

What is a Thread?

- A separate "execution" that runs within a single program and can perform a computational task independently and concurrently with other threads
- Many applications do their work in just a single thread: the one that called main() at startup
 - But there may still be extra threads...
 - ... Garbage collection runs in a "background" thread
 - GUIs have a separate thread that listens for events and "dispatches" upcalls
- □ Today: learn to create new threads of our own

What is a Thread?

- A thread is a kind of object that "independently computes"
 - □ Needs to be created, like any object
 - □ Then "started". This causes some method (like main()) to be invoked. It runs side by side with other thread in the same program and they see the same global data
- The actual execution could occur on distinct CPU cores, but doesn't need to
 - We can also simulate threads by multiplexing a smaller number of cores over a larger number of threads

Concurrency

- Concurrency refers to a single program in which several threads are running simultaneously
 - Special problems arise
 - They see the same data and hence can interfere with each other, e.g. if one thread is modifying a complex structure like a heap while another is trying to read it
- □ In cs2110 we focus on two main issues:
 - Race conditions
 - Deadlock

Thread class in Java

- □ Threads are instances of the class Thread
 - □ Can create many, but they do consume space & time
- The Java Virtual Machine created the thread that executes your main method.
- □ Threads have a priority
 - □ Higher priority threads are executed preferentially
 - A newly created Thread has initial priority equal to the thread that created it (but can change)

Creating a new Thread (Method 1) class PrimeThread extends Thread { long a, b; PrimeThread(long a, long b) { this.a = a; this.b = b; overrides Thread.run() public void run() 🎖 a and b //compute primes If you were to call run() directly no new thread is used: the calling thread will run it PrimeThread p = new Prim . but if you create a new object and p.start(); then call start() Java invokes run() in new thread

class PrimeRun implements Runnable { long a, b; PrimeRun(long a, long b) { this.a = a; this.b = b; } public void run() { //compute primes between a and b ... } PrimeRun p = new PrimeRun(143, 195); new Thread(p).start();

```
Example
                                                            Thread[Thread-0.5,main] 0
                                                             Thread[main,5,main]
Thread[main,5,main]
                                                             Thread[main,5,main]
                                                             Thread[main.5.main] 3
                                                            Thread[main,5,main] 3
Thread[main,5,main] 4
Thread[main,5,main] 5
Thread[main,5,main] 6
public class ThreadTest extends Thread {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
                                                             Thread[main.5.main] 7
        Thread[main,5,main] 8
Thread[main,5,main] 9
Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 1
                                                             Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 2
                                                             Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 3
Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 4
                                                             Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 5
    public void run() {
   for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {</pre>
                                                             Thread[Thread-0.5,main] 6
                                                            Thread[Thread-0,5,main]
Thread[Thread-0,5,main]
            System.out.format("%s %d\n"
                Thread.currentThread(), i);
                                                             Thread[Thread-0,5,main] 9
```

```
Example
                                                               Thread[main,5,main] 0
Thread[main,5,main] 1
Thread[main,5,main] 2
                                                                Thread[main,5,main] 3
                                                                Thread[main,5,main] 4
                                                                Thread[main,5,main] 5
Thread[main,5,main] 6
public class ThreadTest extends Thread {
                                                                Thread[main,5,main] 7
    public static void main(String[] args) {
                                                               Thread[main,5,main] 8
Thread[main,5,main] 9
Thread[Thread-0,4,main] 0
Thread[Thread-0,4,main] 1
        Thread[Thread-0,4,main] 2
                                                               Thread[Thread-0,4,main] 3
Thread[Thread-0,4,main] 4
Thread[Thread-0,4,main] 5
    public void run() {
                                                               Thread[Thread-0,4,main] 6
        Thread[Thread-0,4,main] 7
Thread[Thread-0,4,main] 8
Thread[Thread-0,4,main] 9
```

```
| Thread(main,5,main) 0 | Thread(main,5,main) 1 | Thread(main,5,main) 1 | Thread(main,5,main) 2 | Thread(main,5,main) 2 | Thread(main,5,main) 3 | Thread(main,5,main) 4 | Thread(main,5,main) 4 | Thread(main,5,main) 5 | Thread(main,5,main) 5 | Thread(main,5,main) 5 | Thread(main,5,main) 6 | Thread(main,5,main) 7 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 1 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 2 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 3 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 5 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 6 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 6 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 7 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 8 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 8 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 9 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 8 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 9 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 8 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 9 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 8 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 9 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 8 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 9 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 9 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 8 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 9 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 8 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 9 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 8 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 8 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main) 9 | Thread(Thread-0,6,main)
```

```
Example
                                                    waiting...
                                                    running..
blic class ThreadTest extends Thread {
                                                    running...
 static boolean ok = true;
                                                    waiting...
                                                   running...
waiting...
    new ThreadTest().start();
for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
   System.out.println("waiting...");</pre>
                                                    running...
                                                    waiting...
                                                    running...
                             If threads happen to be sharing
    ok = false;
                            a CPU, yield allows other waiting
                             threads to run. But if there are
 public void run() {
                            multiple cores, yield isn't needed
     while (ok) {
        System.out.println("running...")
                                                    waiting...
     System.out.println("done");
                                                    done
```

