Safety and strong versus weak typing

Those whose first language is Ruby, Perl, Python, or Matlab may find Java wordy or cumbersome because every variable has to be declared (with its type) before it is used. Even the return type must be given for a function. Java is more strongly typed than Ruby, Perl, or Matlab, and these are more weakly typed than Java. Python is also strongly typed, but differently than Java, as we see later. The terms strongly and weakly typed are not well defined, and we will discuss them later in this document. But first let’s discuss the notion of safety and give a little history.

Type safety

There is some confusion as to what safety, or type safety, means, but here is a definition used in the year 2000 by an ad hoc committee that recommended that the Advanced Placement (AP) test in programming be based on Java:

Safety: Any attempt to misinterpret data is caught at compile time or generates a well-specified error at runtime.

This implies that no value is operated on by an operator of the wrong kind.

Here’s one example in Java: One cannot interpret the integer 1 as a boolean value, true or false.

One of the most prevalent bugs that has been exploited by hackers is the buffer overflow or buffer overrun, in which one can store a value in element \( n+1 \) of a list or an array (or buffer) when only \( n \) elements are allocated for it. By doing this, knowing the layout of memory, one can overwrite a known piece of data or even executable code. Google wiki: buffer overrun to learn more

Java was designed to be type safe. It had to be type safe, since Java programs called applets could be run from a webpage on any computer, by anyone, anywhere. If a language is not type safe, not only can bugs more easily creep into the programs but security can be a big issue.

Ensuring type safety: syntactic type checking

Java ensures type safety by defining syntactic type rules. Each variable has to be declared with a type before it is used, and the type of each expression (and sub-expression) is determined from the syntax of a program, that is, at compile-time. Types and type rules are part of the syntax of the language. Just by looking at a program and its structure, without executing it, one can tell whether the program is type correct. For example, try to halve a string using “bcd”/2 in a program and the program won’t compile. It is syntactically incorrect. Further, all index references like \( b[i] \) and \( s.charAt(i) \) are checked at runtime to be sure that index \( i \) is in bounds.

For this reason, we call Java a strongly typed language.

Generally, the sooner an error is detected, the better. Detecting an error at compile-time — when a program is being translated into the machine language for execution — is better than detecting it after the program is compiled and while it is being executed. The larger a program, or the team of people writing, developing, and debugging it, the more important it is to find errors as early as possible.

Python does not have types for variables. A Python program can store a double value in a variable \( n \); later, it can store a string, an array, or anything else in \( n \). The type of an expression is not a syntactic property, as it is in Java.

But Python does try for type safety by performing type checks as runtime. Thus, Python is strongly typed. The term duck typing has been used for the type checking done by Python at runtime: “If it walks like a duck and it quacks like a duck, then it must be a duck.”

Exploiting a buffer overflow bug in your software to lock out a competitor

This little episode happened in 1999. Read about it here:


AOL’s Instant Messenger (AIM) service was in competition with Microsoft’s new MSN Messenger Service. There was a buffer overflow error in AIM. The buffer was 256 bytes. When an AOL client logged onto Instant Messenger, the client actually sent back 256 + 24 bytes — an overflow. But when a Microsoft Messaging client logged in, it sent only 256 bytes. So the AOL server could identify Microsoft clients and block them. The webpage listed above says that Robert Graham, chief technical officer of Network ICE, an independent intrusion detection and security company, uncovered this buffer overflow bug and how AOL was using it.
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Some people call Java’s type-checking static type checking while Python’s is dynamic type checking. We prefer the terms are syntactic type checking and semantic type checking.

The following website talks about Python and type safety, https://beam.apache.org/documentation/sdks/python-type-safety/. It says that, “the deferred nature of runner execution, developer productivity can easily become bottle-necked by time spent investigating type-related errors.” This is what they mean: Suppose some error occurs at runtime that is related to a variable’s value being used in an appropriate way—like dividing a string value by 2. An error message appears. The programmer has to find the source of the error—which may be far from the point of detection of the error—and this can take a great deal of time. But if type-checking was done at compile-time, the point of detection of the error might have been obvious without even running the program.

The above-mentioned website talks about allowing a programmer to provide “type hints” to help find such errors earlier. We don’t go into detail on this but just want you to know that the issue of types, type checking, and how to maintain type safety is still an interesting issue, with many different avenues to approach it.

On 7 Dec 2020, it was announced that Microsoft’s newer language TypeScript, a superset of JavaScript, became the fourth most popular programming language on the code-collaboration platform GitHub, eclipsing C#, PHP, and C++. (The top three languages are JavaScript, Python, and Java.) Why did this happen? Because TypeScript’s definition includes typing rules, and type-safety checks are performed at compile-time as much as possible, as in Java. Notably, Typescript is compiled into JavaScript, so that code runs in browsers as pure JavaScript.

Language that are not type safe

The language C is not type safe. It was initially developed in order to have a language in which to write the operating system UNIX—just as a research tool at Bell Labs. As such, it had to allow the ability to look at and change specific machine locations. It could not be type safe.

Finally, any assembly language, which is a symbolic representation of a machine language, is inherently not type safe. Essentially, anything can be stored in any memory location, and the contents of a memory location can be interpreted in any way one wants.
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