong induction. Let $P(n)$ be the statement that $n$ has a unique factorization.

Basis: $P(2)$ is clearly true.
Induction step: Assume $P(2), \ldots, P(n)$. We prove $P(n+1)$. If $n+1$ is prime, we are done. If not, it factors somehow. Suppose $n+1=r s r, s>1$. By the induction hypothesis, $r$ has a unique factorization $\Pi_{i} p_{i}$ and $s$ has a unique prime factorization $\Pi_{j} q_{j}$. Thus, $\Pi_{i} p_{i} \Pi_{j} q_{j}$ is a prime factorization of $n+1$, and since none of the factors of either piece can be changed, it must be unique.
What's the flaw??

Take $W$ to be the set of women in the world, and let $n=|W|$. Since there is clearly at least one blonde in the world, it follows that all women are blonde!

Where's the bug?

Problem: Suppose $n+1=36$. That is, you've proved that every number up to 36 has a unique factorization. Now you need to prove it for 36 .
36 isn't prime, but $36=3 \times 12$. By the induction hypothesis, 12 has a unique prime factorization, say $p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$. Thus, $36=3 p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$.
However, 36 is also $4 \times 9$. By the induction hypothesis, $4=q_{1} q_{2}$ and $9=r_{1} r_{2}$. Thus, $36=q_{1} q_{2} r_{1} r_{2}$.
How do you know that $3 p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}=q_{1} q_{2} r_{1} r_{2}$.
(They do, but it doesn't follow from the induction hypothesis.)

This is a breakdown error. If you're trying to show something is unique, and you break it down (as we broke down $n+1$ into $r$ and $s$ ) you have to argue that nothing changes if we break it down a different way. What if $n+1=t u$ ?

- The actual proof of this result is quite subtle

Theorem: The sum of the internal angles of a regular $n$-gon is $180(n-2)$ for $n \geq 3$.

Proof: By induction. Let $P(n)$ be the statement of the theorem. For $n=3$, the result was shown in high school. Assume $P(n)$; let's prove $P(n+1)$. Given a regular $(n+1)$-gon, we can lop off one of the corners:

By induction, the sum of the internal angles of the $n$-gon is $180(n-2)$ degrees; the sum of the internal angles of the triangle is 180 degrees. Thus, the internal angles of the original $(n+1)$-gon is $180(n-1)$.
What's wrong??

- When you lop off a corner, you don't get a regular $n$-gon.
The fix: Strengthen the induction hypothesis.
- Let $P(n)$ say that the sum of the internal angles of any $n$-gon is $180(n-2)$.


## Inductive Definitions

Example: Define $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}$ inductively (i.e., by induction on $n$ ):

- $\Sigma_{k=1}^{1} a_{k}=a_{1}$
- $\Sigma_{k=1}^{n+1} a_{k}=\Sigma_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}+a_{n+1}$

The inductive definition avoids the use of $\cdots$, and thus is less ambiguous.

Example: An inductive definition of $n!$ :

- 1 ! $=1$
- $(n+1)!=(n+1) n$ !

Could even start with $0!=1$.

Consider 0-1 sequences in which 1's may not appear consecutively, except in the rightmost two positions.

- 010110 is not allowed, but 010011 is

Prove that there are $2^{n}$ allowed sequences of length $n$ for $n \geq 1$
Why can't this be right?
"Proof" Let $P(n)$ be the statement of the theorem.
Basis: There are 2 sequences of length $1-0$ and 1 -and they're both allowed.
Inductive step: Assume $P(n)$. Let's prove $P(n+1)$. Take any allowed sequence $x$ of length $n$. We get a sequence of length $n+1$ by appending either a 0 or 1 at the end. In either case, it's allowed.

- If $x$ ends with a 1 , it's OK, because $x 1$ is allowed to end with 2 1's.
Thus, $s_{n+1}=2 s_{n}=22^{n}=2^{n+1}$.
Where's the flaw?
- What if $x$ already ends with 2 1's?