Terminating Threads is tricky | Easily done... but only in certain ways | The safe way to terminate a thread is to have it return from its run method | If a thread throws an uncaught exception, whole program will be halted (but it can take a second or too...) | There are some old APIs but they have issues: stop(), interrupt(), suspend(), destroy(), etc. | Issue: they can easily leave the application in a "broken" internal state. | Many applications have some kind of variable telling the thread to stop itself.

Threads can pause

- ...
- □ When active, a thread is "runnable".
 - It may not actually be "running". For that, a CPU must schedule it. Higher priority threads could run first.
- □ A thread can also pause
 - □ It can call Thread.sleep(k) to sleep for k milliseconds
 - If it tries to do "I/O" (e.g. read a file, wait for mouse input, even open a file) this can cause it to pause
 - □ Java has a form of locks associated with objects.

 When threads lock an object, one succeeds at a time.

Background (daemon) Threads

- In many applications we have a notion of "foreground" and "background" (daemon) threads
 - Foreground threads are the ones doing visible work, like interacting with the user or updating the display
 - Background threads do things like maintaining data structures (rebalancing trees, garbage collection, etc)
- On your computer, the same notion of background workers explains why so many things are always running in the task manager.

Race Conditions



- A "race condition" arises if two or more threads access the same variables or objects concurrently and at least one does updates
- □ Example: Suppose t1 and t2 simulatenously execute the statement x = x + 1; for some static global x.
 - □ Internally, this involves loading x, adding 1, storing x
 - □ If t1 and t2 do this concurrently, we execute the statement twice, but x may only be incremented once
 - t1 and t2 "race" to do the update

Race Conditions

Suppose X is initially 5

□ LOAD X
□ ADD 1
□ STORE X

□ ... □ LOAD X

□ ADD 1
□ STORE X

... after finishing, X=6! We "lost" an update

Settings where race conditions matter

- Two or more threads try to access something, and one or more want to change it
 - A for-each loop is iterating over a collection, but some thread modifies the collection concurrently
 - You want your program to do one thing at a time, e.g. so that the user can fill in a form without being interrupted to fill in some other form
 - □ ... this list is very partial!

