Writing efficient code

1. Download the script LargestTriangle from the Section Exercises page. The script (also shown below) is a first attempt at finding the largest triangle that can be formed from n points on a unit circle. Add code (tic, toc) to the script to determine how long it takes to find the answer for n = 100, 150, 200. Store the results (time) in vector t1 such that t1(i) corresponds to n(i), i = 1, 2, 3.

```
for n=100:50:200
    theta = rand(n,1)*2*pi; % Angle of random pts on the unit circle
    % Determine how long it takes to compute the largest
    % possible triangle obtained by selecting vertices from the
    % points represented by theta
    A = 0;
   for i=1:n
        for j=1:n
            for k=1:n
                % theta --> Cartesian
                c1 = cos(theta(i));
                s1 = sin(theta(i));
                c2 = cos(theta(j));
                s2 = sin(theta(j));
                c3 = cos(theta(k));
                s3 = sin(theta(k));
                % Area using Heron's Formula
                a = sqrt((c1-c2)^2 + (s1-s2)^2);
                b = sqrt((c1-c3)^2 + (s1-s3)^2);
                c = sqrt((c2-c3)^2 + (s2-s3)^2);
                s = (a+b+c)/2;
                Aijk = sqrt((s-a)*(s-b)*(s-c)*s);
                A = max(A, Aijk);
            end
        end
    end
end
```

2. We now start to make the computation more efficient. *Append* the script rather than modifying the code directly—copy and paste your code from Part 1 to Part 2 of the script and make the modification in Part 2.

Notice that there are several levels of inefficiency. The area for each different triangle is computed 6 times. Modify the loop ranges to eliminate this redundancy. Also, there are a lot of redundant sine and cosine evaluations. Address this issue by moving the c1, s1, c2 and s2 assignments. In Part 2, store the time taken to do the computation in vector t2 such that t2(i) corresponds to n(i). How much speed-up did you get?

Even with the change in *where* we compute c1, s1, c2 and s2, we are still doing more sine and cosine evaluations than necessary—given *n* values of theta we should only need to make *n* sine evaluations and *n* cosine evaluations. This suggests that we can reduce the time further by *pre*computing the sine and cosine of theta. We will combine this insight with another improvement in Part 3 below.

3. There is additional redundancy associated with the side length computations **a**, **b**, and **c**. In Part 3, eliminate this redundancy by precomputing an $n \times n$ array D with the property that D(i,j) is the distance from point $(\cos(\text{theta}(i)),\sin(\text{theta}(i)))$ to point $(\cos(\text{theta}(j)),\sin(\text{theta}(j)))$. Note that you only need the "upper half" of D since D(i,j) = D(j,i). Store the time taken to do the computation in vector **t3** such that **t3(i)** corresponds to n(i).

4. Draw a plot of the computation time (three graphs of time vs. n). Also show in a table the ratio of t1 to t3 for all n.

Final note. The speed-up that we get isn't all "free." The speed-up that we gain from precomputation has a cost in computer memory—from version 1 to version 3, the major memory requirement increases from n (length of theta) to n^2 (dimension of D). The problem at hand, the language, and the hardware are all considerations in the trade-off between speed and memory.

Please delete your files from the computer before you leave the lab.