Correct expression involves separating out sequences which end in 0 and 1 (it's done in Chapter 5, but I'm not sure we'll get to it)
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## Inductive Definitions of Sets

A palindrome is an expression that reads the same backwards and forwards:

- Madam I'm Adam
- Able was I ere I saw Elba

What is the set of palindromes over $\{a, b, c, d\}$ ? Two approaches:

1. The smallest set $P$ such that
(a) $P$ contains $a, b, c, d, a a, b b, c c, d d$
(b) if $x$ is in $P$, then so is $a x a, b x b, c x c$, and $d x d$
2. Define $P_{n}$, the palindromes of length $n$, inductively:

- $P_{1}=\{a, b, c, d\}$
- $P_{2}=\{a a, b b, c c, d d\}$
- $P_{n+1}=\left\{a x a, b x b, c x c, d x d \mid x \in P_{n-1}\right\}, n \geq 2$

Let $P^{\prime}=\cup_{n} P_{n}$.

Theorem: $P=P^{\prime}$. (The two approaches define the same set.)
Proof: Show $P \subseteq P^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime} \subseteq P$.
To see that $P \subseteq P^{\prime}$, it suffices to show that
(a) $P^{\prime}$ contains $a, b, c, d, a a, b b, c c, d d$
(b) if $x$ is in $P^{\prime}$, then so is $a x a, b x b, c x c$, and $d x d$
(since $P$ is the least set with these properties).
Clearly $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$ satisfies (1), so $P^{\prime}$ does. And if $x \in P^{\prime}$, then $x \in P_{n}$ for some $n$, in which case $a x a, b x b, c x c$, and $d x d$ are all in $P_{n+2}$ and hence in $P^{\prime}$. Thus, $P \subseteq P^{\prime}$.
To see that $P^{\prime} \subseteq P$, we prove by strong induction that $P_{n} \subseteq P$ for all $n$. Let $P(n)$ be the statement that $P_{n} \subseteq$ $P$.

Basis: $P_{1}, P_{2} \subseteq P$ : Obvious.
Suppose $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n} \subseteq P$. If $n \geq 2$, the fact that $P_{n+1} \subseteq$ $P$ follows immediately from (b). (Actually, all we need is the fact that $P_{n-1} \subseteq P$, which follows from the (strong) induction hypothesis.)
Thus, $P^{\prime}=\cup_{n} P_{n} \subseteq P$.

Recall that the set of palindromes is the smallest set $P$ such that
(a) $P$ contains $a, b, c, d, a a, b b, c c, d d$
(b) if $x$ is in $P$, then so is $a x a, b x b, c x c$, and $d x d$
"Smallest" is not in terms of cardinality.

- $P$ is guaranteed to be infinite
"Smallest" is in terms of the subset relation.
Here's a set that satisfies (a) and (b) and isn't the smallest:

Define $Q_{n}$ inductively:

- $Q_{1}=\{a, b, c, d\}$
- $Q_{2}=\{a a, b b, c c, d d, a b\}$
- $Q_{n+1}=\left\{a x a, b x b, c x c, d x d \mid x \in Q_{n-1}\right\}, n \geq 2$

Let $Q=\cup_{n} Q_{n}$.
It's easy to see that $Q$ satisfies (a) and (b), but it isn't the smallest set to do so.

## Just a Reminder

(from your friendly sponsor)
What's (usually) a key step in proving a property of an algorithm:

Find a loop invariant!

- State clearly what the invariant is
- Prove that it holds (often by induction, since the invariant says "On the $n$th iteration of the loop, property $P(n)$ holds")


## The muddy children puzzle

We can prove by induction on $k$ that if $k$ children have muddy foreheads, they say "yes" on the $k^{\text {th }}$ question. It appears as if the father didn't tell the children anything they didn't already know. Yet without the father's statement, they could not have deduced anything. So what was the role of the father's statement?

## Division

For $a, b \in Z, a \neq 0, a$ divides $b$ if there is some $c \in Z$ such that $b=a c$.

- Notation: $a \mid b$
- Examples: $3 \mid 9,3 \nless 7$

If $a \mid b$, then $a$ is a factor of $b, b$ is a multiple of $a$.
Theorem 1: If $a, b, c \in Z$, then

1. if $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$ then $a \mid(b+c)$.
2. If $a \mid b$ then $a \mid(b c)$
3. If $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c$ then $a \mid c$ (divisibility is transitive).

Proof: How do you prove this? Use the definition!