Race Conditions

- □ Race conditions are bad news
 - Sometimes you can make code behave correctly despite race conditions, but more often they cause bugs
 - And they can cause many kinds of bugs, not just the example we see here!
 - A common cause for "blue screens", null pointer exceptions, damaged data structures

private Stack<String> stack = new Stack<String>(); public void doSomething() { if (stack.isEmpty()) return; String s = stack.pop(); //do something with s... } Suppose threads A and B want to call doSomething(), and there is one element on the stack 1. thread A tests stack.isEmpty() false

2. thread A pops ⇒ stack is now empty

4. thread B just returns – nothing to do

3. thread B tests stack.isEmpty() ⇒ true

```
Example — An Unlucky Scenario

private Stack<String> stack = new Stack<String>();
public void doSomething() {
    if (stack.isEmpty()) return;
    String = stack.pop();
    //do something with s...
}

Suppose threads A and B want to call doSomething(),
and there is one element on the stack

1. thread A tests stack.isEmpty() ⇒ false
2. thread B tests stack.isEmpty() ⇒ false
3. thread A pops ⇒ stack is now empty
4. thread B pops ⇒ Exception!
```

Synchronization

- Java has one "primary" tool for preventing these problems, and you must use it by carefully and explicitly – it isn't automatic.
 - □ Called a "synchronization barrier"
 - We think of it as a kind of lock
 - Even if several threads try to acquire the lock at once, only one can succeed at a time, while others wait
 - When it releases the lock, the next thread can acquire it
 - You can't predict the order in which contending threads will get the lock but it should be "fair" if priorities are the same

Java synchronizations: several forms...

One popular option is called a Semaphore
 An object: Semaphore mySema = new Semaphore(n);
 mySema.acquire() - locks the semaphore. n+1'st call will block (e.g. if n was 0, first call will block, etc)

mySema.release() - unlocks the semaphore object

 Simple and popular, but can be a bit "unstructured" and in complex code, a common source of problems

```
Solution — with synchronization

private Stack<String> stack = new Stack<String>();
public void doSomething() {
    synchronized (stack) {
        if (stack.isEmpty()) return;
        String s = stack.pop();
        //do something with s...
}

**Synchronized block*

**Put critical operations in a synchronized block*

**The stack object acts as a lock*

**Only one thread can own the lock at a time*
```

• You can lock on any object, including this public synchronized void doSomething() { is equivalent to public void doSomething() { synchronized (this) { } }

Synchronization+priorities

- □ Combining mundane features can get you in trouble
- Java has priorities... and synchronization
 - But they don't "mix" nicely
 - □ High-priority runs before low priority
- ... The lower priority thread "starves"
- □ Even worse...
 - With many threads, you could have a second high priority thread stuck waiting on that starving low priority thread! Now <u>both</u> are starving...

Fancier forms of locking

- Java developers have created various synchronization ADTs
 - Semaphores: a kind of synchronized counter
 - Event-driven synchronization
- The Windows and Linux and Apple O/S all have kernel locking features, like file locking
- □ But for Java, synchronized is the core mechanism

Deadlock



- □ The downside of locking deadlock
- □ A deadlock occurs when two or more competing threads are waiting for one-another... forever
- Example:
 - $lue{}$ Thread t1 calls synchronized b inside synchronized a
 - $\hfill\Box$ But thread t2 calls synchronized a inside synchronized b
 - 11 waits for t2... and t2 waits for t1...

Finer grained synchronization

- Java allows you to do fancier synchronization
 - But can only be used inside a synchronization block
 - □ Special primatives called wait/notify

Suppose we put this inside an object called animator: boolean isRunning = true; public synchronized void run() { while (true) { while (isRunning) { //do one step of simulation } try { wait(); } catch (InterruptedException ie) {} isRunning = true; } public void restartAnimation() { animator.isRunning = false; } } notifies processes waiting for animator lock animator.notify();

Summary

- Use of multiple processes and multiple threads within each process can exploit concurrency
 - Which may be real (multicore) or "virtual" (an illusion)
- But when using threads, beware!
 - Must lock (synchronize) any shared memory to avoid nondeterminism and race conditions
 - Yet synchronization also creates risk of deadlocks
 - Even with proper locking concurrent programs can have other problems such as "livelock"
- □ Serious treatment of concurrency is a complex topic (covered in more detail in cs3410 and cs4410)