- E.g., if $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$, then, for some $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$,

$$
b=a d_{1} \text { and } c=a d_{2} .
$$

- That means $b+c=a\left(d_{1}+d_{2}\right)$
- So $a \mid(b+c)$.

Other parts: homework.
Corollary 1: If $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$, then $a \mid(m b+n c)$ for any integers $m$ and $n$.

## Algorithmic number theory

Number theory used to be viewed as the purest branch of pure mathematics.

- Now it's the basis for most modern cryptography.
- Absolutely critical for e-commerce
- How do you know your credit card number is safe?

Goal:

- To give you a basic understanding of the mathematics behind the RSA cryptosystem
- Need to understand how prime numbers work
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## The division algorithm

Theorem 2: For $a \in Z$ and $d \in N, d>0$, there exist unique $q, r \in Z$ such that $a=q \cdot d+r$ and $0 \leq r<d$.

- $r$ is the remainder when $a$ is divided by $d$

Notation: $a \bmod d=r$ (read " $a \bmod d$ is $r$ ")
More Notation: $a \equiv b(\bmod d)$ ("a" is equivalent/congruent to $b \bmod r$ )

- $a$ and $b$ have the same remainder when divided by $r$
- equivalently, $r \mid(a-b)$


## Example:

- Dividing 101 by 11 gives a quotient of 9 and a remainder of $2(101 \equiv 2(\bmod 11) ; 101 \bmod 11=2)$.

Proof: Let $q=\lfloor a / d\rfloor$ and define $r=a-q \cdot d$.

- So $a=q \cdot d+r$ with $q \in Z$ and $0 \leq r<d$ (since $q \cdot d \leq a)$.
But why are $q$ and $d$ unique?
- Suppose $q \cdot d+r=q^{\prime} \cdot d+r^{\prime}$ with $q^{\prime}, r^{\prime} \in Z$ and $0 \leq r^{\prime}<d$.
- Then $\left(q^{\prime}-q\right) d=\left(r-r^{\prime}\right)$ with $-d<r-r^{\prime}<d$.
- The lhs is divisible by $d$ so $r=r^{\prime}$ and we're done.


## Primes

- If $p \in N, p>1$ is prime if if its only positive factors are 1 and $p$.
- $n \in N$ is composite if $n>1$ and $n$ is not prime.
- If $n$ is composite then $a \mid n$ for some $a \in N$ with $1<a<n$
- Can assume that $a \leq \sqrt{n}$.
* Proof: By contradiction:

Suppose $n=b c, b>\sqrt{n}, c>\sqrt{n}$. But then $b c>n$, a contradiction.

Primes: $2,3,5,7,11,13, \ldots$
Composites: $4,6,8,9, \ldots$

## Primality testing

How can we tell if $n \in N$ is prime?
The naive approach: check if $k \mid n$ for every $1<k<n$.

- But at least $10^{m-1}$ numbers are $\leq n$, if $n$ has $m$ digits - 1000 numbers less than 1000 (a 4-digit number) - 1,000,000 less than 1,000,000 (a 7-digit number)

So the algorithm is exponential time!
We can do a little better

- Skip the even numbers
- That saves a factor of $2 \longrightarrow$ not good enough
- Try only primes (Sieve of Eratosthenes) - Still doesn't help much

We can do much better:

- There is a polynomial time randomized algorithm - We will discuss this when we talk about probability
- In 2002, Agarwal, Saxena, and Kayal gave a (nonprobabilistic) polynomial time algorithm - Saxena and Kayal were undergrads in 2002!


## The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Theorem 3: Every natural number $n>1$ can be uniquely represented as a product of primes, written in nondecreasing size.

- Examples: $54=2 \cdot 3^{3}, 100=2^{2} \cdot 5^{2}, 15=3 \cdot 5$.

Proving that that $n$ can be written as a product of primes is easy (by strong induction):

- Base case: 2 is the product of primes (just 2)
- Inductive step: If $n>2$ is prime, we are done. If not, $n=a b$.
- Must have $a<n, b<n$.
- By I.H., both $a$ and $b$ can be written as a product of primes
- So $n$ is product of primes

Proving uniqueness is harder.

- We'll do that in a few days...

